

DCUSA Change Proposal Form

This form should be used by DCUSA Parties who wish raise a draft or formal Change Proposal and for DCUSA members and other interested parties to return their comments on DCUSA Change Proposals which have been circulated for consultation.

Originators - please complete Section A of this form and submit to DCUSA@electralink.co.uk.

Respondents – please complete Section B of this form and return it to DCUSA@electralink.co.uk your response should include your assessment of the solution and comments on the Change Proposal drafting. If you reject the solution then please supply your reason for rejection and an alternative solution. Even if you reject a solution your responses should state whether the proposed implementation date is acceptable to your organisation. If it is not acceptable, please indicate the reasons why and an alternative date.

SECTION A: To be completed by originator

Document Control	
CP Status:	Standard / Urgent (delete as appropriate)
Date Submitted:	5/6/07
CP Number*:	DCP 004
Version Number:	V1.0
Ref*:	06/07
Attachments:	n/a

** Assigned by DCUSA Change Control Administrator*

Originator details	
Party Name	EDF Energy Networks
Originator Name:	Peter Waymont
Constituency:	Distributor / Supplier / IDNO / Generator
Telephone number:	07875 112757
Fax number:	01293 577777
Email address:	Peter.Waymont@edfenergy.com

CP Details	
Title of Change:	Abstention by entire category
DCUSA Parties believed to be impacted:	Distributor / Supplier / IDNO / Generator / Other (please specify)

<p>Proposal to Change:</p> <p><i>Any proposal to add, delete or amend a Part 1 matter will be subject to Authority Consent</i></p>	<p>Part 1 matter / Part 2 / housekeeping / other (please specify which section or clause of the DCUSA are being amended)</p> <p>Part 1 – Clause 12.17</p>
<p>Summary of Change:</p>	<p>At the moment, the abstention of an entire Party Category will mean that a CP is rejected.</p> <p>For a change to be accepted, a hurdle must be reached which is based on a weighting of those parties that voted but, if that hurdle is not reached, “in all other cases” a change is rejected. This means that if no-one in a party votes, a change is rejected according to the current drafting.</p>
<p>Related CPs:</p> <p><i>Please indicate if this CP is related to or impacts any other CP already in the DCUSA or other industry Change Process</i></p>	<p>n/a</p>

Proposed Solution:

(Please also attach proposed legal drafting here)

Add a new clause 12.17 under a new heading as follows:

If no Group in a Party Category Votes

12.17 Where, in respect of a Change Proposal and a Party Category that is specified in the relevant Change Report as being eligible to vote, no Group in that Party Category casts a vote, such Party Category shall, for the purposes of Clause 13, be treated as if it were not eligible to vote.

Proposed Implementation Technique:

Big Bang

Proposed Implementation Date:

~~February Release / June Release~~ / November 2007 Release / ~~Other~~ (Please specify and give a reason)

How will the CP facilitate the DCUSA relevant objectives

[please state which one(s)] :

The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement is better achieved by treating an abstention truly as such. Where a single Party Group abstains, the DCUSA already treats it as an abstention and it has no influence over the outcome of a vote but the drafting is such that all Party Groups in a Category abstaining is not treated as such. This change will give consistency of approach and hence efficiency.

Authority Consent Required: Part 1 or Part 2 matter

Yes [Part 1]/ No [Part 2]

Business Justification Including Market Benefits:

Ensures that CPs are recommended/passed or failed based on positive act of voting