

Post Panel update and open Q&A session

02 April 2024 at 10:00- Web-Conference

Presenters	Company
Dylan Townsend [DT]	ElectraLink
Craig Booth (Chair)	ElectraLink
Andy Green [AG]	ElectraLink
George Kester [GK]	ElectraLink
Richard Colwill [RC]	ElectraLink

Attendees	Company
Victoria Burkett [VB]	SSE Energy
Donna Jamieson [DJ]	IDCSL
Simon Vicary [SV]	EDF
Kevin Woollard [KW]	British Gas
Emily Waters [EW]	BUUK

1. Administration

Attendance

- 1.1 There were X participants in the Q&A representing a mixture of Suppliers, DNOs and IDNOs.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 RC set out that the purpose of this session was to provide an overview of any new Change Proposals (CPs) approved by the DCUSA Panel and any CPs that have been approved to progress to the voting stage. There would also be an opportunity for participants to ask any questions they may have.

3. Overview of new CPs and Q&A Session

[DCP 438 'Rate of Return Change Proposal'](#)

- 3.1 RC provided an overview of the above CP. The intent of the CP aims to update the rate of return as the previous legal text is out of date. The reason for this is that there is a need to update the legal text so that it does not refer to ED1 price control period.
- 3.2 Invitations to join the working group were issued on 20 March, with a deadline for responses set at 5 April. The first meeting is scheduled for 22 April.
- 3.3 RC opened the call up for any questions, and there were none recorded.
- 3.4 For further details, click on the link above.

4. Overview of CPs issued for voting

[DCP 425 'Cost Apportionment Factor "cap" methodology'](#)

- 4.1 RC provided an overview of the above CP. The intent of this CP is to provide further clarification as to how costs of Reinforcement are apportioned between the Company and the Customer (a Generation Connection) when the High-Cost Project Threshold is triggered.
- 4.2 This CP was issued out for voting on 21 March, with a deadline for responses set at 15 April.
- 4.3 RC opened the call up for any questions, and there were none recorded.
- 4.4 For further details, click on the link above.

[DCP 434 'Credit Cover RAV in ED-2'](#)

- 4.5 RC provided an overview of the above CP. Participants were informed that this CP has been raised because the calculation of RAV used in credit cover is based on processes and data available in ED-1. This has changed for ED-2 and so the calculation needs to be updated. In particular the publication of the PCFM by Ofgem has been superseded by a publication of the PCFM by the DNOs, which they must do to support the price setting process, and the numbers are now quoted in different index "prices".
- 4.6 This CP was issued out for voting on 21 March, with a deadline for responses set at 15 April.
- 4.7 RC opened the call up for any questions, and there were none recorded.
- 4.8 For further details, click on the link above.

[DCP 435 'Party Novation Process'](#)

- 4.9 RC provided an overview of the above CP. Participants were informed that this CP has been raised to add a process within DCUSA to enable a Party to transfer its rights and obligations to another Party via a novation agreement.
- 4.10 This CP was issued out for voting on 21 March, with a deadline for responses set at 15 April.
- 4.11 RC opened the call up for any questions, and there were none recorded.

4.12 For further details, click on the link above.

5. Accession Applications

5.1 Participants were informed that three new Accession Applications were received and reviewed at the DCUSA Panel meeting on 20 March as below:

- 1 Renantis Energy Trading Srl. UK Branch (Supplier category)
- 2 ICD Energy Metering Services Limited (SIP category)
- 3 E E Solutions Limited (Supplier category)

5.2 These accessions are being progressed accordingly.

6. Any Other Business

6.1 One participant asked what parties were invited to this Q&A session. Participants were informed invites were issued to all DCUSA Contract Managers.