

DCP 406 Working Group - Meeting 18

20 September 2022 at 10:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Dafydd Burton [DB]	Ofgem
Karin Cadwallader [KC]	BUUK
Lee Wells [LW]	NPg
Martin Brace [MB]	UKPN
Simon Vicary [SV]	EDF
Tom Cadge [TC]	BUUK
Tracey Taylor [TT]	ENWL
Vanessa Buxton [VB]	WPD
Code Administrator	
Andy Green [AG] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink
Furqan Aziz [FA] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Mel Kendal [MK] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink
Apologies	
Brian Hoy [BH]	ENWL
George Moran [GM]	Centrica
Robert Matta [RM]	SPEN

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance” and “Terms of Reference”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting and agreed to the Terms of Reference.
- 1.2 An action log has been created and all updates are provided in **Appendix A**.

2. Review of Consultation Responses

- 2.1 The Chair explained that the purpose of this meeting is to review the Consultation responses and assign relevant actions to members of the Working Group.
- 2.2 The Secretariat agreed to make the necessary updates to the Change Report where responses to Consultation questions are noted/addressed.

ACTION 18/01: The Secretariat to make the necessary updates to the Change Report.

- 2.3 The Working Group discussed Q3 responses, and LW agreed to take an action to draft wording to respond to DRAX to be included within the Change Report.

ACTION 18/02: LW to draft wording addressing DRAX response to Q3 of the Consultation, to be included within the Change Report.

- 2.4 The Working Group discussed the suggested amendments to the draft legal text (regarding the definitions of Demand/Generation Connection) and agreed to take an action to review and feedback at the next session.

ACTION 18/03: The Working Group to review NPg’s suggested amendments to the draft legal text (regarding the definitions of Demand/Generation Connection) and feedback at the next session (also consider ENWL’s response to Q4 when reviewing).

- 2.5 It was also noted that ENWL’s Consultation response to Q4 needs to be considered when reviewing NPg’s suggested amendments to the draft legal text.
- 2.6 In regard to the Q5, the Working Group agreed to review the suggested amendments to the wording of Option 3b made by NPg (current open action).
- 2.7 The Working Group confirmed that all responders (to Q7) agree with the Working Group that there is a risk that the options for defining Demand Connection and Generation Connection may incentivise a Customer to ensure that it satisfies the definition of Demand Connection.
- 2.8 OPN raised a concern that consideration should also be given to the risk that different parties may be liable for connection costs and ongoing charges for a particular site (i.e., Developer and Operator).

- 2.9 LW agreed to take an action to draft wording to address the concern raised by OPN to be included within the Change Report.

ACTION 18/04: LW to draft wording for the Change Report regarding different parties paying the connection and use of system charges (response to OPN, Q7).

- 2.10 In relation to Q8, the Working Group noted that previous discussions were held within the WG prior to Consultation regarding retrospective connection charges and WG do not believe this is a pragmatic approach. It was agreed that this will be noted within the Change Report.
- 2.11 The Working Group noted that all paragraph numbering within the draft legal text will need to be reviewed before it is finalised to ensure all references are correct.
- 2.12 In relation to Q10, the Working Group noted that one responder commented that the table is not helpful – it was stated that the intent of the table is understood, however, it was not clearly articulated.
- 2.13 The Working Group discussed reviewing the High-Cost Project Threshold table and agreed to make no further amendments and it will remain within the legal text due to the majority view of the responders.
- 2.14 In relation to Q11, one responder suggested that the legal drafting needs additional review as proving connectivity to the Premises rather than the “connection(s)” may be more appropriate. TT agreed to take an action to review the legal text to see if any changes need to be made and feedback to the Working Group.

ACTION 18/05: TT to review the draft legal text (relating to Q11 – proving connectivity to the premises rather than to the “connection(s)”) to see if any changes need to be made and feedback to the Working Group.

- 2.15 In relation to the CCCM Examples document, the Working Group requested that the Secretariat follow-up with the ENA to see when the updated diagrams will be completed.

ACTION 18/06: Secretariat to follow-up with the ENA to see when the updated CCCM Examples diagrams will be completed.

- 2.16 In relation to Q13, ENWL suggested that within the CCCM Examples document, the text explaining VA and W could be simplified. MB agreed to take an action to review this within the introductory text and amend as needed. ENWL also suggested that Example 16 is confusing as the text and diagram to not align or explain the network solution. TT agreed to review example 16 and update as needed.

