

## DCP 388 Working Group Meeting 04

07 March 2022 at 10am - 1pm

Web-conference (MS Teams)

Attendee	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Chris Barker	Electricity North West
Chris Ong	UKPN
Claire Campbell	SP Energy Networks
Dave Wornell	WPD
Edda Dirks	SSE Generation
Giao Le	SSEN
Helen Tsang	EDF Energy
James Jones	SSEN
Kara Burke	Northern Powergrid
Karl Maryon	Haven Power
Mark Jones	SSE Business Energy
Pamela Howe	Northern Powergrid
<b>Observers</b>	
Shannon Murray	Ofgem
David Fewings	Inenco
Kyran Hanks	Waters Wye
Colin Prestwich	Associated British Ports
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
Dylan Townsend [DT] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink

### Apologies

Lee Stone	E.ON
Lee Wells	Northern Powergrid
Ryan Roberts	Energy Potential

## 1. Administration

---

- 1.1 The Chair welcomed the members to the meeting.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.

## 2. Purpose of the Meeting

---

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting was to continue review the draft consultation document.

## 3. Review of the DCP 388 Draft Consultation

---

- 3.1 It was noted that during the first three meeting, the Working Group a had agreed to develop DCP 388 by using a two consultation approach, whereby the first consultation is principles based and the second containing a more detailed solution. Following agreement on this approach, the Working Group had made progress on developing their first consultation.
- 3.2 During this meeting, the Working Group further developed a draft version of their first consultation, which was amended/updating on screen. The document that captures the agreed amendments and any points for further consideration acts as attachment 1 to these minutes.
- 3.3 During the Working Group’s review of the draft consultation document, the following items were captured as actions:

**ACTION: 04/01 – ElectraLink to check in with the proposer regarding paragraph 1.8 of the consultation document, and specifically, what the second sentence was trying to say. The second sentence reads “A Mixed Demand Site will have the Demand Residual methodology applied based on the sum of its Final and Non-Final demand” and the Working Group weren’t sure if this was indicating what happens now.**

**ACTION: 04/02 – ElectraLink to add paragraph at placeholder for 3.4 with the draft consultation document related to current position of CMP363/364.**

**ACTION: 04/03 – Working Group to consider the use of the words ‘additional metering’, ‘operational metering’ and ‘secondary metering’ with a view to using one set of words throughout the consultation document and whether this needs to be defined somewhere (e.g., via terms within Grid Code or the Distribution Code)**

**ACTION: 04/04 – Working Group to consider whether option 4 is retained given it is no change and thus in reality can be covered off under question 1 of the consultation and by virtue of industry being able to not be supportive of any of the options put forward. This is largely because the Working Group can’t proceed to develop a no change based solution and so retaining it as an option doesn’t add up from a governance perspective.**

**ACTION: 04/05 – ElectraLink to include some introductory text near the start of Option 2 to indicate that metering may be in place already or will need to be installed if not in place and that this option still requires a certificate to be provided.**

**ACTION: 04/06 – ElectraLink to include update the paragraphs related to option 3 such that it includes consumption rather than just capacity as is currently drafted.**

**ACTION: 04/07 – ElectraLink to include some text for consideration by the Working Group around option 6.**

**ACTION: 04/08 – ElectraLink to complete a general tidy up of the draft consultation document, specifically, where comments indicate but also as needed.**

## 4. Next Steps

---

- 4.1 The Working Group reviewed agreed the next steps, being that another meeting should be held to continue the development of the consultation and in summary:
- ElectraLink to make the necessary updates to the consultation document based on Working Group discussion during meeting and circulate to Working Group for review;
  - Next meeting to be held on Monday, 28 March 2022, between 10am and 1pm, for the purpose of continuing to review the draft consultation document.

## 5. Any Other Business

---

- 5.1 There were no items of AOB, and the Chair closed the meeting.

## APPENDIX 1: Actions Log

### New and Open Actions

Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
04/01	ElectraLink to check in with the proposer regarding paragraph 1.8 of the consultation document, and specifically, what the second sentence was trying to say. The second sentence reads “A Mixed Demand Site will have the Demand Residual methodology applied based on the sum of its Final and Non-Final demand” and the Working Group weren’t sure if this was indicating what happens now.	ElectraLink	
04/02	ElectraLink to add paragraph at placeholder for 3.4 with the draft consultation document related to current position of CMP363/364.	ElectraLink	
04/03	Working Group to consider the use of the words ‘additional metering’, ‘operational metering’ and ‘secondary metering’ with a view to using one set of words throughout the consultation document and whether this needs to be defined somewhere (e.g., via terms within Grid Code or the Distribution Code)	Working Group	
04/04	Working Group to consider whether option 4 is retained given it is no change and thus in reality can be covered off under question 1 of the consultation and by virtue of industry being able to not be supportive of any of the options put forward. This is largely because the Working Group can’t proceed to develop a no change based solution and so retaining it as an option doesn’t add up from a governance perspective.	Working Group	
04/05	ElectraLink to include some introductory text near the start of Option 2 to indicate that metering may be in place already or will need to be installed if not in place and that this option still requires a certificate to be provided.	ElectraLink	

<b>04/06</b>	ElectraLink to include update the paragraphs related to option 3 such that it includes consumption rather than just capacity as is currently drafted.	ElectraLink	
<b>04/07</b>	ElectraLink to include some text for consideration by the Working Group around option 6.	ElectraLink	
<b>04/08</b>	ElectraLink to complete a general tidy up of the draft consultation document, specifically, where comments indicate but also as needed.	ElectraLink	