# **ATTACHMENT B- RESPONSE FORM**

To: Alexander Burford

Email: [DCUSA@electralink.co.uk](mailto:dcusa@electralink.co.uk)

**Name:**

**Organisation:**

**Role:** Please Specify: Supplier/DNO/IDNO/DG/OTSO/Generator/Customer/Other

**Email Address:**

**Phone Number:**

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you understand the intent of the CP?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Are you supportive of the principles of the CP?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you consider that the proposal better facilitates the DCUSA Objectives? Please provide supporting information.** Charging Objectives:   1. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates the discharge by the DNO Party of the obligations imposed on it under the Act and by its Distribution Licence 2. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates competition in the generation and supply of electricity and will not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in the transmission or distribution of electricity or in participation in the operation of an Interconnector (as defined in the Distribution Licences) 3. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies results in charges which, so far as is reasonably practicable after taking account of implementation costs, reflect the costs incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the DNO Party in its Distribution Business 4. That, so far as is consistent with paragraphs 13A.6A to 13A.9, the CDCM, so far as is reasonably practicable, properly take account of developments in each DNO Party’s Distribution Business 5. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.   General Objectives:   1. The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks 2. The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity 3. The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences 4. The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement 5. Compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators. |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you have any comments on the proposed legal text?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **The Working Group is concerned that the 15 month time period may be excessive and will prevent new data that becomes available from being used which will reduce cost reflectivity. Do you agree with the working group assessment? Please provide rational.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **The Working Group is concerned that to publish asset cost Information 15 months in advance will require publication towards the end of December, which will be the same time as indication DUoS charges are being prepared and published to parties for the following April. This is already a particularly busy time of year for DNOs and may significantly increase the risk of errors occurring in either the future asset costs or the indicative charges. Other options that could be considered are either a minimum of 12 or 18 months notice which are both away from any other pricing publications.**   * + Do you agree with either of these proposed alternatives?   + If yes, would this cause any foreseeable problems for fulfilment of the DCUSA objectives, or wider repercussions for the industry and consumers, that would be mitigated by having a 15 month period?   + If you believe that 12 or 18 months are not suitable is there an alternative that you would suggest? |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Where a change to the CDCM asset related cost table inputs is required by a modification to DCUSA (for example, DCP 133 ‘500MW Network Common Model for CDCM Input’) do you believe that the 15 month notice period should still apply?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Are you aware of any wider industry developments that may impact upon or be impacted by this CP? If so, please give details, and comment on whether the benefit of the change may outweigh the potential impact and whether the duration of the change is likely to be limited.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you have any other comments?** |
|  |

**This form should be submitted to** [**DCUSA@electralink.co.uk**](mailto:DCUSA@electralink.co.ukl) **no later than 21 August 2012.**