

# DCUSA Panel Meeting 205 (Open Session)

19 July 2023 at 10:15am

3rd Floor, Northumberland House, 303 306 High Holborn, London,  
WC1V 7JZ / MS Teams

Attendee	Role	Company
<b>Panel Members</b>		
Donna Jamieson [DJ]	Panel Member (Chair)	DCUSA Ltd
Simon Vicary [SV]	Panel Member	DCUSA Ltd
Peter Waymont [PW]	Panel Member	DCUSA Ltd
Lee Wells [LW]	Panel Member	DCUSA Ltd
Kevin Woollard [KW]	Panel Member	DCUSA Ltd
Simon Yeo [SY]	Panel Member	DCUSA Ltd
<b>Observers</b>		
Mark DeSouza Wilson {MDW}	Observer	BSC
Nadir Hafeez [NH]	Observer	Ofgem
<b>Code Administrators</b>		
Craig Booth	Secretariat	ElectraLink Ltd
Richard Colwill [RC]	Secretariat	ElectraLink Ltd
Angelo Fitzhenry [AF]	Secretariat	ElectraLink Ltd
Andy Green [AG]	Secretariat	ElectraLink Ltd
Melissa Kendal [MK]	Secretariat	ElectraLink Ltd
George Kestner [GK]	Secretariat	ElectraLink Ltd
Dylan Townsend [DT]	Secretariat	ElectraLink Ltd
<b>Apologies</b>		
Colette Baldwin [CB]	Observer	REC
Alison Beard [AB]	Observer	SEC
Donald Preston [DP]	Alternate Panel Member	DCUSA Ltd

## 1. Administration

---

### Welcomes and Introductions

- 1.1 The Chair welcomed all attendees to the meeting.

### Apologies

- 1.2 It was noted that 'Apologies' had been received from: Alison Beard, Colette Baldwin and Donald Preston.

### Non-Confidential Minutes

- 1.3 The minutes of the last meeting were reviewed. One typographical error had been identified in paragraph 2.2, in which DCP 392 had incorrectly been recorded as DCP 329.
- 1.4 An amended draft of the minutes that corrected this had been circulated to the Panel with the meeting pack.
- 1.5 The Panel approved these corrections and agreed that, other than this typographical error, the minutes were a true and fair representation of the meeting.

### Updated Actions

- 1.6 The Panel reviewed the open actions and all updates are captured in Appendix A.

## 2. Updates from Industry Working Groups / Codes

---

### Balancing & Settlement Code (BSC)

- 2.1 MDW provided an updated on items related to the BSC. Key points included the following:
- The BSC had been upgrading their IT systems over the last month and a number of changes had been implemented:
    - Elexon Connect, their new cloud infrastructure, was now live and a push solution was now live as a replacement for the Balancing Mechanism Reporting Service (BMRS);
    - New functionality for submitting queries to the BSC service desk via a webform (<https://www.elexon.co.uk/about/elexon-key-contacts/bsc-service-desk/>) had been added, which would simplify interactions with Elexon. Operational systems and service enquiries could now be registered in Elexon's service management system, allocated a ticket reference and assigned to the appropriate business team.
  - Elexon Change request CP 1582 'Remove MA from BSCP520 3.6.2.3' had been raised. This would remove the Meter Administrator requirements from BSC Paragraph 3.6.2.3. for Non Half Hourly Unmetered Supply sites, as these did not have Meter Administrators, and would progress MHHS administration. However, additional clarification was being sought in response to an objection received.
  - Elexon Market Domain Data v377 would be live from 19 July 2023.

### Ofgem

- 2.2 NH provided an updated on the items related to Ofgem. Key points included the following:

- Updates were provided on the progress of the following Change Proposals:
  - DCP 343 'Use of a nominated calculation agent for the calculation of the LV mains split': due to personnel changes the Estimated Decision Date (EDD) had changed to 25 August 2023;
  - DCP 392 'Charging of Third Party DNO works to transmission connection users': the EDD remained 27 July 2023;
  - DCP 411 'Charging De-energised sites': the EDD was 30 November 2023;
  - DCP 413 'Value of a User's Credit Allowance in Schedule 1': an EDD would be assigned shortly; and
  - DCP 414 'Transitional Protection for NHH CT Customers affected by regulatory change': the EDD remained 31 July 2023; however, some issues had been identified around timings related to implementation requirements between the related BSC Modification P432 and DCP 414.
- Ofgem's Code Governance Reform workshops had now all taken place. All participants were thanked for their input. The comments received from these would inform future policy development, which would include:
  - a joint Ofgem/BEIS consultation on preferred policy options; and
  - a separate consultation, to take place over the winter, on code consolidation.

