DCP 350 Working Group Meeting 05

09 March 2020 at 10am
Skype/ Teleconference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Ryan Kavanagh [RK]	WPD
Ben Harries [BH]	Ofgem
Lisa Waters [LW]	Waters Wye Associates
Steve Halsey [SH]	UKPN
Rob Nickerson [RN]	National Grid Electricity System Operator
Alessandra DeZottis	Sembcorp
Ian Povey [IP]	ENWL
Code Administrator	
Anthony Bivens [AB] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Richard Colwill [RC](Technical Secretary)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
Donna Townsend [DT]	ESPUG
Helen Stack [HS]	WPD
Tom Kenyon-Brown	Ofgem

1. Administration

1.1 The Chair welcomed the members to the meeting.

1.2 The Working Group reviewed the "Competition Law Guidance". All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.

1.3 The minutes from the last meeting held on 20 January 2020 were reviewed and it was agreed that they were an accurate reflection of the meeting.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting was to review the draft consultation document and agree next steps.

3. Review of Consultation Responses

3.1 The Working Group reviewed the responses received from the consultation. Key points from the discussions are below:

Are you comfortable with the proposed amendments to the intent statement of this change?

- There was general acceptance of the amended intent statement.
- Some respondents stated they would have preferred the original proposal but there is an understanding that this CP can progress faster if a national register is considered post this change.

Do you understand the intent of the CP?

- All respondents understood the intend of DCP 350.
- Clarity that the register will include all generation over 1MW and demand sites over 1MW that provide services. Regarding demand sites that provide services, ESO is to look into how they could provide this data to the DNO.
- A question was raised regarding provisions for DNO cost recovery if this CP is approved. BH took an action to review this within Ofgem

Are you supportive of the principles that support this CP, which is to increase the availability of accessible data which is expected to improve the economic and efficient and operation of the energy market, while driving towards a lower carbon economy?

- All respondents are supportive of the principles of the CP. Some would like to see the threshold of 1MW lowered in the future. Also, it is noted that a national register in the future would be of benefit.

Do you agree with the data items that the Working Group have decided should be included in an ECR? If not, what items would you remove/add and why?

- Some respondents would like the register to contain division of storage assets and their export duration.
- DNOs expressed that they would like to undertake a CBA to understand the costs of the additional items required above that already required within the SWRR. The Secretariat took an action to draft an RFI and circulate to the Working Group for comments before submitting to DNOs.
- It was noted that if this CP is approved, ENA Recommendation G99 would need to be updated. Further consideration regarding this is needed.
- There were concerns raised regarding the current list of proposed technologies and resource types such as ensuring that the final list covers all the important technologies without duplication, including storage duration as noted above. All members of the Working Group should review the technology and resource lists and provide comments.

Do you have any comments on the definitions that have been used for each item proposed to be contained in the ECR?

- It was acknowledged that where possible the definitions have been aligned with the SWRR.
- There were some comments regarding the additional definitions needing to be more robust. The Working Group is asked to review the definitions and provide any comments for improvements.

Do you agree with the format chosen by the Working Group for publishing the ECR?

Do you agree with the proposal that each DNO and IDNO is to publish a populated version of the common ECR on their individual website? Please provide rationale.

Do you believe that the publication of a national register by a third party in the future would be of most use to all market participants? If so, in what timeframe would you like to see this in place by?

- In general respondents are supportive of the format chosen for publishing the ECR as a starting point.
- Some concerns were raised regarding knowing who all the IDNOs are once these registers are published. It was noted that all reasonable steps will be made to ensure that there is easy access to all the active IDNOs.
- As above, there were comments received regarding a national register in the future.

Do you agree with the proposal to mandate that the ECR is to be updated on a monthly basis on a set date?

- Most of the respondents agree that updating the ECR monthly is appropriate.

Do you believe that the governance arrangements proposed by the Working Group as to how the ECR is populated will lead to DNOs and IDNOs updating it in a consistent manner?

- Most respondents agree that this will provide a consistent approach.
- Some comments received regarding ensuring that the governance process is reviewed after a period of time to ensure it is working effectively.

