DCUSA

DCUSA Change Report

At what stage is this document in the process?

01 – Change Proposal
02 – Consultation
03 – Change Report
04 – Change Declaration

DCP 376:

Provision of Customer Residual Charging Bands to Suppliers

Date Raised: *21st October 2020*

Proposer: *Tom Cadge*

Company: *The Electricity Network Company*

Party Category: *IDNO*

Purpose of Change Proposal:

The purpose of this Change Proposal is to mandate DNO/IDNO parties to provide a data extract of the residual charging band that customers will be assigned to in accordance with Schedule 32 of the DCUSA. This change is intended to give all Suppliers a view of which residual band all customers subject to a residual charging band have been assigned to. This will be prior to those customers being migrated to the relevant LLFC and this will be provided on an enduring basis for each onshore transmission price control period.

This document is issued in accordance with Clause 11.20 of the DCUSA, and details *DCP 376 'Provision of Customer Residual Charging Bands to Suppliers''.*

Parties are invited to consider the proposed amendment (Attachment 1) and submit their votes using the Voting form (Attachment 2) to dcusa@electralink.co.uk by **11 December 2020.**

The voting process for the proposed variation and the timetable of the progression of the Change Proposal (CP) through the DCUSA Change Control Process is set out in this document.

If you have any questions about this paper or the DCUSA Change Process, please contact the DCUSA by email to dcusa@electralink.co.uk or telephone 020 7432 3008.

Impacted Parties: Suppliers, DNOs, IDNOs

Impacted Clauses: Schedule 32, paragraph 3.5 and new paragraph created.

© 2018 all rights reserved

DCUSA

Contents

1 Executive Summary 2
2 Governance 2
3 Why Change? 4
4 Solution 4
5 Code Specific Matters 4
6 Relevant Objectives 4
7 Impacts & Other Considerations 5
8 Implementation 6
9 Legal Text 6
10 Recommendations 7
11 Attachments 7

Any questions?

Contact:
Code Administrator

DCUSA@electralink.co.uk

020 7432 3011

Proposer:
Tom Cadge

thomas.cadge@bu-uk.co.uk

07855 270460

Timetable

The timetable for the progression of the CP is as follows:

Change Proposal timetable

Activity	Date
Initial Assessment Report	04 November 2020
Change Report Approved by Panel	18 November 2020
Change Report issued for Voting	20 November 2020
Party Voting Closes	11 December 2020
Change Declaration Issued to Parties	15 December 2020
Implementation	22 December 2020

1 Executive Summary

What?

1.1 Suppliers need to know the residual charging band of a metering point so that they are able to accurately forecast the DUoS and TNUoS charges applicable to that metering point. The enduring solution for Suppliers to be able to identify this is through the LLFC assigned to the metering point which is available to all Suppliers via ECOES. For the initial band setting process, and for each subsequent band setting thereafter, there is a gap between the metering point being allocated to a band and this information being reflected in the LLFC. This will cause issues where Suppliers are

© 2018 all rights reserved

unable to accurately identify the network charges for which a metering point is liable so that they may include those costs in a quote provided to the customer associated with the metering point. To resolve this, it is proposed that each distributor be required to send to Suppliers a list of all MPANs after they have been allocated to a charging band for the subsequent price control.

Why?

1.2 This change should be made to ensure that all distributors are required to provide the necessary information to facilitate effective competition in the supply of electricity. Whilst it is possible that some DNO/IDNO Parties may be able to provide this information in the absence of this Change Proposal the Proposer is concerned that the provision of this information on a bilateral basis, without being mandated, may have data protection implications for the distributor under both GDPR and the Utilities Act 2000.. However, these concerns are alleviated by mandating that all distributors will provide the information to Suppliers as this provides a legal requirement to process the data (through the lawful basis to process in GDPR and through Section 105(3)(c) of the Utilities Act 2000).. Although this is a Change Proposal to enable competition in supply, the Proposer is not aware that any Supplier has come forward to raise this Change Proposal but believes that it is in the best interests of consumers.