## Smart Energy Code (SEC)

- 2.3 It was noted that there was no SEC representative in attendance at the meeting and thus no SEC update was provided to the Panel during the meeting.

## Retail Energy Code (REC)

- 2.4 It was noted that there was no REC representative in attendance at the meeting and thus no REC update was provided to the Panel during the meeting.

## 3. Change Register

- 3.1 DT presented the Change Register updates to the Panel, which had been circulated prior to the meeting. See paper (Panel\_2023\_0719\_01). The paper was taken as read. Key points included:
- DCP 419 'Pre-Notifications of Planned Supply De-Energisations': this had been issued for consultation, which was due to complete on 19 July 2023;
  - DCP 422 'Access SCR clarifications and corrections': Voting had completed and this Change Proposal was now awaiting implementation;
  - DCP 394 'Allow any REC Accredited Meter Operator to De-Energise any Metering Point' had been implemented;

- DCP 399 'Revision to Embedded Capacity Register (ECR) to lower threshold for entries from 1MW to 50kW' had been implemented; and
- DCP 328 'Use of system charging for private networks with competition in supply' had been rejected by Ofgem.

3.2 RC ran through timetable overview tab, which included the below CPs for which timetable amendments were : DCP 420 and DCP 417 had seen proposed timetable changes.

- DCP 420 'Include Car Charging Stations In The Definition For Non Final Demand': The Working Group (WG) had identified a need to issue an RFI prior to releasing a full consultation; therefore, an additional three weeks period of engagement with the RFI would be required.
- DCP 417 'Ability for the DCUSA Secretariat to Raise Change Proposals': an alternative solution had been proposed so a new consultation would be held to obtain views and feedback on this alternative proposal.
- DCP 412 'Discounts from TCR charges for 'peaky' final demand customers': one party had not provided data to date and the WG had agreed to delay until this had been received as adding new data would require the analysis to be redone.

3.3 The Panel scrutinised the Change Register. Comments raised included the following:

- With respect to the new 'Timetable' tab, the Panel discussed a suggestion for tracking multiple timetable changes and whether additional rows should be added for each timetable change. , but RESOLVED that, as adding multiple different rows for each timetable change would become unwieldy, the progress and reason for timetable changes should instead be included in the 'Notes' column of the Timetables tab on the Change Register spreadsheet and that it was only necessary to show a change against whatever the most recently agreed timetable was.
- The Panel sought additional information about the planned next steps for DCP 328, which had been rejected by Ofgem. The Secretariat explained that within Ofgem's decision document for DCP 328, they had raised a number of points that should be discussed/considered in more detail one of which related to the fact that DCP 328 was picking up one final element related to the Targeted Charging Review (TCR) decision. That element was the treatment of Final Demand Sites within a Private Network but that now that it has been rejected, Ofgem consider that element of the TCR still outstanding. DT noted that there are complications when it comes to this point, as it was not possible to examine residual charging for private networks without additionally looking at the forward-looking element of DUoS charging; consequently, this would bring it within scope of the current DUoS SCR. DT went on to note that this had been tabled for discussion at DCMDG due to be held the following day, with a view to progressing this discussion further through this route.

3.4 The Panel NOTED the contents of the Change Register.

## 4. Initial Assessments

- 4.1 DT presented the Initial Assessment Cover Paper to the Panel, which had been circulated prior to the meeting. See paper (Panel\_2023\_0719\_02). The paper was taken as read. It was noted that no Change Proposals had been submitted for Initial Assessment this month.
- 4.2 The Panel NOTED the Report.

## 5. Change Reports

- 5.1 DT presented the Change Report Cover Paper to the Panel, which had been circulated prior to the meeting. See paper (Panel\_2023\_0719\_03). The paper was taken as read. It was noted that no Change Reports had been submitted this month.
- 5.2 The Panel NOTED the Report.

