# **APPENDIX C - RESPONSE FORM**

To: Michael Walls

Email: [DCUSA@electralink.co.uk](mailto:dcusa@electralink.co.uk)

Fax: 020 7432 3014

Name:

Organisation:

Role: Supplier/DNO/IDNO/DG/OTSO/ Other– Please Specify:

Email Address:

Phone Number:

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you understand the intent of DCP 108 - Availability of the Non-Intermittent Generator Tariff?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Are you supportive of the principles of DCP 108 including the implementation date? If not, do you believe there are alternative ways of meeting intent DCP 108? Provide Supporting comments.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you agree that the Legal Text meets the intent of DCP 108? Provide supporting comments.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you agree that DCP108 better meets the DCUSA Charging Objectives? Please provide supporting comments along with your assessment against the objectives.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you feel that by providing this type of tariff to intermittent generators provides more cost reflectivity to the CDCM model? Please provide supporting comments.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **If DCP 108 is accepted and implemented, how do you think that there should be guidelines or restrictions associated with the movement between tariffs? This can include allowing intermittent generators only to be able to move tariffs once, once a year or any other timeframe that you feel is appropriate.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **If DCP 108 is accepted and implemented, would there be any System and/or Regulatory Changes that will need to be made? What are the costs and timelines associated with these changes?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Could it be considered unduly discriminatory to only provide optionality to one group of customers – intermittent generators? This will be the only set of customers that have the option of a different tariff; do you agree that this is compliant with the CDCM methodology?** |
|  |
| **Will this incentivise intermittent generators to generate more in the red time band? If this is the case, what type of generation would be able to react to this type of price signal?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Should the single rate tariff be abolished and all generators are on a red/amber/green tariff?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **For Generators: If this type of tariff was available to you would you take advantage of it?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Please provide any other comments or general views on DCP 104.** |
|  |

## **\*The following two questions are outside of the intent and therefore the scope of the DCP 108 Working Group. These alternative methods, if employed, would require a withdrawal of the current CP, and an alternate CP raised. However, the Working Group thought it would be prudent to gather as much information about all variants of possible ways to resolve the issue identified by DCP 108.**

|  |
| --- |
| **\*If Intermittent Generators were to have a three rate tariff (whether optional or not) should it be the same tariff, or one that is specifically designed for intermittent generators (this has not been designed, and is outside the immediate scope of this working group)?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **\*An alternative CP – An alternative CP – to amend the definition of “intermittent generation” to state that it is classified as non-intermittent if there’s an element of storage associated with the generation. Please list any issues that may arise from changing this definition as detailed above.** |
|  |

**This form should be submitted to** [**DCUSA@electralink.co.uk**](mailto:DCUSA@electralink.co.ukl) **no later than 9 December 2011.**