

DCP 404 Working Group - Meeting 11

04 August 2022 at 10:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Donald Preston [DP]	SSE
Edda Dirks [ED]	SSE Generation
Karin Cadwallader [KC]	BUUK
Kyran Hanks [KH]	Waters Wye
Rebekah Pryn [RP]	UKPN
Ross Thompson [RT]	UKPN
Simon Vicary [SV]	EDF
Tony McEntee [TM]	ENWL
Wendy Mantle [WM]	SPEN
Will Topping [WT]	WPD
Code Administrator	
Andy Green [AG]	ElectraLink
Dylan Townsend [DT] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Mel Kendal [MK] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink
Apologies	
Lee Wells [LW]	NPg
Mike Kaveney [MK]	WPD
Robert Matta [RM]	SPEN

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance” and “Terms of Reference”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting and agreed to the Terms of Reference.
- 1.2 An action log has been created and all updates are provided in **Appendix A**.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair explained that the purpose of this meeting is to review the draft legal text and review the Consultation.

3. Review Draft Legal Text

- 3.1 The Chair invited the Working Group to review and discuss the current draft legal text. The link to the cloud document has been circulated and updates were made live during the meeting.
- 3.2 TM had made a few tracked changes within the draft legal text since the previous meeting and the Working Group reviewed and agreed with the updates.
- 3.3 There were no further comments on the draft legal text and the Working Group agreed that it is suitable to be circulated with the Consultation. The Secretariat took an action to circulate a clean version of the draft legal text to the Working Group post-meeting for final review.

ACTION 11/01: The Secretariat to reorder the Consultation to ensure that it follows the order of the legal text and circulate to the Working Group for review.

4. Review Draft Consultation

- 4.1 The Chair invited the Working Group to review and discuss the current draft Consultation. The link to the cloud document has been circulated and the updates were made live during the meeting.
- 4.2 The Working Group went through the Consultation and agreed that the structure of sections/questions needs to flow in the same order of the legal text in order to make it easier to follow for the respondent. After further discussion, the Secretariat agreed to reorder the Consultation to ensure that it follows the order of the legal text offline and circulate to the Working Group for review.

ACTION 11/02: The Secretariat to reorder the Consultation to ensure that it follows the order of the legal text and circulate to the Working Group for review.

- 4.3 WM queried whether Customers can challenge an Exceeded Curtailment Limit (as well as the DNOs) - TM stated that DNOs would be seeking permission from Ofgem for a derogation (as opposed to amendment) and the Working Group agreed to amend the wording within the Consultation to the below:
 - *‘Following discussions around concerns with the methodology for calculating the Curtailment Limit, the Working Group agreed to include a provision which would allow the distributors to*

be able to take this to Ofgem to seek permission for a derogation to not follow the methodology if the methodology results in a figure that the distributor considers to be inaccurate’.

4.4 TM suggested additional wording to be included under a new sub-heading ‘Amendments to Schedule 22 – CCCM’ below and the Working Group agreed with the amendment:

- *‘Within Schedule 22 of the DCUSA (CCCM provisions cover the funding of control equipment for flexible connections and these provisions allow for the equipment that is connected at the customers premises to be funded by the customer but equipment that may be used more widely can either be shared or funded by the Network Operator.*
- *It is proposed that any on site equipment that is required to manage a curtailable connection is dealt within the same way and appropriate wording is proposed to be added to paragraphs 1.32A and 1.32B of the CCCM to apply this’.*

4.5 The Working Group were happy with the current drafting of the Consultation and agreed that one more meeting will be needed for a final review of all documents prior to the Consultation being circulated.

5. Agenda Items for Next Meeting

5.1 The Working Group discussed the next steps, and the following items were captured:

1. The Working Group to complete a final review the draft legal text.
2. The Working Group to complete a final review the draft Consultation.

6. Any Other Business

6.1 The Chair asked the group whether there were any other items of business to discuss.

6.2 There were no other items raised.

7. Date of Next Meeting

7.1 The next Working Group meeting will be held on 08 August 2022 at 10am.

APPENDIX A

New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
09/05	The Secretariat to add the definition of Curtailable Connection to Schedule 22.	Secretariat	Ongoing. In progress.
11/02	The Secretariat to reorder the Consultation to ensure that it follows the order of the legal text and circulate to the Working Group for review.	Secretariat	Ongoing.

Closed Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
02/05	The Secretariat to include the Curtailment Limit Calculation spreadsheet as an attachment to the Consultation to wider industry once finalised.	Secretariat	Closed.
10/01	The Secretariat to circulate an updated clean version of the draft legal text to the Working Group for review.	Secretariat	Closed.
11/01	The Secretariat to reorder the Consultation to ensure that it follows the order of the legal text and circulate to the Working Group for review.	Secretariat	Closed.