## 6. Housekeeping Log

- 6.1 DT presented the Housekeeping Log Summary Paper and Housekeeping Log to the Panel, which had been circulated prior to the meeting. See paper (Panel\_2023\_0719\_04). The paper was taken as read. Key points included:
  - As per Action 204/06, Housekeeping item no. 115 'Amendment to the timings contained under paragraph 3 'REVIEW OF CHARGING BANDS' in Schedule 32' had been removed from the Housekeeping Log.
  - The Secretariat was seeking the Panel's agreement to include a two newly identified items in the housekeeping log and these were both set out in the paper and noted below for reference:
    - 1) The first new item in question was with respect to Clause 18.4.2, and had been picked up by UKPN who had raised the issue that the words 'entry point' should have been written 'exit point' given the context from the surrounding text. It was noted that UKPN has suggested that this looks to have been in place since inception.
    - 2) The second item in question was a cross referencing issue which had been picked up by multiple parties when submitting votes on DCP 422, outlining that Paragraph 1.16 of Schedule 22 has been amended to include reference to Paragraph 1.35 taking precedence in terms of whether costs in excess of the 'High-Cost Project Threshold' are chargeable or not. The Parties had, however, noted that this reference to paragraph 1.35 should in fact be a reference to Paragraph 1.36.
- 6.2 The Panel RESOLVED that the two new housekeeping changes should be added to the log and picked up as part of the work that the Secretariat was undertaking in terms of producing a Housekeeping CP, which would be sponsored by DJ once drafted.

**205/01 Housekeeping Log: The Secretariat to add two new items to the Housekeeping Log, being: (1) Clause 18.4.2. the words 'entry point' should read 'exit point'; and (2) The cross reference to Paragraph 1.35 in Paragraph 1.16 should actually be to Paragraph 1.36.**

## 7. Accession Applications

- 7.1 DT presented the Accession Applications paper to the Panel, which had been circulated prior to the meeting. See paper (Panel\_2023\_0719\_05). It was noted that two SIP Accession Applications had been received. The paper was taken as read.
- 7.2 DT advised that two new Accession Applications had been received from applicants wishing to accede in the Safe Isolation Provider (SIP) category. It was noted that these applications were from:
- AES Smart Metering Limited
  - E.ON UK Energy Services Limited
- 7.3 The Panel scrutinised the Accession Applications, with points noted including the following:
- With respect to the Accession Application for E.ON UK Energy Services Limited, the Panel noted that the company did not currently appear in CoMCoP - Accredited Elec MEMs tab within the REC Party Register and consequently it was difficult to confirm that they were appropriately accredited. The Panel:
    - RESOLVED that they were happy to provisionally approve the application, subject to the company being listed in the REC Party Register on the CoMCoP - Accredited Elec MEMs tab;
    - RESOLVED that the Secretariat should liaise with the REC Code Manager to confirm that steps are being taken to include E.ON UK Energy Services Limited in the correct tab; and
    - RESOLVED to instruct the Board not to countersign any Accession Application until the CoMCoP – Accredited Elec MEMs tab within the REC Party Register had been updated to include E.ON UK Energy Services.
  - With respect to the Accession Application for AES Smart Metering Limited, the Panel RESOLVED to APPROVE the Application and:
    - INSTRUCT the Panel Secretary to notify each Party and the Authority of the Applicant's identity and the information which will form the Party details;
    - INSTRUCT the Panel Secretary to prepare an Accession Agreement for the Applicant; and
    - RECOMMEND that the Board executes the Accession Agreement on behalf of all Parties.

**205/02 Accession Applications:** The Secretariat to: (1) Progress the Accession Application for AES Smart Metering Limited's Accession Application by noting to the DCUSA Board that the Panel have instructed them to countersign the Accession Application; and (2) To Liaise with the REC Code Manager in respect of E.ON UK Energy Services Limited's MEM Accreditation and, once satisfied that this has been met, to progress this Accession Application noting to the DCUSA Board that the Panel have instructed them to countersign the Accession Application.

