

DCP 411 Working Group - Meeting 10

05 May 2023 at 10:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Chris Ong [CO]	UKPN
Claire Campbell [CC]	SPEN
Kara Burke [KB]	NPg
Kevin Woollard [KW]	Centrica
Edda Dirks (ED)	SSE Generation
Victoria Burkett [VB]	SSE
Peter Waymont (PW)	UKPN
David Fewings (DW)	Inenco
Code Administrator	
Craig Booth (CB) Chair	ElectraLink
Andy Green[AG] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink
Apologies	
Tracey Dunne [TD]	ENWL
Diandra Orodan [DO]	BU-UK

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance” and “Terms of Reference”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting and agreed to the Terms of Reference.
- 1.2 An action log has been created and all updates are provided in **Appendix A**.

- 1.3 In regard to **Action 08/03** the chair advised he had spoken to colleagues at The REC and BSCP and hadn't got a definitive answer. This led to the Chair reviewing the BSCP in order to find the answer. The chair shared an extract from BSC 501 and informed the group that it is possible for an MPAN to be De-energised at the point of registration.
- 1.4 It was confirmed that as this change was only dealing with traded MPANs and at that stage all MPANs must have an energised status. ED request that this point be raised within the change report. This action was closed.
- 1.5 **Action 08/04** The Chair advised this had been passed to the BSC. The Chair advised the change report and solution had been reviewed by Mark De Souza at Elexon and he had advised there are no impacts to the BSCP as a result of this DCP. This action was closed.
- 1.6 In regard to **action 08/05**, the Chair had spoken to the Chair of DCP 414 and shared the legal text for that DCP within the DCP 411 Working Group. This action was closed as there were no interactions between DCP 414 and DCP 411
- 1.7 **Action 08/06** The Chair had advised that he'd not heard from anyone at the REC. VB had asked Craig to share the email sent to the REC with her so she can review.

Action 10/01- The Chair to forward the email sent to REC to Victoria.

- 1.8 **Action 08/07** PW advised he'd shared with the REC and this had been passed to the cross code steering group and is to be discussed between the REC and DCSUA. The Chair advised he would speak to Richard Colwill who sits on the Cross Code Steering Group for the DCUSA to raise this at the next CCSG. This action was closed, and a new action created.

Action 10/02- The Chair to speak with Richard Colwill to make sure this is raised within the CCSG

- 1.9 **Action 08/08** The Chair stated he had reached out to the CCSG and this was raised and there were no specific quality checks performed for data migration. This action was closed.
- 1.10 **Actions 09/01** was closed as ED had provided some text which had been included in the change report which is on the agenda to be reviewed later. This action was closed.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair explained that the purpose of this meeting is to review and finalise the legal text solution, walk through the draft change report, and agree next steps.

3. Review Draft Legal Text

- 3.1 The Chair opened the discussion for the review of the draft legal text with Working Group members.
- 3.2 The Chair provided a summary of the legal text provided by Gowlings and walked the Working Group through the amendments that had been made.

- 3.3 In regard to clause 19.8A This clause had been moved to earlier within the schedule. The Working Group were comfortable with the suggested changes by Gowlings.
- 3.4 Regarding the comments to clause 19.8A, there were no challenges to the amendments made by Gowlings.
- 3.5 ED asked how changes to the National Terms of Connection would be published. PW advised that these changes are published in the London Gazette which has been the process for the industry since privatisation, so this is a BAU process.
- 3.6 ED requested if the change report could include that the NTC changes will be published. PW advised this could be included within the change reports time table. The Chair advised he would update the change report to include this.
- 3.7 There were some renumbering changes by Gowlings which the Working Group agreed with.
- 3.8 The Working Group considered the comments Gowlings had provided within clause 12.11.1 noting that clause 23 states how notices are to be issued and the process that should be followed.
- 3.9 PW advised that changing clause 23.3 to include what contact details to use would be a sensible approach. This doesn't change the intent of the process for issuing notices. The Working Group agreed so the legal text was updated.
- 3.10 There were no additional comments raised against amendments that Gowlings had made as part of their review of the legal text. The Chair informed the Working Group that he would send the updated legal text to Gowlings with a note asking if the review could take place urgently so the change could be ready to submit to the May DCUSA panel.

Action 10/03- The Chair to send the updated legal text back to Gowlings after the Working Group with a request for an urgent review in order to have the change ready for Mays DCUSA panel to review.

4. Review of Change Report

- 4.1 The Chair presented the Change Report to the Working Group for review.
- 4.2 The Working Group agreed that if this change is reviewed at Mays panel, voting would end 12 June 2023 due to a bank holiday within the voting window. It was also agreed which DCUSA schedules the change was impacting.
- 4.3 A small change was made to the How section within section 1 to provide clarity that only traded MPANs are in scope.
- 4.4 The Working Group reviewed the comments raised by ED within section 7. The Chair walked the Working Group through the comments added in by ED, ED also provided the Working Group with a verbal explanation of the comments raised.
- 4.5 The Working Group agreed that there was a majority view, rather than unanimous, that if a customer stated that they wanted to retain their capacity if the sites been de energised, they should continue to

be charged for the capacity. It was also agreed this would be better placed to be in in section 7 rather than the 'how' section within section 1. This text was added into paragraph 7.39.

