

## DCP 421 Working Group - Meeting 07

03 April 2024 at 14:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Blessing Ekpe [BE]	SSE
Chris Barker [CB]	ENWL
Lauren Roy [LR]	SPEN
James Knight [JK]	Centrica
Kara Burke [KB]	NPg
Matthew Shore [MS]	UKPN
Elanor Sutter [ES]	BU-UK
Chris Ong [CO]	UKPN
Rebecca Nock	National Grid
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
Andy Green [AG] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Alysson Peña [AP] (TechSec)	ElectraLink
<b>Apologies</b>	
David Wornell [DW]	National Grid

## 1. Administration

---

- 1.1 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance” and “Terms of Reference”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting and agreed to the Terms of Reference.
- 1.2 The Working Group agreed for the meeting to be recording, this is to aid the technical secretariat produce better quality minutes and these will be deleted after 15 working days.
- 1.3 The Chair noted that there was one apology received for this meeting.
- 1.4 An action log has been created and all updates are provided in Appendix A.
- 1.5 The Chair explained the purpose of the meeting was to review the legal text and agree next steps.

## 2. Review Draft Legal Text

---

- 1.6 The Chair explained that the already sent draft legal text was no longer fit for purpose, after having taken into consideration working group comments.
- 1.7 The Chair suggested that the tables from Schedule 15 in the legal text will be removed and to be uploaded and hosted on the DCUSA website in a spreadsheet format in order to make accessing the table in Excel format easier.
- 1.8 It was noted that a separate Change Proposal (CP) could be raised in the future to maybe utilise the DCMDG to request changes to the tables, but this would potentially require lengthy discussion as it could entail making changes to schedule 28 as well to grant the DCMDG powers to raise modelling updates. The working group agreed that this was the best approach.
- 1.9 The Chair suggested that moving forward, to make any amendments to the tables the standard Change Proposal process is to be followed, this would allow for the modelling request to be raised and also for any modelling output to be tested. The working group agreed that this was the best approach, keeping in mind that other updates are needed in the neighbouring legal text.
- 1.10 Members noted that the neighbouring legal text to Schedule 15, this being Schedule 16, would also need to be updated as it is out of date. It was noted that because the Change Proposal specifically referred to Schedule 15 only, any changes to other schedules were out of scope and as such, would have to be made via a separate CP.
- 1.11 The Chair noted that these changes and suggestions would be accordingly reflected in the change report.
- 1.12 A member suggested that text might be needed to clarify the difference between this and EDCM.
- 1.13 The Chair noted no more updates and requested that the working group tested the current models.

## 3. Review the Draft Change Report

---

- 1.14 The Chair noted that the change report would be updated with the discussions from section 2. Review of Draft Legal Text of this document.
- 1.15 The working group made no further comments.

### 3. Review Updated Models

---

1.16 The Chair suggested requested members of the working group to test the models, this is to ensure that it is fully functional and fit for purpose.

### 4. Review / Update Work Plan

---

1.17 There were no new updates noted for the work plan.

### 5. Any Other Business

---

1.18 There was no other business raised.

## New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
06/01	Check the impact to the specific interconnector and report back to the Working Group.	KB	New action
06/02	Determine how to host the document on the website in as prominent a position as possible.	Chair	New action
06/03	Redraft the legal text for use with DCP 421 and circulate to the Working Group for discussion and review.	Chair	New action
06/04	Update the DCUSA website to correct the implementation date.	Chair	New action

## Closed Actions

Action Ref.			Update
01/01	Issue a call to action to Suppliers to increase engagement in DCP 421.	Chair	Closed
02/01	Add column J with explanations.	KB	Closed
01/02	Reword paragraphs in section 4, except for paragraph 4.10.	KB	Closed
02/02	Reword paragraph 4.10 and question 7.	MS	Closed

05/02	Update the objectives table to correct numbering.	Chair	Closed
06/02	Move the wider impacts question to later in the document.	Chair	Closed
01/03	Chair to add additional lines to the spreadsheet to the Revenue raised out CDCM item.	Chair	Closed
02/03	Chair to summarise Q14 in a table format so it's easier to understand the views of which objectives responders to the consultation believed were better facilitated	Chair	Closed
03/03	Chair to pick up with Dylan re removal of COVID-19 items and modelling	Chair	Closed
03/04	Chair to draft the change report in advance of the next meeting.	Chair	Closed
03/02	Seek advice on whether any elements in the proposed spreadsheet template, table 2, would ever need to be reported on the stock exchange first.	Chair	Closed
04/02	Seek advice on the governance arrangements for updating something hosted separately on the DCUSA website versus being embedded in the body of the DCUSA.	Chair	Closed
01/04	Chair to check if the references to the table in schedule 15, in other schedules of the DCUSA can be updated as part of this change proposal or if these changes require a new DCP being raised or can be made via house keeping changes.	Chair	Closed

02/04	Kara to share the clauses outside of schedule 15 where references to the information in the tables are made.	Kara Burke	Closed
03/04	KB to complete the modelling request form.	Kara Burke	Closed