

DCUSA CHANGE DECLARATION

DCP 105 Fixed Bi-Annual amendment of Duos Tariffs

VOTING DATE: 03 February 2012

DCP 105	WEIGHTED VOTING			
	DNO	IDNO / OTSO	SUPPLIER	DG
CHANGE SOLUTION	Reject	Reject	Accept	N/A
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Reject	Reject	Accept	N/A
RECOMMENDATION	<p>Change Solution – Reject.</p> <p>In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was not greater than 50% in all Categories that voted.</p> <p>Implementation Date – Reject.</p> <p>In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was not greater than 50% in all Categories that voted.</p>			
PART ONE	Part One – Authority Determination Required			

PARTY	SOLUTION (A / R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A/R)	COMMENTS
DNO PARTIES			
Eastern Power Networks plc	Reject	Reject	<p>This would have implications on our ability to maintain compliance with certain conditions of our distribution licence or to respond to Ofgem directions or re-openers.</p> <p>Standard condition 14 contains an obligation to have in place a charging statement which reflects UoS arrangements which we have entered into. Restricting our ability to change the statement other than in October and April will mean that we will not be able to update the statement if and when new EDCM sites are energised.</p> <p>Additionally, Standard condition 38 contains arrangements for the recovery of additional revenue to pay for a supplier of last resort.</p> <p>With a restriction to October and April changes only, we might not be able to maintain over or under recovery charge constraints.</p>
London Power Networks plc	Reject	Reject	As Eastern Power Networks plc
South Eastern Power Networks plc	Reject	Reject	As Eastern Power Networks plc

Electricity North West Ltd	Reject	Reject	We would require flexibility to be able to modify tariffs outside of the 1 April / October window in exceptional circumstances. Our understanding is that previously only on Two occasions have other DNOs differed from April /October submissions (due to errors) so we do not feel this is a large risk for suppliers.
Northern Powergrid (North East)	Reject	Reject	N/A
Northern Powergrid (Yorkshire)	Reject	Reject	N/A
Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution plc	Reject	Reject	N/A
Southern Electric Power Distribution plc	Reject	Reject	N/A
SP Distribution	Accept	Accept	N/A
SP Manweb	Accept	Accept	N/A
Western Power Distribution (East Midlands) plc	Reject	Reject	We are not supportive on account that intention we believe is too narrow. The current drafting already requires the 1 April/1 October under normal circumstances, but allows for exceptional circumstances. There is already sufficient protection against DNOs adopting other price change dates without good reason. This is in addition t the three month notice

			period.
Western Power Distribution (South Wales)	Reject	Reject	As Western Power Distribution (East Midlands) plc
Western Power Distribution (South West)	Reject	Reject	As Western Power Distribution (East Midlands) plc
Western Power Distribution (West Midlands) plc	Reject	Reject	As Western Power Distribution (East Midlands) plc
IDNO PARTIES			
UK Power Networks (IDNO) Ltd	Reject	Reject	<p>This would have implications on our ability to maintain compliance with certain conditions of our distribution licence or to respond to Ofgem directions or re-openers.</p> <p>Standard condition 14 contains an obligation to have in place a charging statement which reflects UoS arrangements which we have entered into. Restricting our ability to change the statement other than in October and April will mean that we will not be able to update the statement if and when new EDCM sites are energised.</p> <p>Additionally, Standard condition 38 contains arrangements for the recovery of additional revenue to pay for a supplier of last resort.</p> <p>With a restriction to October and April</p>

			changes only, we might not be able to maintain over or under recovery charge constraints.
ESP Electricity Limited	Accept	Accept	No additional comments over those already detailed in our consultation response. We see no adverse impact for IDNOs who mirror the DNO UoS Charges.
GTC	Reject	Reject	N/A
Independent Power Networks Limited	Accept	Accept	N/A
SUPPLIER PARTIES			
British Gas	Accept	Accept	N/A
EDF Energy	Accept	Accept	Limiting the change in DUoS charges to April and October each year will make it easier for suppliers to forecast charges and reduce risks for both Suppliers and customers.
EON	Accept	Accept	N/A
First Utility	Accept	Accept	N/A
Haven Power	Accept	Accept	N/A
Gazprom Marketing & Trading Retail Ltd	Accept	Accept	N/A
Npower	Accept	Accept	The majority of suppliers and all customers who responded to the consultation

			supported this change since, if approved, it improves predictability of tariffs since there are only 2 possible dates during the year where prices can change.
Scottish Power Energy Retail Ltd	Accept	Accept	As a supplier we are prepared to accept the risk of increased percentage changes in charges if the amendment can only happen at fixed times throughout the year. We believe the quarterly publication on charging amendments should provide enough information to allow us to plan for the changes.
SSE Energy Supply Ltd	Accept	Accept	As a general rule, predictability over when the DUoS charges are made, will ensure that suppliers are able to provide a stable price framework for customers. Change proposal DCP 104 addresses a related problem, therefore, the acceptance or rejection of the two proposals needs to be considered in conjunction with one another.
GDF Suez Marketing Ltd	Accept	Accept	N/A