# **APPENDIX B - RESPONSE FORM**

To: Alex Burford

Email: [DCUSA@electralink.co.uk](mailto:dcusa@electralink.co.uk)

Fax: 020 7432 3011

**Name:**

**Organisation:**

**Role:** Please Specify: Supplier/DNO/IDNO/DG/OTSO/Generator/Customer/Other

**Email Address:**

**Phone Number:**

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you understand the intent of the DCP 113?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Are you supportive of its principles?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you consider that the proposal better facilitates the DCUSA Objectives? Please provide supporting information.**   1. The development, maintenance and operation by each of the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of an efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution System. 2. The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent with that) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity. 3. The efficient discharge by each of the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of the obligations imposed upon them by their Distribution Licences. 4. The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement and the arrangements under it. 5. Compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators. |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Please provide feedback on the proposed process set out in 3.2 of this document?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **For DNOs:** Is your company in a position to indentify discrepancies between in-house database records of Meter Technical Details provided by MOPs against DNOs Site Technical Details records of CT and VT ratios? If not, what systems would be required to allow you to identify the discrepancies and please give any applicable timescales for system upgrades? If this change progressed, then would you anticipate performing such checks? What level of charges would you expect to be recovered from Suppliers if an audit was performed? |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **For Suppliers:** What level of charges would you expect to be recovered from Distributors for works carried out resulting from incorrect data supplied from Distributors? |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **If there is a known error, do you think that the resolution should be through the standard settlement process and timescales? And please explain if the current process fit for purpose?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **When a mismatch of the ratios of a meter is identified, is the proposed 3 calendar months resolution timeframe acceptable? Please provide supporting comments** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **The Working Group recommended that there should be a cap placed on the amount issues which a DNO could report to a Supplier within a 3 month time period, with 50 issues per GSP Group per MPID being the agreed amount. Do you agree that this is an acceptable limit? Provide supporting comments.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you believe that the principle of charging either way for this sort of activity is appropriate or desirable? Please give supporting reasons.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you feel that there are any issues, not identified by the Working Group, within DCP 113 which will impact IDNOs? Provide detailed comments as to any issue you would like examined further by the Working Group.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you agree with the proposed implementation date?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you have any comments on the proposed legal text? Please provide comments as whether you believe the legal text meets the intent of the CP.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you have any further comments on DCP 113?** |
|  |

**This form should be submitted to** [**DCUSA@electralink.co.uk**](mailto:DCUSA@electralink.co.ukl) **no later than 01 June 2012.**