## 8. Distribution Charging Methodology Development Group (DCMDG) Headline Report

---

- 8.1 DT presented the DCMDG Headline Report to the Panel, which had been circulated prior to the meeting. See paper (Panel\_2023\_0719\_06). The paper was taken as read. Key points included:
- Customer Contributions – DNO Update: One DNO was not aligned with the other DNOs in terms of their intended treatment of the inputs for Customer contributions into the CDCM. DCMDG would discuss this at their next meeting and it was anticipated that this issue would be resolved and closed off then.
  - Ofgem Update: The Ofgem representative provided an update on the next steps on the DUoS SCR.
  - MHHS Update: DCMDG had discussed how the number of energised MPANs would be taken into account with respect to DUoS charges, where in the MHHS world, these could switch status within the same day. DCMDG had noted that members were working on the assumption that data would only be available as the number of energised MPANs at the end of the day and further noted that similar discussions had taken place within the MHHS Programme.
  - Data requirements following DCP 389 ‘Clarification on Exceptional Circumstances and Allocation Review for ‘New’ Sites’: this had been progressed to the board for signoff and was now completed.
- 8.2 The Panel NOTED the DCMDG Headline Report.

## 9. DCUSA Monthly Horizon Scan

---

- 9.1 DT presented the DCUSA Monthly Horizon Scan to the Panel, which was circulated prior to the meeting. See paper (Panel\_2023\_0719\_07). The paper was taken as read. No updates had been added since last month.
- 9.2 The Secretariat asked whether the ‘BEIS Smart Systems and Flexibility Plan’ item should be retained in the Horizon Scan as this was an overarching project that would not itself bring rise to any impact directly related to the DCUSA, even though programmes of work that are borne out of this may or may not require monitoring, as and when they are initiated. The Panel discussed this proposal but RESOLVED to retain this item on the Horizon Scan until its conclusions had been released to mitigate against any surprises should something eventuate.
- 9.3 The Secretariat provided an update about the ‘Future System Operator’ item, explaining that the DCUSA Secretariat and other Code Administrators had been invited to a meeting with Ofgem and that further work in this area is expected to commence soon.
- 9.4 The Panel NOTED the updated Horizon Scanner.



## 10. Any other Business

---

10.1 Three other items of other business were raised, as follows:

### AOB 1: Design of CP form

10.2 RC advised that following some recent feedback he wished to discuss an idea related to the layout of the CP form. Specifically, the feedback was that stakeholders have found it difficult to easily determine if they are impacted by a change and that the idea is to bring this information closer to the start of the form.

10.3 RC went on to suggest that the 'Summary' and 'Why Change' sections of the CP form were seen as insufficiently differentiated and superfluous and that when a proposer submits a new CP, they often duplicate the same text in both sections. Instead, he proposed replacing one of these sections with a new 'Impacts' section that would detail the impacts of the CP upon different classes of stakeholders.

10.4 The Panel SCRUTINISED the proposal and points raised included the following:

- The suggestion of adding attempting to comprehensively define 'Impacted Parties' carried a risk that, which would be dependent upon either the proposer's interpretation or that of the Secretariat. If such an interpretation were to be either being too broad or too narrow; that could lead to the potential to have mis-led a group of stakeholders. The Panel noted that CPs by their nature could affect all DCUSA parties, but unless sufficiently highlighted, there was a risk that directly impacted parties might overlook the impacts of CP's.
- The Panel NOTED that SIP Providers needed to be added as a new Party Category to the CP Form.

10.5 The Panel AGREED that there was a potential improvement to be made to the CP form and as there were open actions related to the adding of content to the CP form, they RESOLVED that the Secretariat should consider this further and present a more developed proposal for review and approval at the next Panel Meeting.

**205/03 AOB: Design of CP Form: Taking account of feedback from the Panel meeting related to amendments to the CP form, and other ongoing actions to add content to the CP form, the Secretariat is to undertake the drafting of these and then present the updated CP Form to a future Panel Meeting for review and approval.**

### AOB 2: Information in data flows following DNOs moving meters

10.6 SV advised that an issue had been identified with respect to the contents of data flows being sent where DNOs were moving meters but marking their new location as 'Not known'. He asked if DNOs could be reminded to take more care when adding details to data flows as surely, they'd know where they moved a meter to and so as to ensure that their new locations would be correctly recorded.



10.7 The Panel SCRUTINISED this item of Other Business. Points raised included the following:

- RC advised that he had heard about this scenario recently and that it was somewhat of a known issue and that the ENA were speaking to the DNOs to better understand why this was happening.
- The Panel considered whether 'Not known' was being used as a proxy for circumstances where the position they have moved a meter to, does not appear to fit into the array of options that can be selected from but, after discussions, NOTED that there were a multiplicity of options that should cover most arising circumstances.
- The Panel considered sending a note to all contract managers to remind them how this information should be provided.