How?

1.3 It is proposed that the existing solution for each revision of charging bands (under Paragraph 3.5 of Schedule 32) is amended so that it applies to all MPANs being provided to all Supplier Parties. This amended Paragraph 3.5 will then be copied to create a new Paragraph 2.5 in Schedule 32 which mirrors this obligation for the initial band setting process. The exact mechanics of the provision of this information are not prescribed in the existing legal text so this Change Proposal does not seek to introduce such definition. However, it is anticipated that data will be shared to Supplier Party Contract Managers through an excel spreadsheet.

2 Governance

Justification for treating as a Part 2 Matter

2.1 DCP 376 is being treated as a Part 2 Matter as it does not meet the criteria set out in the guidance section of this document to be considered a Part 1 matter.

Justification for CP being considered Urgent

2.2 DCP 376 is being treated as an urgent change as it meets the criteria set out in clause 10.7 and 10.7.1. That is to say “it relates to a current issue that if not urgently addressed may cause significant adverse commercial impact on parties (or a class of Party), electricity and/or any other person”. The Proposer believes that if this is not addressed then it may mean that Suppliers are commercially disadvantaged as they are unable to accurately determine DUoS (and TNUoS) charges applicable to a metering point so will be less likely to be able to win new metering points that they are not currently registered to. This will also have an impact on all commercial customers who may be limited in their choice of Supplier.

© 2018 all rights reserved

Requested Next Steps

2.3 The Panel considered that the Proposer has carried out the level of analysis required to enable Parties to understand the impact of the proposed amendment and to vote on DCP 376.

2.4 The DCUSA Panel recommends that this CP be issued to Parties for voting.

3 Why Change?

3.1 The DCUSA (under Paragraph 3.5 of Schedule 32) requires distributors to provide, following the creation of each set of new charging bands prior to each Onshore Transmission Price Control, a list of MPANs and their new charging band to the Supplier who is registered to the metering point. The DCUSA is silent on the provision of this information for the initial charging band creation exercise.

3.2 DCP 376 is required to ensure that realising the benefits of the targeted charging review is not delayed. If Suppliers are unable to determine which residual charging band a metering point has been assigned to then they are not going to be able to accurately forecast the DUoS and TNUoS charges applicable to that metering point. Although the method by which Suppliers quote for metering points is commercially sensitive, the Proposer believes that prospective Suppliers would be at a disadvantage without widely available network charges. The absence of accurate network charges for commercial metering points for Suppliers which are not registered to the metering point is likely to cause an imbalance of information which will be detrimental to competition in supply.

Part B: Code Specific Details

4 Solution

4.1 To create an obligation for distributors to provide the results of the initial residual charging band allocation process to Suppliers, including a list of all non-domestic MPANs and their new charging band as well as the provisional LLFC ID.

4.2 It is proposed to also alter the enduring solution (under Paragraph 3.5 of Schedule 32) by removing the requirement to only send data to the Supplier which is the Registrant of the MPAN. The result of this is that each Supplier Party will receive a list of each and every MPAN associated with a Non-Domestic Premises, identifying the charging band to which each MPAN has been allocated. It should be noted that the LLFC ID, will not form part of the enduring process

5 Code Specific Matters

Reference Documents

5.1 None

6 Relevant Objectives

Assessment Against the DCUSA Objectives

© 2018 all rights reserved

6.1 For a DCUSA Change Proposal to be approved it must be demonstrated that it better facilitates the DCUSA Objectives. There are five General Objectives and six Charging Objectives. The full list of objectives is documented in the DCUSA.

6.2 The Proposer considers that the following DCUSA General Objectives are better facilitated by DCP 376.

	DCUSA General Objectives	Identified impact
	1. The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks	None
	2. The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity	Positive
	3. The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences	None
	4. The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the DCUSA	None
	5. Compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

6.3 DCP 376 will better facilitate DCUSA General Objective 2 as without this change, a Supplier will be unable to determine which residual charging band a metering point has been assigned to, where a Supplier is not the current Registrant for a metering point. This means that Suppliers will be unable to accurately forecast the DUoS charges applicable to a metering point, and therefore, they will also be unable to provide an accurate quote to a prospective customer who is interested in switching Suppliers. This creates an imbalance of information between the Supplier who is currently the Registrant for a metering point and any competing Supplier, which is detrimental to competition in supply.

