

# DCP 405 Working Group - Meeting 12

15 September 2022 at 14:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Donald Preston [DP]	SSE
Edda Dirks [ED]	SSE Generation
Karin Cadwallader [KC]	BUUK
Mike Kaveney [MK]	WPD
Peter Turner [PT]	NPg
Rebekah Pryn [RP]	UKPN
Robert Matta [RM]	SPEN
Simon Vicary [SV]	EDF
Tina Schmieder-Gaite [TS]	Ofgem
Will Topping [WT]	WPD
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
Mel Kendal [MK] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink
Tim Hipperson [TH] (Chair)	ElectraLink
<b>Apologies</b>	
Lee Wells [LW]	NPg
Nigel Bessant [NB]	SSE
Tom Cadge [TC]	BUUK
Tony McEntee [TM]	ENWL

## 1. Administration

---

- 1.1 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance” and “Terms of Reference”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting and agreed to the Terms of Reference.
- 1.2 An action log has been created and all updates are provided in **Appendix A**.

## 2. Purpose of the Meeting

---

- 2.1 The Chair explained that the purpose of this meeting is to review the Consultation responses and feedback provided by Working Group members.

## 3. Review of Consultation Responses

---

- 3.1 The Chair walked through each tranche of questions and feedback with the Working Group to review and discuss. In order to gain a high-level view of what the next steps are and to understand which areas need to be focused on, the Working Group agreed to cross-check whether this is something that can be resolved within the time frame, and if so, what are the next steps for each discussion point.
- 3.2 **Question 1**
- 3.3 All responders confirmed that they understood the intent of the CP.
- 3.4 **Question 2**
- 3.5 All responders are supportive of the principles of the CP.
- 3.6 **Question 3**
- 3.7 All responders believe the high-level obligation should be included within Section 2B of the DCUSA and that the technical details are included within the BCA. One responder did note that although they agree, they feel that further work needs to be carried out to develop consistent processes between the relevant parties (taking into account variability in terms of capabilities and the availability of technology).
- 3.8 The Working Group discusses the above comment and agreed that this is slightly out of scope of this CP and can be raised as a subsequent change in the future.
- 3.9 **Question 4**
- 3.10 All responders believe this is the right approach to refer to the new Schedule as implemented by DCP 404 rather than replicating the text within Section 2B.
- 3.11 **Question 5**
- 3.12 All responders agree that it is the right approach in relation to Schedule 13 as opposed to including specific provision within Schedule 6 (of Schedule 13).
- 3.13 **Question 6**
- 3.14 All responders agree that the legal text proposed deliver the intent of DCP 405.
- 3.15 **Question 7**
- 3.16 All respondents agree that the proposal better facilitates the DCUA General Objectives 2 and 3. One respondent stated that they believe this CP ensures efficiency and co-ordination, however they do

not believe the proposals are economic as they rely on the exceeded curtailment price proposed under DCP404, and do not believe this to be appropriate (may not better facilitate objective 1).

3.17 Responses will be noted within the Change Report (majority of responders believe that it better facilitates the DCUSA General Objectives).

3.18 **Question 8**

3.19 All bar one respondent stated that they are unaware of any potential wider industry developments that may be impacted by this CP. One respondent stated that Open Networks may be affected; for example, Workstream 1A, Product 5 primacy rules which may impact DSOs utilisation of flexibility services.

3.20 After further discussion, it was agreed for the Chair to reach out to the Chair of Workstream 1A, Product 5 for further information and feedback to the Working Group.

**ACTION 12/01: The Chair to reach out to the Chair of Workstream 1A, Product 5 for further information and feedback to the Working Group.**

3.21 The Working Group agreed to note the suggested wider industry impacts within the Change Report.

3.22 **Question 9**

3.23 All bar one respondent had no further comments on the DCP 405 Draft Legal Text. One respondent noted that there are no timescales/processes specified to pass a Curtailment request from the upstream network to the IDNO connected Customer and are conscious that this could lead to inconsistent approaches between DNOs.

3.24 The Working Group stated that the details of how the Company instructs the user is set out within the current BCA and does not form a part of the legal text.

3.25 **Question 10**

3.26 The majority of respondents had no further comments around the DCP 405 CP; however, it was suggested that this could be an opportunity to align all BCAs across DNOs who tend to use various approaches. The Working Group agreed that this is out of scope of this CP.

3.27 A concern was also raised around the time provided to respond to the Consultation as this has given limited opportunity for scrutiny of the CPs. The Working Group agreed that this will be noted within the Change Report.

3.28 After further discussion, the Secretariat agreed to update the Change Report for the Working Group to review.

**ACTION 12/02: The Secretariat to update the Change Report for the Working Group to review.**

## 4. Review of Draft Consultation

4.1 The Secretariat walked through the draft Consultation document with the Working Group for review. The link to the updated cloud document has been circulated and updates were made live during the meeting.

4.2 The Working Group had no further comments and agreed that the Consultation is suitable for circulation. A final review will be carried out at the next meeting.

## 5. Agenda Items for Next Meeting

---

5.1 The Working Group discussed the next steps, and the following items were captured:

1. The Working Group to review the Draft Change Report.

## 6. Any Other Business

---

6.1 The Chair asked the group whether there were any other items of business to discuss.

6.2 There were no other items raised.

## 7. Date of Next Meeting

---

7.1 The next Working Group meeting will be held on 22 August 2022 at 2pm.

## APPENDIX A

---

### New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
12/01	The Chair to reach out to the Chair of Workstream 1A, Product 5 for further information and feedback to the Working Group.	Chair	Open.
12/02	The Secretariat to update the Change Report for the Working Group to review.	Secretariat	Open.

### Closed Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update