ACTION 18/07: MB to review this within the introductory text and amend as needed.

ACTION 18/08: TT to review example 16 within the CCCM Examples document and amend as needed.

- 2.17 In relation to Q17, ENWL raised a concern that the change will require two charging methodologies to be in use for a period of time. Ofgem have confirmed that they do not believe this is a significant risk and do not have any concerns – DB agreed to take an action to confirm this in writing to be included within the Change Report.

ACTION 18/09: DB to draft wording regarding no concerns around having two charging methodologies in use for a period of time, to be included within the Change Report.

3. Agenda Items for Next Meeting

- 3.1 The Working Group discussed the next steps, and the following items were captured:

1. The Working Group to review open actions.
2. The Working Group to review the draft Change Report.

4. Any Other Business

- 4.1 The Chair asked the group whether there were any other items of business to discuss.
- 4.2 There were no other items raised.

5. Date of Next Meeting

- 5.1 The next Working Group meeting will be held on 27 September 2022 at 10am.

APPENDIX A

New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
17/01	The Chair to seek further guidance around the voting process if an agreement cannot be made within the Working Group.	Chair	Ongoing.
17/04	The Working Group to check whether the wording for Generation Connection is just a typing error and whether the definition fits with Ofgem's Direction.	Working Group	Ongoing.
17/05	The Working Group to review the revised wording for option 3b provided by NPg.	Working Group	Ongoing.
17/07	The Working Group to further discuss the proposed changes to Schedule 32 and create a plan for progressing this forward.	Working Group	Ongoing.
17/12	The Working Group to review the additional examples from both ENWL and SPEN (related to Q13).	Working Group	Ongoing.
17/13	The Working Group to further consider an alternative Schedule 22.	Working Group	Ongoing.
17/15	The Secretariat to update the Change Report with the new timescales for progressing the CP within the relevant section.	Secretariat	Ongoing.
18/02	LW to draft wording addressing DRAX response to Q3 of the Consultation, to be included within the Change Report.	LW	Ongoing.
18/03	The Working Group to review NPg's suggested amendments to the draft legal text (regarding the definitions of Demand/Generation Connection) and feedback at the next session (also consider ENWL's response to Q4 when reviewing).	Working Group	Ongoing.

18/04	LW to draft wording for the Change Report regarding different parties paying the connection and use of system charges (response to OPN, Q7).	LW	Ongoing.
18/05	TT to review the draft legal text (relating to Q11 – proving connectivity to the premises rather than to the “connection(s)”) to see if any changes need to be made and feedback to the Working Group.	TT	Ongoing.
18/06	Secretariat to follow-up with the ENA to see when the updated CCCM Examples diagrams will be completed.	Secretariat	Ongoing.
18/07	MB to review this within the introductory text and amend as needed.	MB	Ongoing.
18/08	TT to review example 16 within the CCCM Examples document and amend as needed.	TT	Ongoing.
18/09	DB to draft wording regarding no concerns around having two charging methodologies in use for a period of time, to be included within the Change Report.	DB	Ongoing.

Closed Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
17/02	The Working Group to make further alterations to the draft legal text in regard to Electricity Storage that is related to Generation for review.	Working Group	Closed.
17/03	Ofgem to provide further clarity on whether further clarification will be needed regarding a new definition for Generation Connection.	Ofgem	Closed. Ofgem confirmed that they will be vocal where they can within the WG (i.e., has X been

considered?), however they cannot state whether something is sufficient or not.

			considered?), however they cannot state whether something is sufficient or not.
17/06	The Working Group agreed that the definition of 'primary purpose' needs further consideration.	Working Group	Closed.
17/08	The Working Group to review ENWL's suggested amendments to the legal text (related to Q9 response).	Working Group	Ongoing.
17/09	The Working Group to review the High-Cost Project Threshold table.	Working Group	Closed.
17/10	The Working Group to further consider whether the Exceptions support the Ofgem Decision.	Working Group	Closed.
17/11	The Working Group to review and correct any errors within the CCCM examples document (specifically example 15).	Working Group	Closed.
17/14	The Working Group to note the concerns around the tight timeframes relating to the Consultation period within the Change Report.	Secretariat	Closed.
18/01	The Secretariat to make the necessary updates to the Change Report.	Secretariat	Closed.