7 Impacts & Other Considerations

Impacts on any Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects

7.1 The Proposer does not believe that this CP impacts upon any current SCR or other significant industry change projects.

Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts

7.2 The issue of provision of information by DNO/IDNO Parties to Supplier Parties has been discussed extensively at Energy Networks Association led forums which were made up of distributor, ESO,

© 2018 all rights reserved

industry code and Supplier representatives and by the Targeted Charging Review Implementation Steering Group which is made up of distributor, ESO and industry code representatives. No party has raised an objection to this Change Proposal at any of those forums and all representatives at the TCR Implementation Steering Group believe that this Change Proposal should be raised.

Impacts Other Codes

7.3 The Proposer does not consider that there are any impacts to any other 'Industry Codes' as a result of the implementation of this CP.

BSC	☐
CUSC	☐
Grid Code	☐
MRA	☐
SEC	☐
Other	☐
None	☒

Consumer Impacts

7.4 This change does not impact consumers.

Environmental Impacts

7.5 In accordance with DCUSA Clause 11.14.6, the Proposer assessed whether there would be a material impact on greenhouse gas emissions if DCP 376 were implemented. The Proposer did not identify any material impact on greenhouse gas emissions from the implementation of this Change Proposal.

8 Implementation

8.1 If approved by Parties, DCP 376 will be implemented by extraordinary release, which will be set for five working days following Party approval and which will be 22 December 2020.

9 Legal Text

Text Commentary

9.1 The legal text for DCP 376 has been recommended by the Proposer and reviewed by the DCUSA legal advisor and is provided as Attachment 1.

9.2 DCP 376 creates a new obligation for distributors to provide the results of the initial residual charging band allocation process to Suppliers, including a list of all non-domestic MPANs and their new charging band as well as the provisional LLFC ID.

Insert a new paragraph 2.5 in Schedule 32 as follows:

2.5 On or before 31 December 2020, each DNO/IDNO Party shall provide each Supplier Party with a list of each and every MPAN associated with a Non-Domestic Premises that is connected to the DNO/IDNO Party's Distribution System, identifying the charging band to

© 2018 all rights reserved

which each such MPAN has been allocated, the LLFC Id which is assigned to the MPAN, and the provisional LLFC Id which will be assigned to the MPAN with effect from 1 April 2022.

9.3 It is proposed to also alter the enduring solution (under Paragraph 3.5 of Schedule 32) by removing the requirement to only send data to the Supplier which is the Registrant of the MPAN.

Amend paragraph 3.5 in Schedule 32 as follows:

3.5 On or before 30 June following the 31 March referred to in Paragraph 3.1, each DNO/IDNO Party shall provide each Supplier Party with a list of each and every MPAN associated with a Non-Domestic Premises that is connected to the DNO/IDNO Party's Distribution ~~for which that Supplier Party is (at or around the time the list is provided) the Registrant~~, identifying the charging band to which each such MPAN has been allocated (in accordance with the allocation rules in Paragraph 4).

10 Recommendations

Panel's Recommendation

10.1 The Panel approved this Change Report on 18 November 2020. The Panel considered that the Proposer has carried out the level of analysis required to enable Parties to understand the impact of the proposed amendment and to vote on DCP 376.

10.2 The Panel have recommended this report be issued for voting and DCUSA Parties should consider whether they wish to submit views regarding this CP. The voting form acts as Attachment 2 to this Change Report.

11 Attachments

- Attachment 1 – DCP 376 Legal Text
- Attachment 2 – DCP 376 Voting Form
- Attachment 3 – DCP 376 Change Proposal Form

© 2018 all rights reserved