

Code Administration Code of Practice (CACoP) Meeting 002 (Closed Session)

19 March 2018 at 10:30am

2 Stephen Street, Fitzrovia, London, W1T 1AN

Attendee	Company	Code
Alexandra Moore [AM]	ElectraLink	DCUSA/SPAA
Chris Wood [CW]	ELEXON	BSC
Colin Down [CD]	Ofgem	N/A
Dan Fittock [DF]	ElectraLink	DCUSA
David Spillett [DS] (Teleconference, Part meeting)	ENA	DCode
Fraser Mathieson [FM]	ElectraLink	SPAA
Naomi Davies [ND]	National Grid	CUSC/Grid Code
Penny Garner [PG]	Joint Office	UNC
Rachel Bird [RB]	Gemserv	iGT UNC
Scott Laczay [SL] (Part meeting)	Ofgem	N/A
Simon Fox-Mella [SFM]	Gemserv	MRASCo
Talia Addy [TA] (Teleconference)	Gemserv	SEC
Secretariat		
Elizabeth Lawlor [EL] (Chair)	ElectraLink	DCUSA
Hollie Nicholls [HN] (Secretariat)	ElectraLink	DCUSA

Apologies	Company	Code
Teresa Thompson	National Grid	CUSC

1. Brexit Cross-Code View

- 1.1 The SPAA representative shared a paper that was submitted to the SPAA Executive Committee on 28 February 2018 which set out its Brexit preparation strategy.
- 1.2 It was noted that there were two options for progressing code changes:
 - The normal, sequential process with Licence modifications followed by Code Modifications; or
 - A 'compressed' process whereby the Licence Modifications and Code Modifications are carried out in parallel.
- 1.3 Ofgem believe that the 'compressed' process provides the best opportunity to deliver the project on time.
- 1.4 Members agreed to use the CACoP as a forum for developing a common strategy for identifying and implementing the changes required to the Codes as a result of Brexit.
- 1.5 Members identified that there will be two categories of changes required:
 - From EU Exit day one, the Modifications would be primarily housekeeping, and these would be identified as the changes that would be needed to keep the codes working; and
 - From EU Exit day two, the Modification would be more technical and would need a steer from Ofgem in how they are progressed.
- 1.6 The SEC representative noted that the required amendments for SEC are primarily housekeeping e.g. updating EU law to GB law. The representative confirmed that although the SEC supports the idea of a compressed process, in reality modifications cannot be progressed until the SEC have site of the licence changes. If Ofgem provide the licence changes before they are published, the SEC will be able to progress the modifications in line.
- 1.7 The UNC representative confirmed that there will be potential impact on the National Transmission System (National Grid) and any Modifications will need to go to the Authority as they would be classed as material changes, unless a Significant Code Review (SCR) is raised. A number of members voiced their support for a SCR but the Ofgem representative re-iterated that their current proposal is that there will be no SCR.
- 1.8 ELEXON has completed an initial review of the Code and identified the required housekeeping modifications. In addition, it has taken a "what if" approach and identified a list of 86 questions that require further consideration. A number are dependent on the outcomes of the exit negotiations.
- 1.9 Members agreed there should be a common strategy across the Codes for managing the changes. It was requested that Ofgem set out its overall plan for Brexit amendments. This will allow CAs to

develop a common plan, including the process and timescales for raising and implementing mods. This can then be communicated to all Parties.

- 1.10 The Chair concluded that the group should continue to feed into Ofgem's EU Exit Group and has asked the SPAA representative to speak with all CACoP members separately to compile a list of questions that need answers from Ofgem. The group will then be able to organise next steps and look at the potential of producing a joint strategy that they can present to their respective Panels.

ACTION 02C/01: SPAA