

# DCP 410 Working Group Meeting 02

14 September 2022 at 9:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Chris Ong [CO]	UKPN
Chris Barker [CB]	ENWL
Edda Dirks [ED]	SSE Generation
Mark Jones [MJ]	SSE Generation
James Jones [JJ]	SSE Networks
Kara Burke [KB]	NPg
Richard Ellis [RE]	WPD
George Potter [GP]	SPEN
<b>Apologies</b>	
Lorna Murray	SPEN
Shannon Murray	Ofgem
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
John Lawton (Chair)	ElectraLink
Craig Booth (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink

## 1. Administration

- 1.1 The Chair welcomed attendees to the second DCP 410 Working Group meeting.
- 1.2 All members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.3 The Working Group reviewed the draft minutes of the previous meeting. ED identified two issues with the Attendees table requiring an update: MJ showed incorrectly as working for SSE Generation rather

than SSE Networks and Shannon Murray of Ofgem may need to be categorised separately. No other issues with the draft minutes were identified.

**ACTION 01/02:** Correct Attendees table with James Jones' correct company.

**ACTION 02/02:** Amend Attendees table with correct categories.

## 2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting was to review the draft consultation document and agree the next steps (i.e., the timeline that will be followed for this CP).

## 3. Consultation Document Review

- 3.1 The Working Group reviewed the draft consultation document, which contained tracked changes from comments returned by Working Group members.
- 3.2 The Working Group discussed and agreed that an example would help to illustrate the issue and should be included with the consultation.
- 3.3 The Working Group reviewed four examples provided by KB illustrating the impacts. KB explained that two of the examples were for sites where the consumption had changed by more than 50% and two of the examples were for sites where it had changed by less than 50%, the latter to illustrate that there is still an element of unfairness where usage changes by less than 50%. It was agreed to include the first two in-scope examples, where site usage had changed by more than 50%.
- 3.4 The Chair asked whether the two examples should be contained in the consultation document or as a separate attachment. The Working Group agreed to include them as a separate attachment, to be referenced in the consultation.

**ACTION 03/02:** Copy the first two examples provided by KB into an attachment document for inclusion with the consultation.

- 3.5 KB queried whether it needed to be clear that the two examples below 50% were out of scope. RE suggested that this was not necessary, due to the scope already stating that it's only where site usage changes by more than 50%.
- 3.6 ED queried whether the approach would be the same if DCP 389 is approved by the Authority. The Chair explained that it was not possible to take DCP 389 into consideration at this time, as it had not yet been approved by the Authority. The Chair explained that if it is approved, this CP may need to be amended, or a further CP may need to be raised, to consider the implications of DCP 389.
- 3.7 ED queried whether the examples would change if DCP 389 was approved. KB did not believe they would change as a result of DCP 389.

- 3.8 ED raised that paragraph 4.4 of the consultation did not make it clear that DCP 389 was with the Authority for a decision until quite late in the paragraph. The paragraph was amended to make this clear earlier.
- 3.9 The Working Group discussed and agreed that section 7 of the consultation should provide an explanation for why it is necessary to implement the proposed change by no later than April 2023. The paragraph was amended to include this explanation.
- 3.10 The Working Group reviewed and agreed the following consultation questions:

No.	Questions
1	Do you understand the intent of the CP?
2	Are you supportive of the principle of the CP?
3	Are there any other DCUSA changes that you are aware of that this Change could impact? If so, please provide the change numbers and your rationale.
4	Do you consider that the proposal better facilitates the DCUSA Charging Objectives? Please give supporting reasons.
5	Are you aware of any wider industry developments that may impact upon or be impacted by this DCP?
6	Are you supportive of the proposed implementation date?
7	Do you have any comments on the draft legal text?

- 3.11 The Chair proposed that the consultation be sent on 15 September 2022, to run for three weeks from that date, with a response deadline of 6 October 2022. This was agreed by the Working Group.
- 3.12 The Chair asked the Working Group if they wanted to review the consultation before it is sent out. The Working Group agreed that they were happy for it to be sent out once the agreed changes were made.

**ACTION 04/02:** Issue the consultation pack on 15 September 2022.

- 3.13 The Chair proposed a timeline in the consultation document, based on getting the consultation responses back for review in October, with a view to getting the Change Report issued for Panel review and Party voting in November, and the Change Declaration issued to Parties and the Authority in December. The Working Group agreed with the proposed timeline.

**ACTION 05/02:** Update the DCP 410 Work Plan to match the timeline agreed.

## 4. Next Steps

---

- 4.1 The Working Group agreed to meet on Monday 17 October 2022 at 10am to review the consultation responses.

## 5. Agenda Items for the Next Meeting

---

- 5.1 Review the consultation responses.

## 6. Any Other Business

---

- 6.1 The Chair asked the Working Group whether there were any other items of business to discuss, to which nothing was raised.

## 7. Date of Next Meeting

---

- 7.1 The date of the next meeting has been scheduled for Monday 17 October 2022 at 10am.

## APPENDIX A

### New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/02	Amend the Attendees table with James Jones' correct company.	Secretariat	Completed
02/02	Amend the Attendees table with correct categories.	Secretariat	Completed
03/02	Copy the first two examples provided by KB into an attachment document for inclusion with the consultation.	Secretariat	Completed
04/02	Issue the consultation pack on 15 September 2022.	Secretariat	Open
05/02	Update the DCP 410 Work Plan to match the timeline agreed.	Secretariat	Open

### Completed Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/01	Include a question in the consultation asking whether Paragraph 7 needs to be referenced in the draft legal text.	Secretariat	Completed in meeting
02/01	Include in the consultation document that further consideration may need to be given to DCP 389 if approved by the Authority.	Secretariat	Completed
03/01	Produce a draft consultation for circulation to the Working Group by close of business 9 September 2023.	Secretariat	Completed
04/01	Copy Dave Wornell into the circulation of the draft consultation document.	Secretariat	Completed