



DCUSA DCP 343 Change declaration
Voting end date: 16 September 2019

DCP 343 'USE OF A NOMINATED CALCULATION AGENT FOR THE CALCULATION OF THE LV MAINS SPLIT'	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	CVA REGISTRANT	GAS SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	Accept	Reject	n/a	n/a	n/a
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Accept	Accept	n/a	n/a	n/a
RECOMMENDATION	<p>Change Solution – Reject.</p> <p>In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the change solution not more than 50% in all Categories.</p> <p>Implementation Date – Accept.</p> <p>In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was more than 50% in all Categories.</p>				
PART ONE / PART TWO	Part One – Authority Determination Required				

PARTY	SOLUTION (A / R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A / R)	WHICH DCUSA OBJECTIVE(S) IS BETTER FACILITATED?	COMMENTS
DNO PARTIES				
Electricity North West	Accept	Accept	We believe this change will better facilitate Charging Objective 6 promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Charging Methodologies.	
Northern Powergrid (Northeast) Ltd	Accept	Accept	As proposer of this change, our view remains unchanged from that presented in the change proposal form, being that this change will have no impact on Charging Objectives one to five and will better facilitate Charging Objective six. This will be achieved by reducing the costs incurred by DNOs in procuring the NCA and improving efficiency for both DNOs and LDNOs by enabling DNOs to carry out the calculation of the 'LV mains split' internally.	
Northern Powergrid (Yorkshire) Ltd	Accept	Accept		
Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept	DCUSA Charging Objective Six will be better facilitated by this change as it will reduce the costs incurred by DNOs in procuring an NCA for this exercise. This will also improve efficiency for both DNOs and LDNOs by enabling DNOs to carry out the calculation of the 'LV mains split' internally.	
London Power Networks	Accept	Accept		
South Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept		
WPD South West	Reject	Reject		

WPD South Wales	Reject	Reject	It negative impacts DCUSA Charging Objective 6	
WPD East Midlands	Reject	Reject		
WPD West Midlands	Reject	Reject		
IDNO PARTIES				
BUUK	Reject	Accept	We do not believe it better facilitates DCUSA Charging Objective 6; ‘That compliance with the Charging Methodologies promotes efficiency in its own implementation and administration’ in both intent and proposed solution.	We do not see the need to remove the use of the NCA for the calculation of the LV mains split. The NCA was introduced as DNOs faced a lack of transparency and accessibility of data when calculating the split. DCP 240 was raised to create a solution which resulted in the procurement of an NCA to carry out the calculation (and align with that of the HV mains split). Since this implementation, we have not seen evidence to suggest transparency and accessibility has improved for the NCA to be removed and responsibility given back to the DNOs. In some area, transparency has decreased. As such, we have concerns that removing the use of the NCA and placing accountability upon DNOs may be inefficient and costly. Therefore, we do not believe the change better facilitates DCUSA Charging Objective 6; ‘That compliance with the Charging Methodologies promotes efficiency in its own implementation and administration’ and therefore voted to ‘reject’.
ESP Electricity Ltd	Accept	Accept	ESPE support the proposer’s and WG’s view that Charging Obj 6 is better facilitated as it reduces the	

			NCA costs to calculate the LV split when the data is already available to distributors through settlement dataflows. This improves efficiency in the implementation and administration of the charging methodologies.	
SUPPLIER PARTIES				
N/A				
CVA REGISTRANTS				
N/A				
GAS SUPPLIER PARTIES				
N/A				