# **ATTACHMENT A- RESPONSE FORM**

To: Rosalind Timperley

Email: [DCUSA@electralink.co.uk](mailto:dcusa@electralink.co.uk)

**Name:**

**Organisation:**

**Role:** Please Specify: Supplier/DNO/IDNO/DG/OTSO/Generator/Customer/Other

**Email Address:**

**Phone Number:**

|  |
| --- |
| **1. Do you understand the intent of the CP?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **2. Are you supportive of the principles of the CP?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **3. Do you consider that the proposal better facilitates the DCUSA Objectives? Please provide supporting information.** CDCM Objectives:   1. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates the discharge by the DNO Party of the obligations imposed on it under the Act and by its Distribution Licence 2. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates competition in the generation and supply of electricity and will not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in the transmission or distribution of electricity or in participation in the operation of an Interconnector (as defined in the Distribution Licences) 3. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies results in charges which, so far as is reasonably practicable after taking account of implementation costs, reflect the costs incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the DNO Party in its Distribution Business 4. That, so far as is consistent with paragraphs 13A.6A to 13A.9, the CDCM, so far as is reasonably practicable, properly take account of developments in each DNO Party’s Distribution Business 5. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.   General Objectives:   1. The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks 2. The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity 3. The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences 4. The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement 5. Compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators. |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **4. Do you have any comments on the proposed legal text?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **5. Are there any alternative solutions or matters that should be considered by the Working Group?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **6. Are you aware of any wider industry developments that may impact upon or be impacted by this CP? If so, please give details, and comment on whether the benefit of the change may outweigh the potential impact and whether the duration of the change is likely to be limited.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **7. Are you supportive of the proposed implementation date of 1 April 2013?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **8. DNOs, do you agree with the Working Group’s assessment that if an Ofgem decision was received by 5 December 2012, this would permit use for the April 2013 indicative tariffs?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **9. The input data for table 1064 (Average Split of Rate 1 Units by Special Distribution Time Band) has been determined based on estimated switching times for each category. It is the intention of the Working Group to re-calculate values for this table for each DNO area based on approved switching regimes. These values would then only be re-calculated where there is a change of timeband. Do you agree with this approach? Please give your rationale.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **10. The Working Group noted that there are three potential options for determining the co-incidence factors for the new UMS tariffs.**   * 1. **Big bang for NHH and HH – this option will create a step change for all UMS tariffs.**   2. **Change NHH immediately and leave HH as a gradual change – this options is what is being demonstrated in the attached prices provided as Appendix F**   3. **Do a gradual change for all**  **It was the view of the Working Group that option 2 is the preferable option. Do you agree? Please provide your rationale.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **11. Do you have any further comments?** |
|  |

**This form should be submitted to** [**DCUSA@electralink.co.uk**](mailto:DCUSA@electralink.co.ukl) **no later than Wednesday 26 September 2012.**