10.8 The Panel NOTED that as the ENA was currently progressing this issue and RESOLVED to let them take a lead in developing a solution.

### **AOB 3: Derogations relating to Rota Load Block Alpha Identifier Data**

10.9 KW raised an item for discussion related to the provision of Rota Load Block Alpha Identifier Data for 2023. KW explained that data would normally be due in a few weeks' time but that there had been discussions during a recent SIG sub-group on this topic which he recalled as suggesting a derogation may be raised so that refreshed set of data is provided closer to Winter to ensure that the most up-to-date data is available.

10.10 RC noted that the SIG sub-group had indeed suggested that a derogation request be put forward for this year's data to amend the original date of submission to the Secretariat from 31 July to 15 September as this would ensure the data received is the most up to date prior to the Winter period. RC also noted that it may be that a CP would be required to make this change permanent as it seemed as though all Parties supported this move.

10.11 RC recalled that one of the SIG sub-group members had agreed to produce a derogation request for the change in dates for 2023 and submit this to the Secretariat but that he has yet to see it. The Panel noted that in either case, given the DCUSA states the data is to be provided in very early August that time has effectively run out and that data should therefore be provided at the correct time.

## **11. Next Meeting – 16 August 2023 at 10:00am**

11.1 The next scheduled meeting will be held in person at ElectraLink's offices, 3rd Floor, Northumberland House, 303-306 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7JZ and via Microsoft Teams.

## Appendix A: Summary of Actions

### New and Open Actions – Open Session

Action No.	Action	Owner	Update
202/04	<u>Assessing against the DCUSA Objectives:</u> The Secretariat to update the Change Proposal form template and Working Group Terms of Reference document in line with the Panel decisions.	ElectraLink	Update 21 June: Yet to be completed- LEAVE OPEN Update 19 July 2023: Secretariat currently progressing updates to the Change Proposal form and Working Group terms of reference. The Panel NOTED that any changes needed to be approved by the panel. The Secretariat confirmed that these changes would be circulated to the Panel for review and ex-Committee Decision before being finalised. LEAVE OPEN.
202/06	<u>Housekeeping Log:</u> Consequential changes to Clause 54.4A: The Secretariat to draft a Change Proposal to clear out as many of the housekeeping items now contained in the housekeeping log as possible.	ElectraLink	Update 21 June: The Drafting had been half-completed and DJ agreed to sponsor the CP. However, this would be held until after the implementation of 4x Change Proposals being implemented this month, so as to affect a stable draft of the DCUSA. To be presented for Initial Assessment at the next Open Panel Meeting. LEAVE OPEN. Update 19 July 2023: This was covered in the Housekeeping Log. LEAVE OPEN
205/01	<u>Housekeeping Log:</u> The Secretariat to add two new items to the Housekeeping Log, being: (1) Clause 18.4.2. the words ‘entry point’ should read ‘exit point’; and (2) The cross reference to Paragraph 1.35 in Paragraph 1.16 should actually be to Paragraph 1.36.	ElectraLink	
205/02	<u>Accession Applications:</u> The Secretariat to: (1) Progress the Accession Application for AES Smart Metering Limited’s Accession Application by noting to the DCUSA Board that the Panel have instructed them to countersign the Accession Application; and (2) To Liaise with the REC Code Manager in respect of E.ON UK Energy Services Limited’s MEM Accreditation and, once satisfied that this has been met, to progress this Accession Application noting to the DCUSA Board that the Panel have instructed them to countersign the Accession Application.	ElectraLink	

<b>205/03</b>	<u>AoB: Design of CP Form:</u> Taking account of feedback from the Panel meeting related to amendments to the CP form, and other ongoing actions to add content to the CP form, the Secretariat is to undertake the drafting of these and then present the updated CP Form to a future Panel Meeting for review and approval.	ElectraLink	
---------------	---	-------------	--

