

## DCUSA Change Proposal Form

This form is issued in accordance with Clause 10.5 of the DCUSA.

Completed forms should be returned to [dcusa@electralink.co.uk](mailto:dcusa@electralink.co.uk) for assessment by the DCUSA Panel. Failure to complete all parts of the form may result in it being rejected by the DCUSA Panel.

- PART A – Mandatory for all Change Proposals
- PART B – Mandatory for Non Charging Methodologies Proposals
- PART C – Mandatory for Charging Methodologies Proposals
- PART D – Guidance Notes

### PART A - MANDATORY FOR ALL CHANGE PROPOSALS

<b>Document Control</b>	
CP Status	Standard
CP Number	DCP 103
Date of submission	8/7/2011
Attachments	
<b>Originator Details</b>	
Company Name	British Gas
Originator Name	Kevin Woollard
Category	SUPPLIER
Email Address	Kevin.woollard@centrica.co.uk
Phone Number	07979 563580
<b>Change Proposal Details</b>	
CP Title	Duos Charges for sub 100kw HH settled sites
Impacted parties	DNO, IDNOs, Suppliers
Impacted Clause(s)	
Part 1 / Part 2 Matter	Part 1
Related Change Proposals	
<b>Change Proposal Intent</b>	
<p>Suppliers are starting to install HH capable metering into sites that are currently settled in the NHH market on profile classes 1-8. To maximise the benefits of Time of Use tariffs suppliers need to be able to ensure that changes in customers consumption patters is reflected through the electricity settlement arrangements. The most efficient way to reflect these behaviour changes through settlement is to transfer the customer to the HH elective settlement regime (measurement class E). Under the current Duos charging arrangements distributors would charge any sites settlement through measurement class E as if they were over 100kw sites. The charges that would be levied would therefore not be appropriate for customers currently on profile classes 1 – 8 who suppliers then choose to transfer to measurement class E.</p> <p>The intent of this proposal is to provide a mechanism whereby distribution businesses are able to charge the same Use of System charges for customers currently on profile classes 1 – 8 whose suppliers choose to transfer to measurement class E as those customers on Profile classes 1 – 8 and settled under measurement class A.</p>	
<b>Business Justification and Market Benefits</b>	
<p>The way a site is settled through the Balancing and Settlement Code should not be a determinant for</p>	

how Use of System charges are levied. Use of system charges should be based on a combination of the connection point and the load being used by the customer. For example if a supplier chose to settle an existing domestic profile class 1 customer as measurement class E this should not effect the use of system charges being levied as nothing has changed with regard to the connection point or load requirements of the customer.

If distributors are able to levy appropriate use of system charges for measurement class E this will enable suppliers to pass on the benefits of Time of Use tariffs to customers through the HH settlement regime.

#### **Proposed Solution and Draft Legal Text**

In column C of the Tariffs tab of the CDCM, Profile Class 0 needs to be added to the tariffs not marked as HH.

#### **Proposed Implementation Date**

**February 2012**

#### **Impact on Other Codes**

Please tick the relevant boxes and provide any supporting information.

BSC	<input type="checkbox"/>
CUSC	<input type="checkbox"/>
Grid Code	<input type="checkbox"/>
MRA	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>
None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

If other please specify

#### **Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts**

This change will support the benefits case for Smart Metering and Mod P272 currently being assessed through the BSC.

#### **Environmental Impact**

The ability to promote Time of use tariffs will potentially reduce carbon emissions if load can be shifted to times when less carbon intensive generation is used.

#### **Confidentiality**

## **DCUSA Objectives**

### General Objectives:

Please tick the relevant boxes.

- 1 The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks
- 2 The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity
- 3 The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences
- 4 The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement

### **Rationale for better facilitation of the DCUSA Objectives identified above**

2.

The implementation of this change will enable suppliers to use measurement class E and secure settlement benefits if customers consumption behaviours change. These benefits will be passed on to customers through the competitive electricity supply market.

3.

The implementation of this change will enable distribution businesses to meet their Licence condition 13 Charging Methodologies for Use of System Connection clause 13.3 The relevant Objectives b and c.

