

DCUSA Change Proposal Form

This form should be used by DCUSA Parties who wish raise a draft or formal Change Proposal and for DCUSA members and other interested parties to return their comments on DCUSA Change Proposals which have been circulated for consultation.

Originators - please complete Section A of this form and submit to DCUSA@electralink.co.uk.

Respondents – please complete Section B of this form and return it to DCUSA@electralink.co.uk your response should include your assessment of the solution and comments on the Change Proposal drafting. If you reject the solution then please supply your reason for rejection and an alternative solution. Even if you reject a solution your responses should state whether the proposed implementation date is acceptable to your organisation. If it is not acceptable, please indicate the reasons why and an alternative date.

SECTION A: To be completed by originator

Document Control	
CP Status	Standard / Urgent
Date Submitted	06 August 2008
CP Number*	DCP 035
Version Number	1.0
CP Ref*	08/08
Attachments	Appendix A – Legal Drafting

* Assigned by DCUSA Secretariat

Originator Details	
Party Name	EDF Energy Networks (EPN) plc
Originator Name	Peter Waymont
Party Category	Distributor / Supplier / IDNO / DG
Email Address	Peter.waymont@edfenegry.com
Telephone Number	07875 112757

Change Proposal Details	
CP Title	Distribution and Supply Licence Reviews – consequential changes
DCUSA Parties believed to be impacted	Distributor / Supplier / IDNO / DG

Proposal to Change	Part 1 / Part 2 / Other Schedule / Clause: Throughout DCUSA
Summary of Change	The change proposes consequential changes to DCUSA arising from the Distribution and Supply Licence reviews.
Related CPs	<i>Please indicate if this CP is related to or impacts any other CP already in the DCUSA or other industry Change Process</i> none

Proposed Solution

A marked up version of DCUSA showing the consequential changes proposed is attached to this CP.

Proposed Implementation Technique

~~Phased Implementation~~ / Big Bang

Proposed Implementation Date

Please specify and give a reason if proposed date is outside the release schedule

~~February / June /~~ February Release Year: 2009

Other:

DCUSA Objectives

Please state which DCUSA Objective(s) will be better facilitated by this CP

- ~~1. The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks;~~
- ~~2. The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;~~
3. The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences; and

The Licences have changed format and so it is more efficient to discharge obligations under them if those obligations are properly referenced in industry codes.

4. The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement.

The administration of DCUSA is more efficient if cross references are correct otherwise it may be unclear to the user of DCUSA quite what a given clause is trying to achieve.

Business Justification Including Market Benefits

The Distribution and Supply Licences have been reviewed and changed. As a result references to Conditions and Definitions under them are no longer up to date.

In order that the DCUSA is up to date it is suggested that these consequential changes be made.

The DCUSA Panel identified the need for this and asked Wragge's to consider the changes that would need to be made. That work is appended to this CP as the

proposed legal drafting for the change.

However a CP needs to be raised in order to make these changes effective and putting these through the DCUSA process enables a consultation to take place to ensure that the DCUSA community believes the proposed changes are correct.

The changes are all of the nature of housekeeping and do not make any change of intent or impact.

Note that thought will need to be given by the Panel as to the implementation date for this change, bearing in mind its interaction with other CPs that may already be in the process.

Authority Consent

~~Yes (Part One)~~/ No (Part Two)

Although the changes affect clauses which have been deemed to be Part 1 matters, making the changes themselves is not a Part 1 matter as making these changes does not meet any of the relevant criteria.