

DCP 349 Working Group Meeting 03

25 March 2020 at 10:00

Teleconference

Attendee	Company
Andrew Sherry [AS]	ENWL
Chris Barker [CB]	BU-UK
Claire Campbell [CC]	Scottish Power
Donald Preston [DW]	SSE
Karl Maryon [KM]	Haven Power
Kit Dixon [KD]	Good Energy
Mark Jones [MJ]	SSE
Megan Coventry [MC]	SSE
Richard Adams [RA]	Ofgem
Richard Ellis [RE]	Western Power
Richard Jerreat [RJ]	EDF
Rob Johnston [RJ]	Total GP
Code Administrator	
Richard Colwill [RC] (Chair)	ElectraLink Ltd
Melissa Kendal [MK] (Secretariat)	ElectraLink Ltd

Apologies	Company
Chris Ong [CO]	UKPN

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Chair welcomed the members to the meeting.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.3 The group approved the minutes of the previous meeting, held on 16 January 2020, as an accurate record.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting was to review and analyse the Request for Information (RFI) responses that were received and agree the next steps for the CP.

3. Review DNO RFI Responses

- 3.1 The Working Group aim was to review the DCP 349 DNO RFI responses received; however, after some discussion, the group agreed to delay this change at present.
- 3.2 It was agreed that based on the current situation regarding COVID-19, based on the nature of this change, it seemed appropriate to delay this change. The Secretariat took an action to discuss this with the DCUSA Panel on 15th April.
- 3.3 Prior to this agreement, a couple of RFI responses were initially reviewed by the group. Please find below key comments that raised during the discussions:
 - Agreement that the reduction of the maximum number of qualifying months of good payment history together with a time limit after which a form of secured cover must be used was appropriate.
 - The Working Group concluded that further analysis was needed to determine whether the proposed solution for setting the User’s Unsecured Credit Limit no higher than the lower of the credit value recommended within the Independent Credit Assessment was appropriate. It was also acknowledged that further consideration is needed on how this would be applied retrospectively if implemented.

4. Agree Next Steps

- 4.1 Secretariat to discuss with the DCUSA Panel, the Working Groups request to delay the submission of this change based on the current situation with COVID-19.

ACTION 03/01: Secretariat to discuss with the DCUSA Panel, the Working Groups request to delay the submission of this change based on the current situation with COVID-19.

5. Any Other Business

- 5.1 The Chair asked the group if there were any other items of business to discuss.
- 5.2 CVA Registrants

- 5.3 One member stated that CVA Registrants should also be considered as well as Suppliers within this change. If a CVA Registrant has directly been billed by a DNO then there would be no Supplier interface. The group noted this as an item that needs to be further considered.
- 5.4 There were no further items of AOB, and the Chair closed the meeting.

6. Date of Next Meeting – 01 July 2020

- 6.1 The Working Group agreed that the next meeting will be held on 01 July 2020. This will be a Teleconference. It was agreed that this will be a 30-minute phone call to review the status of DCP349.

Appendix 1 – Actions Log

New and Open Actions – (Open/Closed Session) or (Board)

Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
03/01	Secretariat to discuss with the DCUSA Panel, the Working Groups request to delay the submission of this change based on the current situation with COVID-19.	ElectraLink	.