

DCUSA DCP 421 Change Declaration

Voting end date: 5pm, 17 September 2024

DCP 421	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	CVA REGISTRANT	GAS SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	Accept	n/a	Accept	n/a	n/a
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Accept	n/a	Accept	n/a	n/a
RECOMMENDATION	<p>Change Solution – Accept.</p> <p>For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was more than 65%. DCUSA Parties have voted to accept the proposed variation (solution) of DCP 421.</p> <p>Implementation Date – Accept.</p> <p>For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was more than 65%. DCUSA Parties have voted to accept the implementation date of DCP 421. DCUSA Parties have voted on DCP 421, with the outcome being a decision to accept the Change Proposal and thus the proposed variation to the DCUSA will be made accordingly.</p>				
PART ONE / PART TWO	Part Two – Authority Determination Not Required.				

PARTY	SOLUTION (A / R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A / R)	WHICH DCUSA OBJECTIVE(S) IS BETTER FACILITATED?	COMMENTS
DNO PARTIES				
Northern Powergrid (Northeast) plc	Accept	Accept	We agree with the Working Group that General Objectives 2 and 3 and Charging Objectives 1, 2 and 4 are better facilitated by this change as it aligns the tables to the RIIO-ED2 allowed revenue calculations.	N/A
Northern Powergrid (Yorkshire) plc	Accept	Accept		
Eastern Power Networks Plc	Accept	Accept	We agree with the proposers view of the objectives, in that DCUSA General Objectives 2 & 3 and DCUSA Charging Objectives 1, 2 & 4 are better facilitated by this change. The current Schedule 15 table is not aligned to the requirements brought about as part of ED2, and this change ensures that it aligns going forward. This will ensure that DNOs are able to provide meaningful information to Suppliers which they require to understand the future movement of tariffs.	<p>As a result of the replacement of certain systems because of the MHHS Programme, if this change was to be rejected systems would need to be retained, which are otherwise obsolete, for a significant number of years.</p> <p>There are 30m non-half-hourly metered customers whose DUoS tariffs can only be backdated within the settlement window.</p> <p>Of the 200k half-hourly metered customers that could benefit from backdated tariff changes, the consultation suggests that in the last 12 months 177 have been impacted by backdating in some way, 120 of which had been due to retrospective change of measurement class necessitating a change of tariff, non-final demand certification or other BAU processes, as opposed to an incorrect LLFC.</p> <p>MHHS has adopted a fix-forward approach and so many other causes of DUoS billing error that are not within distributors' control, such as incorrect energisation status, erroneous consumption data, incorrect market segment, will not be corrected retrospectively.</p> <p>Impacted customers would still see a change to their tariff, with only an extremely small number of customers not seeing a change being backdated as far as might be the case today.</p> <p>This change would see the correct focus being applied by all interested parties to where a site is classified on</p>
London Power Networks Plc	Accept	Accept		
South Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept		

				potentially the wrong voltage / tariff, which longer term would assist in improving overall industry data.
National Grid Electricity Distribution South West	Accept	Accept	This change will have a positive impact on DCUSA General Objectives 2 and 3.	None
National Grid Electricity Distribution South Wales	Accept	Accept		
National Grid Electricity Distribution East Midlands	Accept	Accept		
National Grid Electricity Distribution West Midlands	Accept	Accept		
Southern Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept	DCUSA General Objectives 2 and 3 DCUSA Charging Objectives 1, 2 and 4 We agree with the proposer that by updating the tables within DCUSA Schedule 15 to correctly represent RIIO-ED2 allowed revenue, DCUSA General Objectives 2 and 3, and DCUSA Charging Objectives 1, 2 and 4 will be better facilitated.	We are in support of the change but as mentioned at the last Working Group meeting, there might not be a complete refresh every quarter (TOTEX elements in particular) due to the extensive levels of validation and sign-off required before publication
Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept		
SP Distribution plc	Accept	Accept	No comment	No comment
SP Manweb plc	Accept	Accept	No comment	No comment
IDNO PARTIES				
No Votes	No Votes	No Votes	No Votes	No Votes
SUPPLIER PARTIES				
EDF ENERGY CUSTOMERS LIMITED	Accept	Accept	DCUSA General Objectives 2. The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent with that) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity. 3. The	N/A

			<p>efficient discharge by each of the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of the obligations imposed upon them by their Distribution Licences. DCUSA Charging Objectives 1. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates the discharge by the DNO Party of the obligations imposed on it under the Act and by its Distribution Licence 2. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates competition in the generation and supply of electricity and will not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in the transmission or distribution of electricity or in participation in the operation of an Interconnector (as defined in the Distribution Licences) 4. That, so far as is consistent with Clauses 3.2.1 to 3.2.3, the Charging Methodologies, so far as is reasonably practicable, properly take account of developments in each DNO Party's Distribution Business.</p>	
CVA REGISTRANT PARTIES				
Not Eligible				
GAS SUPPLIER PARTIES				
Not Eligible				