

# DCUSA SIG Meeting 146 Minutes

28 July 2023 at 10:00am: Web Conference

Attendee	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Richard Ellis (RE)	NGED
Donna Jamieson (DJ)	Energy Assets
Kevin Woollard [KW]	Centrica
Simon Vicray (SV)	EDF
Amy Tyler (AT)	Smartest Energy
Emily Waters (EW)	BU-UK
Tracey Dunne (TD)	ENWL
Tom Perryman (TP)	St Clemens
Alexander Pentcost (AP)	
Sarah Owen (SO)	Eclipse Power
Peter Waymont (PW)	UKPN
Anne-Claire Leydier (ACL)	UKPD
Halimah Magaji (HM)	Yu Energy
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
Andy Green [AG] (Secretariat)	ElectraLink
Richard Colwill [RC] (Chair)	ElectraLink

## 1. Administration

---

- 1.1 SIG members reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance”. All members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.

## 2. Overview of DIF 67 ‘Use of “REP-242” in aggregated billing’

---

- 2.1 DIF 67 was raised to consider the use of REP-242 (equivalent to the D0242 flow) in aggregated billing for MHHS migrated customers. The REP-002 is the DIP flow that is equivalent to the D0030 flow.

- 2.2 The proposer noted that following an enquiry to the MHHS programme, they have stated:

*“for settlement dates for which suppliers don’t have any registered and energised Whole Current MHHS MPANs, the supplier will be included on the LDSOs version of the REP-002 and any such suppliers will be issued an (empty) REP-002 where the supplier is a qualified participant with the correct role type”.*

*it would contain the following records:*

- *S0 – Transactional Info*
- *R027 Distributor Id*
- *R013 – GSP Group*
- *R007 Settlement Run Execution*
- *R002 – Settlement Run Info*
- *R009 – Supplier MPID (REP-002) or R006 – Embedded LDSO Id (REP-002A)*

*but none of the following:*

- *R010 Tariff Characteristics*
- *R011 – Consumption data by Settlement Period*
- *R0105 – Daily volumes on Actuals or Estimates”*

- 2.3 The question posed to SIG members was what Distributors should do about sending the REP-242 where blank data is received in the REP-002.

- 2.4 There were a number of scenarios that SIG members considered:

- New supplier in ISD with no customers (may not even be a DCUSA party)
- Old supplier exiting the market, with no customers but not yet end dated in ISD.
- Supplier had one energised customer in early runs but a later reconciliation run is blank due to retrospective de-energisation.
- Supplier had no energised customers in early runs and so blank details in the REP-002 but a later reconciliation run is populated due to retrospective energisation.

- 2.5 This has an implication for subsequent billing and poses a question as to whether zero invoices be sent for all blank suppliers contained in REP-002s if Distributors send blank statements.

- 2.6 SIG members considered potential solutions to the issue above as below:

- Send REP-242 to all suppliers in the REP-002
- Send REP-242 to non-blank suppliers only

- Send REP-242 to non-blank suppliers and any blank suppliers that were populated on a previous run
- Lobby to change REP-002

2.7 SIG members agreed it would be sensible to seek industry views on the above, in particular, what solution would best address the concerns raised. The following questions were agreed:

- Considering the issues raised in DIF 67, do you have a preference from the four suggested options?
- Do you have any other solution/s that the SIG have not considered?
- If a blank REP-242 is to be sent, where there was not data in the previous reconciliation, should a zero invoice be raised?

**Post meeting note:**

2.8 A consultation was issued to industry on 01 August 2023, with a deadline for responses set at 21 August.

### 3. Any Other Business

---

3.1 There was no AOB raised.

### 4. Date of Next Meeting:

---

4.1 The next SIG meeting will take place on 25 August 2023 at 10am, via Microsoft Teams

