



DCUSA DCP 387 Change Declaration

Voting end date: 8 April 2022

DCP 387	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	CVA REGISTRANT	GAS SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	Accept	Accept	Accept	n/a	n/a
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Accept	Accept	Accept	n/a	n/a
RECOMMENDATION	<p>Change Solution – Accept.</p> <p>In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was more than 50% in all Categories.</p> <p>Implementation Date – Accept.</p> <p>In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was more than 50% in all Categories.</p>				
PART ONE / PART TWO	<p>Part One – Authority Determination Required</p>				

PARTY	SOLUTION (A / R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A / R)	WHICH DCUSA OBJECTIVE(S) IS BETTER FACILITATED?	COMMENTS
DNO PARTIES				
Southern Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept	DCUSA General objective 2 would be better facilitated. This solution addresses a distortion that exists between distribution and transmission connected providers of reactive power services. It also addresses a distortion in competition between reactive power assets that are currently obliged to pay the residual component of distribution charges and other competitors that will not be charged as of 01 April 2022.	
Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept		
Electricity North West Limited	Accept	Accept	Following the approval of CMP334 by the Authority this change will align the DCUSA with the CUSC, and we believe DCUSA General Objective 1 is better facilitated.	
Northern Powergrid (Northeast) Plc	Accept	Accept	We believe that the following DCUSA General Objectives will be better facilitated by DCP387:	N/A
Northern Powergrid (Yorkshire) Plc	Accept	Accept	2. The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity. This objective is better facilitated by removing the distortion between transmission and distribution-connected sites which are providing Eligible Services, which is necessary as a result of the Authority approving CMP334 WACM1 (which created the distortion). 3. The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences. This objective is better facilitated as DNOs and the ESO were both recipients of a direction to ensure that the Authority's Targeted Charging Review (TCR) Significant Code Review (SCR) decision was implemented consistently in both the DCUSA and CUSC, where appropriate. We believe that DCP387 has a neutral impact on all other DCUSA General Objectives.	
Western Power Distribution (East Midlands) plc	Accept	Accept	We agree that this change has a positive effect on General Objectives 1 & 2	None
Western Power Distribution (West Midlands) plc	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (South Wales) plc	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (South West) plc	Accept	Accept		

IDNO PARTIES				
The Electricity Network Company Limited	Accept	Accept	We believe that the DCUSA General Objectives 1 and 2 would be better facilitated by this Change Proposal as it would remove the current distortions between transmission connected reactive power sites and distribution connected reactive power sites. Additionally, by removing the residual charges for providers connected to the distribution system would dissolve the competitive disadvantages for Eligible Service providers that are not generators.	Although this Change Proposal does not have an impact on us, we are supportive of DCP 387 and its proposed implementation date.
SUPPLIER PARTIES				
ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd	Accept	Accept	Agree with those highlighted and reasoning in Change Report	
Drax (Opus Energy Ltd and Drax Energy Solutions Ltd)	Accept	Accept	<p>DCP 387 seeks to remove the current unintended inconsistency between the CUSC and DCUSA for which currently under the CUSC, providers of reactive power are not liable for the transmission residual but under the DCUSA, providers of reactive power are liable for the distribution residual.</p> <p>During DCP387 Workgroup discussions there was general consensus that there is no deliberate reason why this difference should exist, and that competition is being distorted between transmission-connected sources of reactive power and distribution-connection sources of reactive power We therefore believe that the proposal better facilitates DCUSA General Objectives:</p> <p>1) 'The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks'; and</p> <p>2) 'The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity.'</p>	Not at this time.
CVA REGISTRANT PARTIES				
None Received				
GAS SUPPLIER PARTIES				
Not Eligible				