

DCP 389 Working Group Meeting 05

21 March 2022 at 2pm-5pm

Web-conference (MS Teams)

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Chris Ong	UK Power Networks
Chris Barker	Electricity North West
Claire Campbell	SP Energy Networks
Dave Wornell	Western Power Distribution
Edda Dirks	SSE Generation
Giao Le	SSE
Helen Tsang	EDF Energy
Kara Burke	Northern Powergrid
Karin Cadwallader	BU-UK
Ryan Farrell	Northern Powergrid
Ryan Roberts	Energy Potential
Observer	
David Fewings	Inenco
Kyran Hanks	Waters Wye
Shannon Murray	Ofgem
Marlon Mcdonald	Ofgem
Code Administrator	
Dylan Townsend [DT] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
Lee Stone	E.ON
Lee Wells	Northern Powergrid

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Chair welcomed the members to the meeting.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.1 The Secretariat noted that the minutes from the fourth meeting had not been circulated with the meeting pack but would be made available following the meeting for the Working Group to review.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting was to continue reviewing responses to the consultation and to form a view on what the final solution will look like.

3. Review of Consultation Responses (continued)

- 3.1 It was noted that the Working Group had got the majority of the way through the review of the consultation responses during their last meeting and alongside completing those that remained the Working Group agreed that some responses needed further consideration.
- 3.2 During the Working Group’s review of the collated consultation responses document, the following items were captured as actions:

ACTION: 04/01 – In light of some comments from respondents to the consultation, the Working Group is to consider the reference within paragraph 6.12 of the legal text, which relates to backdating charges, more specifically, with respect to the decisions made by the DCP 387 Working Group and in maintaining a standardised approach throughout the Schedule.

ACTION: 04/02 – ElectraLink to summarise options resulting from responses to the consultation around the materiality test for the ‘Annual Allocation Review’.

ACTION: 04/03 – Members of the Working Group to consider the summarised options related to the materiality test for the ‘Annual Allocation Review’.

ACTION: 04/04 – ElectraLink to go through collated consultation response and tidy up Working Group comments so that they can act as an attachment to the Change Report .

- 3.3 It should be noted that the collated consultation responses document was updated during the meeting, the agreed amendments and any decisions made are captured within the collated consultation responses document that acts as attachment 1 to these minutes.

4. Review of Draft Legal Text

- 4.1 It was noted that the Working Group had discusses some elements of the legal text during their review of the consultation responses and considered what changes might be required as a result.
- 4.2 It was noted that main point of discussion with respect to the legal text was around a suggestion that examples be included. This was suggested in responses to the consultation, which had

included a spreadsheet of examples. It was also noted that the relevant CUSC modifications are also potentially including some examples. The Working Group considered the merits of including examples but was unable to form a view during the meeting and therefore an action was taken to include this as an agenda item for discussion during the next meeting.

5. Next Steps and Work Plan

- 5.1 The Working Group considered the next steps, and agreed that the following items should be included on the agenda for the next meeting
- Discussion on approach to backdating charges to ensure consistency within the Schedule and with DCP 387
 - Discussion on inclusion of examples for paragraphs 6.3a and 6.6 – 6.9
 - Discussion on which option to progress in terms of a materiality test for a change to a residual charging band following an 'Annual Allocation Review'
 - Next meeting to be held on Monday, 21 March 2021, between 2pm and 5pm, for the purpose of reviewing legal text and change report.

6. Any Other Business

- 6.1 There were no items of AOB, and the Chair closed the meeting.

APPENDIX 1: Actions Log

New and Open Actions

Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
04/01	ACTION: 04/01 – In light of some comments from respondents to the consultation, the Working Group is to consider the reference within paragraph 6.12 of the legal text, which relates to backdating charges, more specifically, with respect to the decisions made by the DCP 387 Working Group and in maintaining a standardised approach throughout the Schedule.	WG	
04/02	ACTION: 04/02 – ElectraLink to summarise options resulting from responses to the consultation around the materiality test for the ‘Annual Allocation Review’.	ElectraLink	
04/03	ACTION: 04/03 – Members of the Working Group to consider the summarised options related to the materiality test for the ‘Annual Allocation Review’.	WG	
04/04	ACTION: 04/04 – ElectraLink to go through collated consultation response and tidy up Working Group comments so that they can act as an attachment to the Change Report .	ElectraLink	

Closed Actions

Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/01	ElectraLink to explore if the word ‘ownership’ had been discussed in the documentation related to DCP 358/360 and report back to the Working Group.	ElectraLink	Completed
01/02	ElectraLink to ensure that the word ‘ownership’ is not referenced in the consultation other than to highlight the fact that it was erroneously included in the Change Proposal form and that the group had agreed that it shouldn’t have been included and therefore does not appear in the consultation.	ElectraLink	Completed

01/03	ElectraLink to draw out the various options under consideration for CMP336 which is currently with the Authority for decision in the consultation document for DCP 389.	ElectraLink	Completed
01/04	ElectraLink to draw out the fact that it is proposed to use the 50% threshold for the process set out as part of this change and include a question on the topic so as to gather views from industry.	ElectraLink	Completed
01/05	ElectraLink to complete first draft of consultation document based on Working Group discussion during meeting and circulate to Working Group for review.	ElectraLink	Completed
02/01	LW to update the spreadsheet of examples as agreed during the Working Group meeting and circulate to members for final review.	Lee Wells	
02/02	ElectraLink to add paragraph the sets out that a backing spreadsheet for the examples in section 3 has been provided to assist in interpreting those examples.	ElectraLink	
02/03	ElectraLink to update draft consultation document based on Working Group discussion during meeting and circulate to Working Group for review.	ElectraLink	