#### Closed Actions - Open Session

Action No.	Action	Owner	Update
<b>199/01</b>	ElectraLink to send a communication to all contract managers to remind them of their obligations when updating MPID or other Party details .	ElectraLink	Update 17 May: Not yet done, but this would be completed shortly after the May 2023 Meeting. Update 21 June: Completed - email issued prior to June Panel meeting Update 19 July 2023: CLOSED
<b>201/04</b>	<u>AoB:</u> DCUSA Secretariat would circulate an email with guidance around how to progress any changes to data flows that are owned by DCUSA, due to concerns having been raised around how data flows introduced by DCP 383.	ElectraLink	Update 17 May: RC advised that REC would be publishing new guidance shortly and the Panel discussed whether a DCUSA Change Proposal would be the most effective way of progressing this. A SIG working group would also be discussing this. An email to suppliers had been issued. Update 19 July 2023: 2x documents added to the website under the guidance section. The Secretariat would shortly send an email to Contract Managers to highlight that these had been published. COMPLETED. CLOSED.

<b>203/09</b>	<u>Accession Applications</u> : For the British Gas Trading Limited application, the Secretariat is to amend the existing Accession Agreement to accommodate variation to an existing Accession, and to send this to PW for review and further input.	ElectraLink	Update 21 June 2023: This has been sent to Gowling WLG for legal advice. LEAVE OPEN Update 19 July 2023: COMPLETED – Agreement for addition of Party Category provided by Gowling WLG and subsequently issued via DocuSign to British Gas and relevant Directors. CLOSED
<b>203/11</b>	<u>SIG Headline Report</u> : Secretariat to draft guidance on implementation lead times and circulate to Panel for comments. Once approved this will be published on the DCUSA website.	ElectraLink	Update 19 July 2023: Guidance on implementation lead times has been uploaded to the website in the guidance documents section. COMPLETED. CLOSED.
<b>204/01</b>	<u>SIP Accession Applications #1</u> : The Secretariat to send copies of email correspondence from REC to PW, to provide confirmation that REC would confirm the status of the SIP Accession Applicants.	ElectraLink	Update 19 July 2023: Completed - Secretariat issued correspondence from REC to PW regarding SIP accreditation. COMPLETED. CLOSED.
<b>204/02</b>	<u>SIP Accession Applications #2</u> : The Secretariat to confirm SIP Accession Applicants' MPIDs and include these when uploading them onto the DCUSA website.	ElectraLink	Update 19 July 2023: COMPLETED - Secretariat confirmed MPIDs for SIP Applicants' and included these when uploading them onto the DCUSA website. CLOSED.
<b>204/03</b>	<u>SIP Accession Applications #3</u> : The Secretariat to update the form for SIP Accession Applicants so that future applicants would have to provide their MPIDs as part of the application process.	ElectraLink	Update 19 July 2023: COMPLETED - Secretariat updated paper based form to include that an MPID is a required field – web based form is already a required field. CLOSED.
<b>204/04</b>	<u>Change Register – Timetable Tab</u> : The Secretariat to circulate an example of how amendments would be displayed on the Change Register's Timetable tab for review and comment.	ElectraLink	Update 19 July 2023: COMPLETED – has made updates to show how timetable differences can be highlighted and is included in the Change Register for review by the Panel. CLOSED.

<b>204/05</b>	<u>Change Reports:</u> The Secretariat to issue the DCP 422 Change Report for Party voting for a period of 10 Working Days	ElectraLink	Update 19 July 2023: COMPLETED - Voting has completed: DCP 422 has been approved and a Change Declaration published on 10 July 2023. CLOSED.
<b>204/06</b>	<u>Housekeeping Log:</u> The Secretariat to remove Housekeeping item no. 115 from the Housekeeping Log.	ElectraLink	Update 19 July 2023: COMPLETED - This has been removed from the Housekeeping Log, which will be presented at the July 2023 Open Panel Meeting. CLOSED.
<b>204/07</b>	<u>Accession Applications:</u> The Secretariat to progress the Accession Applications for A Coole Electrical Ltd, CH4 Gas Utility and Maintenance Services Ltd, Cullis Engineering Services, G and R Electrical Limited, Lowri Beck Services Limited, SMS Energy Services Limited and Trojan Utilities Limited by noting to the DCUSA Board that the Panel have instructed them to countersign them on behalf of all Parties.	ElectraLink	Update 19 July 2023: COMPLETED - This was somewhat made redundant by conversations later in the day during the Board meeting but have been completed where relevant. CLOSED.