DCP 172 Consultation Response Form

To: Claire Hynes

Email: [DCUSA@electralink.co.uk](mailto:DCUSA@electralink.co.uk)

Response Deadline: 01 September 2015

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name: | Click here to enter text. |
| Organisation: | Click here to enter text. |
| Role: | Choose an item. |
| Email address: | Click here to enter text. |
| Phone number: | Click here to enter text. |
| Response[[1]](#footnote-1): | Choose an item. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you understand the intent of the DCP 172? |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are you supportive of the principles of the DCP 172? |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Options 1-4 have been set out in table 1 of this consultation. Which Option do you prefer and why? |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Options 1-4 have been set out in table 1 of this consultation. Which Option would you definitely not support and why? |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you support Option 1 to always apply the voltage rise method? |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Can you identify any additional advantages or disadvantages to Options 1-4 that are not captured in table 1 of this consultation? Please comment. |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you agree with the high level approach of Option 3? |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. If you are in agreement with the high level approach of Option 3, do you agree with the detail of this approach? Please provide any alternative methodology which could be employed. |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you agree with use of the consideration of a substantial asset and if so would you have any alternative way of defining this term? |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you agree with use of the consideration of a complete asset and if so would you have any alternative way of defining this term? |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you agree with use of the consideration of a Demand Dominated Network? |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you agree with use of the consideration of a Number of Customers Threshold? |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you consider that Option 3 is more appropriate than Option 4? Please explain. |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you consider that Option 4 is more appropriate than Option 3? Please explain. |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. What are the potential costs of this change? Which option for your organisation would have the lowest or highest cost? |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are you supportive of DCP 172 being implemented at the next DCUSA release following Authority consent? |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Which DCUSA General Objectives does the CP better facilitate? Please provide supporting comments. 2. The development, maintenance and operation by each of the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of an efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution System. 3. The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent with that) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity. 4. The efficient discharge by each of the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of the obligations imposed upon them by their Distribution Licences. 5. The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement and the arrangements under it. 6. compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators. |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Which DCUSA Charging Objectives does the CP better facilitate? Please provide supporting comments.    * 1. that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates the discharge by the DNO Party of the obligations imposed on it under the Act and by its Distribution Licence      2. that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates competition in the generation and supply of electricity and will not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in the transmission or distribution of electricity or in participation in the operation of an Interconnector (as defined in the Distribution Licences)      3. that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies results in charges which, so far as is reasonably practicable after taking account of implementation costs, reflect the costs incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the DNO Party in its Distribution Business      4. that, so far as is consistent with Clauses 3.2.1 to 3.2.3, the Charging Methodologies, so far as is reasonably practicable, properly take account of developments in each DNO Party’s Distribution Business      5. that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators. |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you have any comments on the proposed legal text for DCP 172? |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are there any alternative solutions, refinements to any of the proposed solutions or any other matters that should be considered by the Working Group? |
| Click here to enter text. |

1. All responses will be treated as non-confidential unless indicated otherwise.

   Anonymous responses will omit the detail of the submitting party but the content of the response will be provided to the Working Group and published on the DCUSA website.

   Confidential responses will not be published on the DCUSA website but submitted solely to the Working Group for the analysis of the CP. For all other confidentiality requirements please contact the secretariat at DCUSA @electralink.co.uk or 0207 7432 3017 [↑](#footnote-ref-1)