

# DCP 404 Working Group - Meeting 18

22 September 2022 at 10:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Donald Preston [DP]	SSE
Edda Dirks [ED]	SSE Generation
Ishan Lowe [IL]	Ofgem
Karin Cadwallader [KC]	BUUK
Kyran Hanks [KH]	Waters Wye
Lee Wells [LW]	NPg
Mike Kaveney [MK]	WPD
Rebekah Pryn [RP]	UKPN
Robert Matta [RM]	SPEN
Ross Thompson [RT]	UKPN
Simon Vicary [SV]	EDF
Tina Schmieder-Gate [TSG]	Ofgem
Tony McEntee [TM]	ENWL
Wendy Mantle [WM]	SPEN
Will Topping [WT]	WPD
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
Andy Green [AG]	ElectraLink
Mel Kendal [MK] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink
Tim Hipperson [TH] (Chair)	ElectraLink
<b>Apologies</b>	
Nigel Bessant [NB]	SSE

## 1. Administration

---

- 1.1 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance” and “Terms of Reference”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting and agreed to the Terms of Reference.
- 1.2 An action log has been created and all updates are provided in **Appendix A**.

## 2. Purpose of the Meeting

---

- 2.1 The Chair explained that the purpose of this meeting is to review the Consultation responses and feedback provided by Working Group members.
- 2.2 The Chair stated that to gain a high-level understanding of what areas need to be focused on, each of the responses will be questioned as to whether the issue/concern can be addressed, whether it can be completed within the given timescales, and if so, what are the next steps. A work plan can then be created and solutions discussed at the following Working Group meetings.

## 3. Review of Actions

---

- 3.1 The Chair walked through each tranche of questions and feedback with the Working Group to review and discuss. In order to gain a high-level view of what the next steps are and to understand which areas need to be focused on, the Working Group agreed to cross-check whether this is something that can be resolved with in the time frame, and if so, what are the next steps for each discussion point.
- 3.2 **Action 2**
  - *Possible requirement to add 'sensitivity' factor to Curtailment Limits. Models to be produced and assessed.*
- 3.3 TM added a new factor in the Curtailment Limit Calculation. TM agreed to amend the draft legal text to reflect this.
- 3.4 **Action 3**
  - *SSEN made suggested adjustments to Curtailment Limit calculator - Agreed to be taken offline by Tony and Nigel.*
- 3.5 TM agreed to make the necessary amendments to the Curtailment Limit Calculator and NB agreed to test this offline to ensure they are fit for purpose.
- 3.6 **Action 6**
  - *UKPN have made observations about calculation outcomes and recommended changes. Agrees that a bilateral session with Tony and Rebekah will take place.*
- 3.7 The Working Group agreed to introduce a new PV night time factor to the methodology. This will be tested offline and feedback provided to the Working Group.
- 3.8 **Action 11**
  - *SPEN asked if it was possible to change curtailment mid agreement if required. Working Group agreed that assessment of paragraph 2.1 needs to be undertaken to understand if this is allowable.*

3.9 The Working Group discussed this and amended Paragraph 2.3 of the draft legal text.

3.10 **Action 15**

- *SSEG also state that it is important to codify an obligation on the distributors to publish this information on what methodology or exemption for the Curtailment Threshold they are following. It was agreed that the legal text be changed to add a provision to provide details of how the limit is calculated if outside the norm.*

3.11 The Working Group discussed this and amended Paragraph 2.1 of the draft legal text.

3.12 **Action 17**

- *Numerous responders pointed to the need to divide the calculation methodology by 4 to allow for cost of quarter to be determined. Agreed that calculation would be reviewed and outcome shared with Working Group.*

3.13 The Working Group agreed to make changes to the methodology and LW agreed to test this offline and feedback to the Working Group.

3.14 **Action 20**

- *SSEN ask that a that an explanatory note should be added to the legal text to aid wider understanding. Of the Curtailment Instruction Value. As it is written, it is the difference between the Curtailable Import/Export Capacity and the instructed limit. Action was taken to review the definition and ensure it is fit for purpose.*

3.15 The Working Group agreed a new definition and it was aligned within the Curtailment Calculation and draft legal text. LW agreed to draft an example to be included within the Change Report.

3.16 **Action 31**

- *BUUK comment that it cannot tell from the consultation what the impact would be removing certain types of Distribution Flexibility Services to support exclusion. Working Group agreed that we need to include baseline, contracted and tendered data to allow visibility of impact of costs, Working Group session required to ensure data is allowed to be shared and what data to share.*

3.17 Full analysis on this action has not been completed as of yet, and the Working Group agreed to discuss this at the next Working Group meeting.

## 4. Agenda Items for Next Meeting

---

4.1 The Working Group discussed the next steps, and the following items were captured:

1. The Working Group to review the methodology for excluding outliers.

## 5. Any Other Business

---

5.1 The Chair asked the group whether there were any other items of business to discuss.

5.2 There were no other items raised.

## 6. Date of Next Meeting

---

6.1 The next Working Group meeting will be held on 28 September 2022 at 10am.

## APPENDIX A

---

### New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
09/05	The Secretariat to add the definition of Curtailable Connection to Schedule 22.	Secretariat	<b>Ongoing.</b> <b>In progress.</b>
15/01	The Working Group to review and complete the action's spreadsheet.	Working Group	<b>Ongoing.</b>

### Closed Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update