- 4.6 Paragraph 7.39 also had a slight amendment to explain that the sites that wouldn't be picked up by this change would continue to be charged in the normal fashion via suppliers.
- 4.7 KW noted that section 7 seemed to be giving a large platform to one Working Groups member views and that if these views were to remain within the change report, maybe some leading text into these views to state these are the views of one Work Group member would help to reflect the wider Working Groups views also.
- 4.8 The Working Group were unable to agree on the points made so ED's suggested that she could redraft the wording and share the wording with the Working Group for a later discussion. The Working Group supported this suggestion.

Action 10/04- ED to create some revised wording for paragraphs 7.40 and 7.41 and share with the Working Group for further review.

- 4.9 ED went on to explain the table that she had provided within paragraph 7.42 and the Working Group were comfortable with its contents.
- 4.10 ED also stated that she would like to move the table higher in the change report from paragraph 7.42 to in between paragraph 7.40 and 7.41. The Working Group were comfortable with this.
- 4.11 The Chair opened the discussion on which objectives are impacted by this change including the charging objectives. The original Working Groups view was that charging objectives 1 and 3 where better facilitated.
- 4.12 It was agreed that charging objective 1 was better facilitated.
- 4.13 Objective 2 was to be picked up within ED's revised wording as it was believed that there may be a negative impact to this charging objective however that it was noted that was only 1 Work Group member's view.
- 4.14 There was a majority view within the Working Group that charging objective 3 was better facilitated by the change. There were 2 Working Group members who didn't believe this was the case and these views were captured.
- 4.15 It was agreed that it would be better to have a conversation to review the revised text that ED had agreed to draft as part of action 10/04. The Working Group agreed to meet on Tuesday 09 May at 12pm.

5. Any Other Business

- 5.1 The Chair asked the group whether there were any other items of business to discuss.
- 5.2 There were no other items raised.

6. Date of Next Meeting

- 6.1 The next Working Group meeting will be held on 09 May 2023 at 12pm.

APPENDIX A

New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
08/06	AG to check whether there are any changes required to RMP Lifecycle Schedule 29.	Andy Green	Linked to 10/01 below
10/01	The Chair to forward the email sent to REC to Victoria.	Chair	New action
10/02	The Chair to speak with Richard Colwill to make sure this is raised within the CCSG	Chair	New action
10/03	The Chair to send the updated legal text back to Gowlings after the Working Group with a request for an urgent review in order to have the change ready for Mays DCUSA panel to review	Chair	Completed post meeting
10/04	ED to create some revised wording for paragraphs 7.40 and 7.41 and share with the Working Group for further review.	Edda Dirks	Completed post meeting

Closed Actions

Action Ref.			Update
06/01	Contact DNOs to ask if they actively manage the capacity on the basis of the number of de-energised sites within a population of NHH sites.	Chair	Closed.

06/02	Go back through the minutes of the previous meetings to check for the rationale on limiting the scope to measurement classes C and E.	Chair	Closed.
06/03	Look at DCP 160 to see if there are relevant points related to how networks plan their capacity.	GM	Closed.
07/01	The Chair to include the flow diagram within the change report.	Chair	Closed.
07/02	DO and The Chair to review what the changes to national terms of connections could mean to DNOs and IDNOs	DO and CB	Closed
07/03	Contact Tom Chevalier to ask him to join the next WG meeting.	Chair	Closed.
07/03	The Chair to check with the REC what scenarios the term "issues" covered to clear up if and when the information on the D0302 can be used.	VB	Closed.
08/01	The Working Group to review National Grid's additional comments during the full draft legal text review.	Working Group	Closed.
08/02	The Secretariat to review the draft legal text to ensure that it encompasses the contact data being used.	Secretariat	Closed.
08/09	The Secretariat to draft the Change Report.	Secretariat	Closed.
08/10	The Secretariat to draft the Change Report and circulate to the Working Group for review post-meeting.	Secretariat	Closed.
08/03	The Secretariat to gain a better understanding of whether an MPAN is registered at the point of de-energisation.	Secretariat	Closed.
08/04	The Secretariat to have discussions with REC and BSC to check what the status is that is carried through the registration system, and feedback to the Working Group.	Secretariat	Closed.
08/05	The Secretariat to seek an updated version of DCP 414 Legal Text to look for potential interactions with this change and note within the Change Report.	Secretariat	Closed.

08/07	PW to reach out to REC regarding the change process, and raise a REC change to implement an additional data item into the current D0139 flow.	PW	Closed
08/08	The Secretariat to speak with MHHS to see if there are specific data quality checks that performed during a migration.	Secretariat	Closed
09/01	ED to take away the objectives and ask internally if this change negatively impacts objective 2	ED	Closed