

Meeting Session	DCUSA Panel (Open Session)
Paper Reference	Panel_2022_0216_02_SIG Headline Report
Action	For Information

## SIG Headline Report

### 1. Synopsis

- 1.1 This report sets out the key decisions made at the DCUSA Standing Issues Group (SIG) on 28 January 2022. The minutes of the meeting can be located on the DCUSA website [here](#).

### 2. Review of DIF 62: Additional Clarification for correct application of the LV Sub Site Specific Tariff

- 2.1 A DCUSA Issues Form (DIF) was raised and discussed at the SIG meeting on 28 January 2022.
- 2.2 The circumstances under which an LV Sub Site Specific Tariff can be accurately applied are defined in Schedule 16, Clause 141 of DCUSA. It notes the following:

*Note 3: LV Sub applies to customers connected to the DNO Party's network at a voltage of less than 1 kV at a substation with a primary voltage (the highest operating voltage present at the substation) of at least 1 kV and less than 22 kV, where the current transformer (CT) used for the customer's settlement metering is located at the substation. For these purposes, 'at the substation' means:*

- a) an HV/LV substation with the metering CT in the same chamber as the substation transformer; or*
- b) an HV/LV substation with the metering CT in a chamber immediately adjacent to the substation transformer chamber.*

- 2.3 The proposer of DIF 62 was keen to understand members interpretation of the above as when discussing this application with their end customers or contractors, they often query where it states that the customer owns the LV circuit for LV Sub tariffs.

- 2.4 After discussion, SIG members were in agreement with the following:

- LV Sub depends on where the CTs are located and not where the metering is located.
  - Must be either in the substation or "immediately adjacent". This term isn't defined, and the SIG members would be keen to understand how DNOs and IDNOs interpret this.
- The customer is not using a significant amount of LV network, so it is excluded from the charges for LV Sub customers.
- From a DUoS charging perspective, the charge is up to the CTs so for this purpose the ownership of the cable after that point is irrelevant.
- The exit point and the ownership boundary (DNO/BNO) are not always in the same place (although in most cases they would be)

- 2.5 After further discussion, the SIG Working Group determined that issuing an RFI to DCUSA Parties to see if any others have experienced issues would be beneficial. This RFI was issued on 4 February 2022, with a deadline for responses set at 5pm, 18 February 2022. The RFI can be located on the DCUSA website [here](#).

### 3. Recommendations

---

- 3.1 The DCUSA Panel is invited to:
- **NOTE** the contents of this paper.

**Richard Colwill**  
**Senior Analyst**