## PART C – MANDATORY FOR CHARGING METHODOLOGIES PROPOSALS

### DCUSA CDCM Objectives

Please tick the relevant boxes.

#### CDCM Objectives:

- 1 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates the discharge by the DNO Party of the obligations imposed on it under the Act and by its Distribution Licence
- 2 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates competition in the generation and supply of electricity and will not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in the transmission or distribution of electricity or in participation in the operation of an Interconnector (as defined in the Distribution Licences)
- 3 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies results in charges which, so far as is reasonably practicable after taking account of implementation costs, reflect the costs incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the DNO Party in its Distribution Business
- 4 that, so far as is consistent with Clauses 3.2.1 to 3.2.3, the Charging Methodologies, so far as is reasonably practicable, properly take account of developments in each DNO Party's Distribution Business

#### General Objectives:

- 1 The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks
- 2 The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity
- 3 The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences
- 4 The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement

### Rationale for better facilitation of the DCUSA Objectives identified above

#### CDCM Objectives:

#### General Objectives:

2.  
The implementation of this change will enable suppliers to use measurement class E and secure settlement benefits if customers consumption behaviours change. These benefits will be passed on to customers through the competitive electricity supply market.
3.  
The implementation of this change will enable distribution businesses to meet their Licence condition 13 Charging Methodologies for Use of System Connection clause 13.3 The relevant Objectives b and c.

**Has this issue been discussed at any other industry forums? If so please specify and provide supporting documentation**

The issue has been discussed in the Distribution Charges Methodologies Forum workstream xx and the Profiling and Settlement Review Group.

**PART D – GUIDANCE NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE FORM**

<b>Data Field</b>	<b>Guidance</b>
<b>Attachments</b>	Append any proposed legal text or supporting documentation in order to better support / explain the CP.
<b>Change Proposal Intent</b>	Outline the issue the CP is seeking to address. Please note that the intent of the CP cannot be altered once submitted.
<b>Confidentiality</b>	Clearly indicate if any parts of this Change Proposal Form are to remain confidential to DCUSA Panel (and any subsequent DCUSA Working Group) and Ofgem
<b>CP Status</b>	A CP may be deemed 'urgent' in accordance with Clause 10.4.8 of the DCUSA. The proposer should give supporting reasons.
<b>DCUSA General Objectives</b>	Indicate which of the DCUSA Objectives will be better facilitated by the Change Proposal.
<b>DCUSA CDCM Objectives</b>	Indicate which of the DCUSA CDCM Objectives will be better facilitated by the Change Proposal. Please note that a CDCM change may also facilitate the DCUSA General objectives.
<b>Draft Legal Text</b>	Insert proposed legal drafting (change marked against any existing DCUSA drafting). The Change Proposal Intent will take precedence in the event of any inconsistency.
<b>Environmental Impact</b>	Indicate whether it is likely that there would be a material impact on greenhouse gas emissions as a result of the proposed variation being made. Please see <a href="#">Ofgem Guidance</a> .
<b>Impact of Wider Industry Change</b>	Indicate whether this Change Proposal will be impacted by or have an impact upon wider industry developments. If an impact is identified, explain why the benefit of the Change Proposal may outweigh the potential impact and indicate the likely duration of the Change.
<b>Part 1 / Part 2 Matter</b>	A CP must be categorised as a Part 1 or Part 2 matter in accordance with Clause 10.4.7 of the DCUSA. All Part 1 matters require Authority Consent.
<b>Proposed Implementation Date</b>	The Change can be implemented in February, June, and November of each year.
<b>Proposed Solution</b>	Outline the proposed solution for addressing the stated intent of the CP. The Change Proposal Intent will take precedence in the event of any inconsistency. A DCUSA Working Group may develop alternative solutions.

<b>Rationale for DCUSA Objectives</b>	Provide supporting reasons and information (including any initial analysis that supports your views) to demonstrate why the CP will better facilitate each of the DCUSA Objectives identified.
<b>Related Change Proposals</b>	Indicate if the CP is related to or impacts any CP already in the DCUSA or other industry change process.