

DCUSA DCP 425 Change Declaration
Voting end date: 5pm, 15 April 2024

DCP 425	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	CVA REGISTRANT	GAS SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	Accept	Accept	n/a	n/a	n/a
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Accept	Accept	n/a	n/a	n/a
RECOMMENDATION	<p>Change Solution – Accept.</p> <p>In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was more than 50% in all Categories.</p> <p>Implementation Date – Accept.</p> <p>In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was more than 50% in all Categories.</p>				
PART ONE / PART TWO	Part One – Authority Determination Required				

PARTY	SOLUTION (A / R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A / R)	WHICH DCUSA OBJECTIVE(S) IS BETTER FACILITATED?	COMMENTS
DNO PARTIES				
Northern Powergrid (Northeast) plc	Accept	Accept	As Proposer of DCP 425 our view is that it will better facilitate Charging Objectives 1, 2, 3 and 6, and for the reasons set out in the Change Report.	None
Northern Powergrid (Yorkshire) plc	Accept	Accept		
SSEN	Accept	Accept	We believe that objectives 1,2,3 & 6 are better facilitated by the change proposal. We do not believe that the change proposal has any impact on objectives 4 & 5.	None
Eastern Power Networks Plc	Accept	Accept	Schedule 22 does not currently provide clear direction on how to apply the CAFs for Generation Connections, where multiple assets and/or Cost Apportionment Factors (CAF) apply to the costs of Reinforcement up to and including the High-Cost Project Threshold. The outcome of DCP 425 will provide clarity to enable the HCPT to be transparently and consistently applied by each DNO Party. This Change Proposal better facilitates Charging Objectives 1,2,3 and 6. CO1 - The DNOs' charging statement will include/demonstrate a clear, transparent methodology to be applied. CO2 - It provides clear direction on the methodology to be applied promoting a consistent approach across all DNOs. CO3 - It provides an appropriate, straight-forward CAF methodology. CO6 - It provides direction for calculation of the Connection Charge enabling consistency of application and pricing.	None
London Power Networks Plc	Accept	Accept		
National Grid Electricity Distribution South West	Accept	Accept	We believe that Objectives 1 and 3 are better facilitated by this change, which ensures that customers are paying a fair allocation of the residual charge	None

National Grid Electricity Distribution South Wales	Accept	Accept		
National Grid Electricity Distribution East Midlands	Accept	Accept		
National Grid Electricity Distribution West Midlands	Accept	Accept		
SP Distribution plc	Accept	Accept	SPEN agrees with the DCUSA Objectives as detailed in the change proposal.	None
SP Manweb plc	Accept	Accept		
Electricity North West Limited	Accept	Accept	Charging Objectives 1, 2 and 3 will be better facilitated by this change as it enables the DNO to demonstrate, via its charging statement, the basis for which charges have been applied and ensures a consistent approach is taken by each DNO party. Please note there is a superfluous bracket within the table of charges for Example 32 (see extract in email body).	None
IDNO PARTIES				
Electricity Network Company Limited	Accept	Accept	DCUSA Objectives 1,2,3 and 6 are better facilitated by this change, for the same reasons identified by the working group in the change report.	None
SUPPLIER PARTIES				
Not Eligible				
CVA REGISTRANT PARTIES				
Not Eligible				
GAS SUPPLIER PARTIES				
Not Eligible				