# **APPENDIX C - RESPONSE FORM**

To: Michael Walls

Email: [DCUSA@electralink.co.uk](mailto:dcusa@electralink.co.uk)

Fax: 020 7432 3014

Name:

Organisation:

Role: Supplier/DNO/IDNO/DG/OTSO/ Other– Please Specify:

Email Address:

Phone Number:

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you understand the intent of DCP 104 - Shared impact of manifest errors in DUoS charging?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Are you supportive of the principles of DCP 104 including the implementation date? If not, do you believe there are alternative ways of meeting intent DCP 104? Provide Supporting comments.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you agree that the Legal Text meets the intent of DCP 104? Provide supporting comments.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Within the legal text, there are limits (X and Y) where, if the error is outside these caps, the matter will be referred to Ofgem for determination on the split over the 3 year period. What do you feel are the appropriate levels of X and Y in percentage terms for these caps?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you agree that DCP104 better meets the DCUSA General Objectives? Please provide supporting comments along with your assessment against the objectives.**  1. The development, maintenance and operation by each of the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of an efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution System. 2. The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent with that) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity. 3. The efficient discharge by each of the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of the obligations imposed upon them by their Distribution Licences. 4. The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement and the arrangements under it. |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Provide comments on the following points about what should or should not be included within the definition of manifest error:** **Any mistake that is made by entering or deleting information in the “inputs” tab on within the CDCM Model****Any inadvertent error (formulae, additions or deletion) that is made within any area of the CDCM model with results in an error in any portion of the model****Provide comments on any of these areas, as well as anything additional that you feel should be included or deleted from this definition**. |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **In terms of the spreading of these manifest errors over a 3 year time period, evaluate the following options as to when you feel it is appropriate for Year 1 begin:** **The following price change (October or April),****The beginning of the next regulatory year (April)****Please provide any other alternative method you feel would be appropriate** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **What are the current impacts of manifest errors on DNOs, Suppliers and Consumers? Within your supporting comments, please include how the implementation of DCP 104 would affect the impacts that are present for the Party groups.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **If DCP 104 is accepted and implemented, would there be any System and/or Regulatory Changes that will need to be made? What are the costs and timelines associated with these changes?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Please provide any other comments or general views on DCP 104.** |
|  |

**This form should be submitted to** [**DCUSA@electralink.co.uk**](mailto:DCUSA@electralink.co.ukl) **no later than 9 December 2011.**