DCP 328 Working Group Meeting 25

10 May 2021 at 10:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Edda Dirks [ED]	SSE plc
Dave Wornell [DW]	WPD
Thomas Cadge [TC]	BUUK
Lee Wells [LW]	NPg
Kara Burke [KB]	NPg
Donal Preston [DP]	SSEN
Will Ellis [WE]	Leep Utilities
Chris Ong [CO]	UKPN
Tom Chevalier [TC]	Power Data Associates
Shannon Murray [SM]	Ofgem
Code Administrator	
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Richard Colwill [RJC] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink
Apologies	
Peter Waymont [PW]	UKPN
George Moran [GM]	UKPN

1. Administration

1.1 The Chair welcomed the members to the meeting.

1.2 The Working Group reviewed the "Competition Law Guidance". All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Guidance for the duration of the meeting.

1.3 The Working Group approved the minutes of the previous meeting as an accurate record.

1.4 An update on the actions can be found in Appendix 1.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting was to review the latest consultation document, review the modelling documentation received from the service providers and determine next steps.

3. Review of Second Consultation Document

3.1 The Working Group stepped through the current draft consultation document. Key points to the discussion can be found below:

- Date for release of the consultation to be agreed at the next meeting.
- Within the consultation there is a statement that reads "Under all metering options, the Distributor is obliged to provide Meter Point Administration Services to customers on the private network and in so doing provides MPANs against which metering data is recorded in Settlement". There was a query regarding whether this is true for Shared Metering, where the individual customers have non-settlement meters. After discussion, it was agreed that it does apply to shared metering and the following was added for clarity "including the MPANs where data is received from the non-settlement meters associated with the shared metering arrangements".
- **Sections added to provide details of changes since the last consultation as below:**
    - Shared Metering arrangements;
    - Refine the solutions to form part of this consultation including two options on metering data for difference metering and shared metering (i.e. 'complex' sites);
    - Complex sites within private networks had been descoped from DCP359;
    - Residual charges for private networks had been descoped from DCP359;
    - Competition Act issue raised within the first consultation response; and
    - Ofgem clarification on potential licence concerns raised by the Proposer.

- The Working Group were asked to decide on whether the solution for shared metering is better aligned with differencing metering with fully settled as a solution on its own. After discussion, it was agreed that this was the best approach.

- A question was added to the consultation seeking industry views on whether they agree with the Working Groups decision for difference meeting and shared metering to follow the same approach.

- For the difference metering solution, the Distributor will create a non-Settlement MPAN and provide it to the boundary Supplier or the primary Supplier. A question was raised as to why this was needed and the following foot note to justify this has been added as follows "the metering data from the boundary MPAN is reduced by the difference metering arrangement, so a new MPAN is being proposed and the metering data associated with it will not enter settlements but by the data collector to provide gross metering data for the distributor to bill UoS charges".

- An action was taken for the Secretariat to send out the example of an energy park where the total installed capacities of the embedded site exceeds the agreed capacity at the ownership boundary. This can be found in Attachment 1. The Working Group are asked to review this example and confirm whether they are happy that this is an appropriate example.

- There was a question raised regarding whether there are any approved charging methodologies for Private Networks with fully settled metering. SM took an action to confirm whether any of these existed and if so, where they are published.

- Commentary regarding the Competition Act legal advice has been added to the consultation. It was noted that the Working Group believe that this CP will likely improve the current situation.

- Various tracked changes that were circulated prior to the meeting were accepted at the meeting and the latest version of the consultation document can be found in Attachment 2. The Working Group is asked to review the current draft consultation document and provide any feedback by Wednesday, 19 May.

- It was noted that the legal text has been updated to cater for the changes to the solution for share metering. The updated legal text for both solutions can be found in Attachment 3. The Working Group is asked to review these documents and provide any comments by Friday, 21 May.

ACTION 25/01: Working Group to review Attachment 1 of these minutes and confirm provide any comments.
ACTION 25/02: SM to review whether there are any approved charging methodologies for Private Networks with fully settled metering.
ACTION 25/03: Working Group to review the current draft consultation document found in Attachment 2 and provide any feedback by Wednesday, 19 May.
ACTION 25/04: Working Group to review the updated legal text found in Attachment 3 and provide any comments by Friday, 21 May.

4. Modelling Documentation

4.1 The Working Group discussed the deliverables from the modelling service providers. The following documents were prepared:

- a revised CDCM model implementing DCP328 Option A;
- a revised CDCM model implementing DCP328 Option B;
- a revised EDCM-LRIC model implementing DCP328;
- a revised EDCM-FCP model implementing DCP328;
- an impact assessment for Options A and B; and
- model documentation explaining specification, background, approach and impacts.

4.2 The above files have been uploaded to the DCUSA website and they can be found at the following **link**.

4.3 An action was taken for DNO members to review the above documentation and provide any feedback by Friday, 14 May. Any questions raised will be forwarded to the modelling service providers on Monday, 17 May.

4.4 Regarding completing the EDCM impact assessments, it was agreed that for difference metering and shared metering there will be no impact, but an impact assessment will be needed for the fully settled solution. An action was taken for KB and DP to review how DNOs could undertake this impact assessment.

ACTION 25/05: KB and DP to consider how best to undertake the EDCM impact assessment for the fully settled solution.

5. DCP 328 Work Plan

5.1 An updated work plan can be found in Attachment 4.

6. Any Other Business

6.1 There were no other items raised.

7. Date of Next Meeting

7.1 The date of the next meeting was confirmed for **Monday, 10 May 2021, starting at 10am.**

8. Attachments

- Attachment 1: DCP 328 Example EDCM Energy Park
- Attachment 2: DCP 328 Draft Consultation Document
- Attachment 3: DCP 328 Draft Legal Text
- Attachment 4: DCP 328 Work Plan

New and open actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/01	ElectraLink to consider approaches to ensure appropriate engagement with private network operators.	ElectraLink	Ongoing and considered at each meeting or consultation circulation
25/01	Working Group to review Attachment 1 of these minutes and confirm provide any comments.	All	
25/02	SM to review whether there are any approved charging methodologies for Private Networks with fully settled metering.	SM	
25/03	Working Group to review the current draft consultation document found in Attachment 2 and provide any feedback by Wednesday, 19 May.	All	
25/04	Working Group to review the updated legal text found in Attachment 3 and provide any comments by Friday, 21 May.	All	
25/05	KB and DP to consider how best to undertake the EDCM impact assessment for the fully settled solution.	KB and DP	

Closed actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
24/01	Circulate the legal advice regarding Competition Act once received.	ElectraLink	Closed
24/02	Secretariat to respond to the modelling questions posed.	ElectraLink	Closed
24/03	Working Group to review legal text and provide any comments by 30 April.	All	Closed
24/04	Working Group to review the email sent on 20 April regarding shared metering and complex sites and provide feedback.	All	Closed