

DCUSA CHANGE DECLARATION

DCP 046 – Common Distribution Charging Methodologies Governance

VOTING DATE: 18 November 2009

DCP 046A	WEIGHTED VOTING		
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	REJECT	REJECT	ACCEPT
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT
RECOMMENDATION	<p>Change Solution – REJECT In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was not greater than 50% in all Categories.</p> <p>Implementation Date –ACCEPT In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was greater than 50% in all Categories.</p>		
PART ONE / PART TWO	Part One – Authority Determination Required		

DCP 046B	WEIGHTED VOTING		
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	ACCEPT	REJECT	REJECT
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT
RECOMMENDATION	<p>Change Solution – REJECT In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was not greater than 50% in all Categories.</p> <p>Implementation Date –ACCEPT In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was greater than 50% in all Categories.</p>		
PART ONE / PART TWO	Part One – Authority Determination Required		

PARTY	DCP 046 A (A/R)	DCP 046 B (A/R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A/R)	COMMENTS
DNO PARTIES				
Central Networks East Plc	ACCEPT	REJECT	ACCEPT	
Central Networks West Plc	ACCEPT	REJECT	ACCEPT	
EDF Energy Networks (EPN) Plc	REJECT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	Neither option is an optimal fit with DCUSA processes so long as a veto/silence is required by Ofgem as opposed to the positive action of giving an acceptance or a rejection.
EDF Energy Networks (LPN) plc	REJECT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	Neither option is an optimal fit with DCUSA processes so long as a veto/silence is required by Ofgem as opposed to the positive action of giving an acceptance or a rejection.
EDF Energy Networks (SPN) plc	REJECT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	Neither option is an optimal fit with DCUSA processes so long as a veto/silence is required by Ofgem as opposed to the positive action of giving an acceptance or a rejection.
Electricity North West Limited	REJECT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	
Northern Electric Distribution Ltd	REJECT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	
Southern Electric Power Distribution	ACCEPT	REJECT	ACCEPT	
Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution	ACCEPT	REJECT	ACCEPT	

Western Power Distribution (South Wales) plc	REJECT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	
Western Power Distribution (South West) plc	REJECT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	
Yorkshire Electric Distribution Ltd	REJECT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	
IDNO PARTIES				
EDF Energy (IDNO) Limited	REJECT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	
Independent Power Networks Limited	ACCEPT	REJECT	ACCEPT	<p>IPNL supports the drafting in Appendix A on the basis that there is less ambiguity surrounding the right to appeal and also on the basis that it still respects the recommendation of the affected parties.</p> <p>IPNL would not support the use of Appendix B as the Authority's silence (i.e. where the change is implemented, notwithstanding a vote against implementation) could be interpreted as failing to meet all the requirements of condition 173 of the Energy Act, thereby excluding the right of appeal.</p>
SUPPLIER PARTIES				
British Gas	ACCEPT	REJECT	ACCEPT	

EDF Energy Customers	REJECT	ACCEPT	We are supportive of the proposed implementation date as outlined in the change report.	Although both options meet the requirements of the Licence drafting we believe that option DCP046B more explicitly reflects the intent. We would encourage Ofgem to issue a formal non veto response as and when required to support the change process.
E.ON UK	REJECT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	
RWE Npower Group	ACCEPT	REJECT	ACCEPT	
Scottish Power Energy Retail	ACCEPT	REJECT	ACCEPT	