DCP 388 Working Group Meeting 05

28 March 2022 at 10am - 1pm

Web-conference (MS Teams)

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Chris Barker	Electricity North West
Chris Ong	UKPN
Claire Campbell	SP Energy Networks
Dave Wornell	WPD
Edda Dirks	SSE Generation
Giao Le	SSEN
Helen Tsang	EDF Energy
James Jones	SSEN
Kara Burke	Northern Powergrid
Karl Maryon	Haven Power
Mark Jones	SSE Business Energy
Pamela Howe	Northern Powergrid
Observers	
Shannon Murray	Ofgem
David Fewings	Inenco
Kyran Hanks	Waters Wye
Colin Prestwich	Associated British Ports
Code Administrator	
Dylan Townsend [DT] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink

Apologies

Lee Stone	E.ON
Lee Wells	Northern Powergrid
Ryan Roberts	Energy Potential

1. Administration

1.1 The Chair welcomed the members to the meeting.

1.2 The Working Group reviewed the "Competition Law Guidance". All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting was to continue review the draft consultation document.

3. Review of the DCP 388 Draft Consultation

3.1 It was noted that during the first four Working Group meetings, the Working Group a had agreed to develop DCP 388 by using a two consultation approach, whereby the first consultation is principles based and the second containing a more detailed solution. Following agreement on this approach, the Working Group had made progress on developing their first consultation.

3.2 During this meeting, the Working Group further developed a draft version of their first consultation, which was amended/updating on screen. The document that captures the agreed amendments and any points for further consideration acts as attachment 1 to these minutes. It was noted that there had been 6 options put forward during the previous meetings, however during this meeting two of those options were discounted as viable options. The following options were agreed by the Working Group to not be viable and were removed as options within the consultation:

- Change in approach to single site per MPAN
- Mixed-use sites continue to be treated as Final demand sites.

3.3 With respect to the option 'Change in approach to single site per MPAN' the Working Group agreed that the only advantage of this approach seems to be that it may reduce the number of mixed sites by ensuring that each site only has one MPAN and as such the definition of single site would need to be amended. The Working Group noted that this option would appear to conflict with Ofgem's policy intent relating to complex sites where multiple connections currently exist at a site and disrupts the recently agreed status quo approach introduced by the TCR.

3.4 With respect to the option 'Mixed-use sites continue to be treated as Final demand sites, the Working Group noted that an option to retain the status quo approach is actually just a 'no change' option and thus in reality can be covered off under the first question related to the intent of the change. It was agreed that if industry believe that no change is the best outcome, then they would be able to indicate that they were not supportive of any of the options put forward. The Working Group agreed that they can't proceed to develop a no change based solution and that retaining it as an option didn't add up from a governance perspective

3.5 During the Working Group's review of the draft consultation document, a number of actions were taken and these can be found in Appendix A below.

4. Next Steps

4.1 The Working Group reviewed agreed the following next steps:

- ElectraLink to make the necessary updates to the consultation document based on Working Group discussion during meeting and circulate to Working Group for review
- Working Group to conduct final review of consultation document and pass any final comment/amendments to the Secretariat by close of play on Friday, 01 April 2020
- ElectraLink to update the consultation document following any comments / amendments by members of the Working Group and then issue the consultation on 04 April 2022.
- Next meeting to be held on Friday, 13 May 2022, between 10am and 1pm, for the purpose of reviewing the responses to the consultation.

5. Any Other Business

5.1 There were no items of AOB, and the Chair closed the meeting.

APPENDIX 1: Actions Log

New and Open Actions

Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
05/01	ElectraLink to update the draft consultation document in line with what was agreed by the Working Group, ensuring that each of the comments containing actions are covered off. This is then to be circulated to the Working Group for review.	ElectraLink	
05/02	Working Group to conduct final review of the consultation document and return any final comments / amendments by Friday, 01 April 2022	Working Group	
05/03	ElectraLink to update the consultation document following any comments / amendments by members of the Working Group and then issue the consultation on 04 April 2022.	ElectraLink	

Closed Actions

Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
04/01	ElectraLink to check in with the proposer regarding paragraph 1.8 of the consultation document, and specifically, what the second sentence was trying to say. The second sentence reads "A Mixed Demand Site will have the Demand Residual methodology applied based on the sum of its Final and Non-Final demand" and the Working Group weren't sure if this was indicating what happens now.	ElectraLink	This was completed during WG meeting 05.
04/02	ElectraLink to add paragraph at placeholder for 3.4 with the draft consultation document related to current position of CMP363/364.	ElectraLink	Completed – paragraph was reviewed during WG meeting 05
04/03	Working Group to consider the use of the words 'additional metering', 'operational metering' and 'secondary metering' with a view to using one set of	Working Group	Completed - WG agreed to replace operational and

	words throughout the consultation document and whether this needs to be defined somewhere (e.g., via terms within Grid Code or the Distribution Code)		secondary metering with 'additional metering' throughout
04/04	Working Group to consider whether option 4 is retained given it is no change and thus in reality can be covered off under question 1 of the consultation and by virtue of industry being able to not be supportive of any of the options put forward. This is largely because the Working Group can't proceed to develop a no change based solution and so retaining it as an option doesn't add up from a governance perspective.	Working Group	Completed - WG agreed to remove option 4 during WG meeting 05
04/05	ElectraLink to include some introductory text near the start of Option 2 to indicate that metering may be in place already or will need to be installed if not in place and that this option still requires a certificate to be provided.	ElectraLink	Completed – paragraph was reviewed during WG meeting 05
04/06	ElectraLink to include update the paragraphs related to option 3 such that it includes consumption rather than just capacity as is currently drafted.	ElectraLink	Completed – paragraph was reviewed during WG meeting 05
04/07	ElectraLink to include some text for consideration by the Working Group around option 6.	ElectraLink	Completed – paragraph was reviewed during WG meeting 05
04/08	ElectraLink to complete a general tidy up of the draft consultation document, specifically, where comments indicate but also as needed.	ElectraLink	Completed – paragraph was reviewed during WG meeting 05