

DCP 392 Working Group Meeting 10

23 May 2022 at 13:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Chibuike Ilomuanya [CI]	Ofgem
Daniel Mellis [DM]	SSE
Graham Garth [GG]	SSE Generation
Joanna Knight [JK]	SSEN
Peter Turner [PT]	NPg
Simon Vicary [SV]	EDF
Tom Cadge [TC]	BU-UK
Vanessa Buxton [VB]	WPD
Code Administrator	
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Hannah Proffitt [HP] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink
Apologies	
Charles Deacon [CD]	Renewable Connections
Edda Dirks [ED]	SSE Generation

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.2 The Chair presented the minutes of the previous meeting to the Working Group and advised that no comments had been received ahead of the meeting. The Working Group accepted the minutes as final, these can be found as **Attachment 1**.

- 1.3 The Working Group noted the items on the actions list from the last meeting. Updates on all actions are provided in **Appendix A**.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting was to complete the review of the consultation responses and to finalise the next steps.

3. Review of Consultation Responses

- 3.1 The Working Group reviewed the collated responses to questions 6 to 16. A summary of the discussions can be found in **Attachment 2**.
- 3.2 A summary of the actions taken during these discussions can be found in **Appendix A**. Several matters were identified to be included as part of the legal review. These are summarised in **Attachment 3**.

4. Next Steps

- 4.1 The Working Group discussed the next steps, and the following items were captured:
- The Secretariat to update the draft Change Report to reflect the Working Group comments on the consultation responses.
 - The Working Group to meet again on 13 June 2022 to review the Issues and Considerations Log.

5. Any Other Business

- 5.1 The Chair asked the group whether there were any other items of business to discuss, to which nothing was raised.

6. Date of Next Meeting

- 6.1 The next meeting has been scheduled for Monday 13 June 2022 at 10am.

Attachments

- Attachment 1 - DCP 392 Working Group Meeting 09 - Final Minutes v1.0
- Attachment 2 - DCP 392 Collated Consultation Responses - WG Comments
- Attachment 3 - Issues & Considerations Log
- Attachment 4 - DCP 392 Draft Change Report

APPENDIX A

New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
09/01	DNOs to review whether there are any examples where a T connectee has triggered D works other than a tertiary connection, and to report back at the next meeting.	DNOs	Action ongoing. <i>PT advised that they had found two examples, however that on impact assessment, no resulting work was required. It was unclear if these were a tertiary connection.</i>
09/02	Secretariat to seek clarification from the commenter, on the below. <i>Can the working group provide any real life examples of the commercial implications of connecting a tertiary connection, in particular any refunds on the costs of SGT's back to DNO's and how the UoS charges are changed when the site becomes multiuser? Is there a significant change in the DNO's boundary charges? Does this have an impact on D customer's DUoS charges?</i>	Secretariat	Action ongoing. <i>Have emailed commenter for clarification.</i>
09/03	CD to provide further information on the example within Live Project 2.	CD	Action ongoing. <i>Have emailed CD for update.</i>
09/04	Secretariat to seek legal opinion to ensure wording of legal text places an obligation on Parties.	Secretariat	Action ongoing.

09/05	Working Group to review sections 3.86 to 3.89 of the Access and Forward Looking Charges decision document and identify anything relevant to DCP 392.	WG Members	<p>Action ongoing.</p> <p><i>PT highlighted sections 3.86 and 3.88 of Ofgem's decision document. In particular highlighting the below.</i></p> <p><i>'For example, changes to the electricity distribution licence would be required to allow DNOs to recover these costs through DUoS, but more consideration needs to be given as to whether or not it is appropriate for transmission costs to be included within a DNO's regulated allowance.'</i></p>
10/01	Working Group to check CMP 328 regarding the process for requesting impact assessments and to check the CUSC on the process for requesting third party connections.	WG Members	<p>New action.</p>