Do you agree with the Working Group's proposed mechanism to deal with future amendments to the structure of the ECR?

- Most respondents agree with the proposed mechanism to deal with future amendments to the structure of the ECR.
- As above, comments received regarding reviewing this process after a period of time to ensure it is working effectively.

Do you believe that the Working Group has sufficiently covered off concerns related to data privacy regulations and potentially commercially sensitive information, specifically given the range of benefits as described in sections 1 and 3? And if not, then what else do you consider that Working Group needs to do?

- There were some concerns raised regarding the publication of MPAN and Customer Name.
- It was noted that as part of the SWRR assessment, the Open Networks product team also worked with the Network Innovation Allowance (NIA) funded RecorDER project to obtain independent legal advice on the proposed data items to be published. A report detailing this advice should be completed shortly and could be made available by the RecorDER project to the DCP 350 working group to assist in this area.

Do you consider that DCP 350 better facilitates the DCUSA General Objectives? If so, please detail which of the General Objectives you believe are better facilitated and provide supporting reasons. If not, please provide supporting reasons.

- Most of the responses believe that this CP better facilitates the DCUSA Objectives.

Are you supportive of the proposed implementation date being 10 Working Days following Authority approval?

- Most of the respondents agree with the proposed implementation date being 10 working days following Authority approval. It was noted that some data fields may require DNOs to go and collate data where it is not currently held, and this may impact on a DNOs ability to publish such data immediately. It was acknowledged that some data fields may be left unpopulated for a period of time following implementation.

Do you have any comments on the draft legal text for DCP 350?

- Clause 35C.4 "Accepted to connect and connected only" – It was noted that this will not cover prospective applications.
- The Secretariat took an action to update and circulated an updated version of the legal text for Working Group review.

3.2 Following the above review, the Secretariat took and action to update the collated consultation document to include the Working Groups responses and circulate for review.

3.3 For information, the collated consultation responses (excluding the Working Group feedback) can be found in Attachment 1.

ACTION 05/01: Ofgem to look into the provisions for DNO cost recovery regarding the costs for providing the additional items required from this CP above that already provided within the SWRR

ACTION 05/02: Secretariat to draft an DNO RFI regarding the costs associated with providing the data items required above what they currently provide within the SWRR. This is to be released on Tuesday, 17 March 2020.

ACTION 05/03: WG to review the current list of technologies and resource types and provide comments.

ACTION 05/04: WG to review the current definitions for the additional items requested above that provided within the SWRR and provide any comments for improvement.

ACTION 05/05: Secretariat to updated and circulate the DCP 350 draft legal text to the Working Group for review.

ACTION 05/06: Secretariat to update the collated consultation feedback form with the Working Group response to each question.

4. Next Steps

4.1 The next step is for the Secretariat to submit the DNO RFI and update and circulate the collated consultation feedback form with the Working Group response to each question.

5. Any Other Business

5.1 There were no further items of AOB, and the Chair closed the meeting.

6. Date of Next Meeting

6.1 The next Working Group meeting has yet to be determined.

New and Open Actions

Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
05/01	Ofgem to look into the provisions for DNO cost recovery regarding the costs for providing the additional items required from this CP above that already provided within the SWRR	BH	
05/02	Secretariat to draft an DNO RFI regarding the costs associated with providing the data items required above what they currently provide within the SWRR. This is to be released on Tuesday, 17 March 2020.	ElectraLink	Draft circulated to Working Group for review. RFI to be released on 17 March 2020.
05/03	WG to review the current list of technologies and resource types and provide comments.	All	
05/04	WG to review the current definitions for the additional items requested above that provided within the SWRR and provide any comments for improvement.	All	
05/05	Secretariat to updated and circulate the DCP 350 draft legal text to the Working Group for review.	ElectraLink	
05/06	Secretariat to update the collated consultation feedback form with the Working Group response to each question.	ElectraLink	

Closed Actions

Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
04/01	Secretariat to circulate an updated version of the consultation document, legal text and capacity register by 27th January.	ElectraLink	Completed
04/02	Working Group members to provide comments on the updated consultation document, legal text and capacity register by 30th January	All	Completed