

**DCUSA CHANGE DECLARATION**

**DCP 090 –Nested Networks**

**VOTING DATE:** 28 October 2011

DCP 090	WEIGHTED VOTING			
	DNO	IDNO / OTSO	SUPPLIER	DG <sup>1</sup>
CHANGE SOLUTION	Accept	Accept	Accept	N/A
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Accept	Accept	Accept	N/A
RECOMMENDATION	<p><b>Change Solution – ACCEPT.</b>                      In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was greater than 50% in all Categories.</p> <p><b>Implementation Date – ACCEPT.</b>                      In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was greater than 50% in all Categories that voted.</p>			
PART ONE	Part One – Authority Determination Required			

---

<sup>1</sup> No votes were cast in this category of Parties

<b>PARTY</b>	<b>SOLUTION (A / R)</b>	<b>IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A/R)</b>	<b>COMMENTS</b>
<b>DNO PARTIES</b>			
Electricity North West Limited	Accept	Accept	N/A
Northern Power Grid (Northeast)	Accept	Accept	N/A
Northern Power Grid (Yorkshire)	Accept	Accept	N/A
Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept	Whilst we vote to accept the Change Proposal, we remain concerned with the additional impact on the availability of LLFCs which implementation of this CP will create.
Southern Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept	Whilst we vote to accept the Change Proposal, we remain concerned with the additional impact on the availability of LLFCs which implementation of this CP will create.
SP Distribution	Accept	Accept	N/A
SP Manweb	Accept	Accept	N/A
WPD East Midlands	Accept	Accept	N/A
WPD South Wales	Accept	Accept	N/A
WPD South West	Accept	Accept	N/A
WPD West Midlands	Accept	Accept	N/A
<b>IDNO PARTIES</b>			

ESP Electricity	Reject	Reject	We believe that introducing an obligation on 'Secondary EDNOs' to provide cumbersome reports for the purposes of billing Nested Networks is excessive for the expected volumes of networks and the associated forecast revenues generated.
Independent Power Networks	Accept	Accept	N/A
The Electricity Network Company	Accept	Accept	Acceptance was qualified with this comment: Whilst we broadly agree with the intent of the proposed change we do have reservations whether this is a cost reflective solution at this time. Respecting the provision within the legal text which allows agreeing parties to not apply costs, where parties apply this logic in considering the efficiency of levying proportionate charges, we believe the proposal to be a positive one.
<b>SUPPLIER PARTIES</b>			
E.On UK	Accept	Accept	N/A