

# DCP 251 and DCP 252 Working Group Minutes

<b>Meeting Name</b>	DCP 251 and DCP 252 Working Group
<b>Meeting Number</b>	06
<b>Date</b>	25 April 2016
<b>Time</b>	10:30
<b>Venue</b>	ElectraLink's Offices

Attendee	Company
Mike Harding [MH] (Chair)	Brookfield Utilities
Anika Brandt [AB]	SSE
Chris Allanson [CA] (teleconference)	Northern Powergrid
Dave Wornell [DW] (teleconference)	Western Power Distribution
Franck Latremoliere [FL]	Reckon
Keith Burwell [KB] (teleconference)	Ofgem
Pat Wormald [PW]	Northern Powergrid
Lauren Nicholls [LN]	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
Chris Ong [CO]	UK Power Networks
Chris Barker [CB]	ENWL

## 1 ADMINISTRATION

- 1.1 The "Competition Law Dos and Don'ts" were reviewed and all Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law for the duration of the meeting. It was agreed the discussion regarding specific Distributor prices and tariffs could not be discussed.
- 1.2 The Working Group agreed the minutes of the previous meeting, held on 22 March 2015, as an accurate record subject to FL comments being addressed.
- 1.3 Please refer to Appendix A for an update on all of the actions.

## 2 CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

- 2.1 The Group undertook a detailed review of the DCP 251 and DCP 252 Consultation Document and agreed for a number of amendments to be made during the meeting. These amendments can be found within Attachment 1.
- 2.2 In addition to the amendments made within the meeting, two actions were taken, which have been captured within Version 0.5 of the consultation document. These actions related to:
  - DW populating the link to Elexon's document on full settlement and difference metering; and
  - LN to move the Licence Conditions section to the background of the Working Paper, once received from PW.
- 2.3 A query was raised with regard to DUoS charges for a Private Network Operators (PNOs) boundary meter, whereby different voltage customers are connected to the network. The members confirmed that the DNO may bill based on a meter reading from the boundary meter

for the use of system regardless of the different voltage customers. It was noted that some DNOs may use settlement data; however, the accuracy of this data cannot be confirmed. This issue was previously raised within DCP 158 and DCP 158A, although both changes were rejected.

- 2.4 During the review of the Consultation Document, the Group agreed to conduct an ex-committee review of the Working Paper should be conducted, once received by PW.
- 2.5 Finally, an action was taken for Ofgem to consider whether the Consultation document could be issued within their daily updates in order to increase its visibility.

**ACTION 06/01: Ofgem**

### **3 WORK PLAN**

---

- 3.1 The Working Group reviewed the Work Plan for DCP 251 and DCP 252 and proposed a number of amendments based upon the actions taken within the meeting. The updated Work Plan can be found within Attachment 2.

### **4 ANY OTHER BUSINESS**

---

- 4.1 There were no further items of business and the Chair closed the meeting.

### **5 NEXT MEETING**

---

- 5.1 The next meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, 7 June 2016, at 10.00 at ElectraLink's offices and will consider both DCP 251 and DCP 252 in parallel.

**APPENDIX A: SUMMARY OF ACTIONS****NEW AND OPEN ACTIONS**

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
04/02	<p>To:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consider the viability of MPAS services being part of the Use of System charged.</li> <li>Review where Section 3.2 should be placed within the Working Paper.</li> </ul>	All	On-going
05/02	Consider whether a consultation question should be added on whether a licence exempt operator should be able to choose between the existing tariffs and the LDNO tariffs.	All	
05/03	<p>Consider the following questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Should this table be titled IDNO or MPRS?</li> <li>Can competition in supply exist without MPANs?</li> </ul>	All	
05/05	Draft further text on emergency call handling to capture that where a DNOs MPAN is supplied then a single emergency number will display at the end of the bill.	Mike Harding	
05/06	Capture the private network without an active private network operator scenario represented	Chris Ong	

	in table 5 in descriptive text by the end of the week.		
06/01	To consider whether the Consultation document could be issued within their daily updates in order to increase its visibility	Ofgem	

**CLOSED ACTIONS AT THE MEETING**

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
03/01	To draft a timeline of events regarding the history of the IDNO methodology	PW & CA	Complete
05/01	Redraft paragraph 1.2	Mike Harding	Complete
05/04	Discuss with Franck Latremoliere the point that when a PNO was supplying a customer with a BSC MPAN then if a Supplier is appointed, the DCUSA which sets out the relationship between the Supplier and the distributor would apply.	All	Complete: It was agreed that DCUSA would not apply in such instances.
05/07	Three Working Group members agreed to provide a first draft of the DCP 251/252 consultation after the 04 April 2016 for the Working Group to review ex-committee.	All	Complete