

Joint DCPs 352, 353, 354 and 355 Working Group Meeting 01

07 January 2020 at 10:00am

Skype Meeting/Teleconference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Chris Ong [CO]	UK Power Networks
Donald Preston [DP]	SSEN
Code Administrator	
Dylan Townsend [DT] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Hollie Nicholls [HN] (Technical Secretary)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
Becky Cailes	BUUK
Peter Waymont	UK Power Networks

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Chair welcomed the members to the meeting.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.3 The Terms of Reference for the meeting were reviewed and the Working Group agreed that these were a fair and accurate representation of the Working Group’s objectives and agreed to be bound by them for the duration of the Working Group.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting is to review and analyse the Change Proposals (CPs), review the proposed legal text and agree next steps for the CPs.

3. Background of the DCP 352, 353, 354 and 355 Change Proposals

- 3.1 The Proposer provided a background of the four Change Proposals (CPs). In early 2018, work took place to create a new DCUSA charging model suite (CDCM, EDCM [FCP and LRIC] and PCDM) which was undertaken by a new modelling service provider (CEPA/TNEI) working with a group of industry experts.
- 3.2 As a result, there were a number of areas where the legal text did not fully align to the charging models or the legal text was not as clear as it could be. Therefore, a log of all the issues was created and after some discussion a proposed solution was tabled for each. In most cases, there was only a minor tweak to the legal text.
- 3.3 The Proposer noted that there are still a couple of outstanding actions that need solutions developing within the EDCM FCP and PCDM modelling assumption logs.

4. Review of the DCP 352, 353, 354 and 355 Draft Legal Texts

- 4.1 The Chair explained to the Working Group that the Secretariat had attempted to draft the legal text for each of the four CPs as stipulated in the modelling assumption logs. It was noted that each of the four versions of the legal text (Attachment 1) needs to be reviewed by Working Group members.
- 4.2 It was noted that the Secretariat had used the 2021/22 pre-release legal text so that DCPs 268, 332, 333, 341, 342 and 356 legal text changes were included.
- 4.3 In regard to the outstanding issues, one Working Group Member agreed to look at these and produce potential solutions for each. It was also requested that the outstanding issues be pulled out into a separate document, including those that have suggested longer term solutions, so that the Working Group can easily identify them until solutions have been provided.

ACTION 01/01: Donald Preston to review issues 4 and 6 of the EDCM FCP Assumptions Log and issue 11 of the PCDM Assumptions Log.

ACTION 01/02: The Secretariat to pull the outstanding actions in to a separate document so that the Working Group can easily identify them.

- 4.4 The Working Group also discussed the need for a consultation to be issued to DCUSA Parties and agreed that they did not believe consultations were necessary. It was discussed that due to the fact that these issues had been reviewed and solutions provided by industry experts 18 months ago and the solutions were privy to those who are members of the Distribution Charging Methodologies Development Group, a consultation was not needed.
- 4.5 It was agreed that once, the final solutions had been developed and the legal text finalised the group should go directly to the Change Report stage of the Change Process and rationale would be provided within the Change Report to explain why a consultation had not been issued for each of the CPs.

5. Work Plan and Next Steps

5.1 The Chair walked the Working Group through the draft Work Plan and an updated version can be found as Attachment 2. The following next steps for the Change Proposal were as follows:

- The Secretariat to pull the outstanding issues into a separate log so that Working Group members can easily identify them;
- The Working Group to provide solutions and legal text changes for the outstanding issues;
- The Working Group to review the drafted legal text to ensure accuracy; and
- A doodle poll to be circulated to obtain Working Group availability before organising the next Working Group meeting.

ACTION 01/03: Working Group Members to review the drafted legal text to ensure accuracy.

6. Any Other Business

6.1 There were no items of AOB, and the Chair closed the meeting.

7. Date of Next Meeting – TBD

7.1 The Working Group agreed that a doodle poll should be circulated to obtain Working Group members availability before arranging the next meeting as a lot of the actions can be concluded via email.

ACTION 01/04: The Secretariat to conduct a doodle poll to obtain availability before arranging the next Working Group meeting.

8. Attachments

- Attachment 1 – DCP 352-355 Updated Legal Text
- Attachment 2 – DCP 352-355 Updated Work Plan

New and open actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/01	One Working Group Member to review issues 4 and 6 of the EDCM FCP Assumptions Log and issue 11 of the PCDM Assumptions Log.	Donald Preston	
01/02	The Secretariat to pull the outstanding actions in to a separate document so that the Working Group can easily identify them.	ElectraLink	
01/03	Working Group Members to review the drafted legal text to ensure accuracy.	All WG Members	
01/04	The Secretariat to conduct a doodle poll to obtain availability before arranging the next Working Group meeting.	ElectraLink	