

## DCUSA DCP 417 Change Declaration

Voting end date: 5pm, 7 June 2024

DCP 417	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	CVA REGISTRANT	GAS SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	Accept	Accept	Accept	n/a	n/a
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Accept	Accept	Accept	n/a	n/a
RECOMMENDATION	<p><b>Change Solution – Accept.</b></p> <p>In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was more than 50% in all Categories.</p> <p><b>Implementation Date – Accept.</b></p> <p>In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was more than 50% in all Categories.</p>				
PART ONE / PART TWO	<p><b>Part One – Authority Determination Required</b></p>				

PARTY	SOLUTION (A / R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A / R)	WHICH DCUSA OBJECTIVE(S) IS BETTER FACILITATED?	COMMENTS
DNO PARTIES				
National Grid Electricity Distribution (East Midlands) plc	Accept	Accept	This change will have a positive impact on DCUSA General Objective 4.	
National Grid Electricity Distribution (West Midlands) plc	Accept	Accept		
National Grid Electricity Distribution (South Wales) plc	Accept	Accept		
National Grid Electricity Distribution (South West) plc	Accept	Accept		
Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept	We believe that DCUSA General Objective 4 is better facilitated by this change, as the Secretariat will have the ability to raise Change Proposals, including issues which they have identified.	
London Power Networks	Accept	Accept		

South Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept		
Southern Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept	This DCP 417 better facilitates DCUSA Objective 4 .	
Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept		
Electricity North West Limited	Rejected	Approved	ENWL does not believe this DCP will better facilitate any of the DCUSA Objectives.	It could result in increased Electralink resource requirement costs, and also a risk that SIG or DCMDG consent to Secretariat progressing a poor value proposal which would not otherwise justify the time of an industry participant. In addition, the lack of singular accountability is a risk to the quality of solutions.
Northern Powergrid (North East)	Accept	Accept	We agree that DCUSA Objective 4 (the promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the DCUSA) will be better facilitated by this change. Allowing the DCUSA Secretariat to raise changes via discussions and then approval of either the SIG or the DCMDG forums, potentially will free up industry resource and time. Therefore, this should assist in faster and more efficient progression of Change Proposals.	
Northern Powergrid (Yorkshire)	Accept	Accept		
IDNO PARTIES				
MUA Electricity Ltd	Accept	Accept	We believe this proposal will better facilitate Objective 4 by allowing a related party (the DCUSA Secretariat) to raise change proposals where no existing party member nominates themselves as sponsor. This will	

			lead to improved efficiency whereby proposals are raised that may have otherwise be sidelined.	
BUUK	Accept	Accept	4-The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement and the arrangements under it	
INDEPENDENT DISTRIBUTION CONNECTION SPECIALISTS LIMITED	Accept	Accept	We believe General Objective 4 is better facilitated by this CP as it is utilising the expertise and industry knowledge held within the secretariat and reduces time e.g. finding a sponsor that would otherwise be needed such as housekeeping changes.	
<b>SUPPLIER PARTIES</b>				
British Gas	Reject	Reject	We do not believe any of the DCUSA Objectives are better facilitated by the Change.	<p>The change report states:</p> <p>The Secretariat carried out some analysis on the proposer statistics for the most recent one hundred Change Proposals, raised over a two-year period, and this is set out in Appendix A. The distribution by proposer of the number proposals raised was broad (some raised multiple proposals, others raised few), however, there were 45 proposers in total. The analysis seemed to show that sponsors will step forward as and when needed. The analysis did not indicate whether any CPs were delayed or not raised due to not being able to find a proposer to sponsor them in order to progress to a Working Group.</p> <p>We therefore do not believe that the case for change has been made.</p>

EDF Energy Customers	Accept	Accept	This proposal better facilitates DCUSA General Objective 4. The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the DCUSA	
----------------------	--------	--------	---	--

Scottish Power Energy Retail Limited.	Accept	Accept		
CVA REGISTRANT PARTIES				
No votes received.				
GAS SUPPLIER PARTIES				
No votes received.				