

# Request for DCUSA Party feedback 21 August 2023

## DIF 67: Use of "REP-242" in aggregated billing

---

### 1. Purpose of this Document

- 1.1 The purpose of this document is to seek Party feedback on the use of "REP-242" in aggregated billing for MHHS migrated customers. The REP-002 is the DIP flow that is equivalent to the D0242 flow. To respond to the questions posed in Section 3.1 of this document, please complete the RFI response form found in Attachment 1.

### 2. Summary

- 2.1 Following an enquiry to the MHHS programme, they have stated:

*"for settlement dates for which suppliers don't have any registered and energised Whole Current MHHS MPANs, the supplier will be included on the LDSOs version of the REP-002 and any such suppliers will be issued an (empty) REP-002 where the supplier is a qualified participant with the correct role type".*

*it would contain the following records:*

- *S0 – Transactional Info*
- *R027 Distributor Id*
- *R013 – GSP Group*
- *R007 Settlement Run Execution*
- *R002 – Settlement Run Info*
- *R009 – Supplier MPID (REP-002) or R006 – Embedded LDSO Id (REP-002A)*

*but none of the following:*

- *R010 Tariff Characteristics*
- *R011 – Consumption data by Settlement Period*
- *R0105 – Daily volumes on Actuals or Estimates"*

- 2.2 A Standing Issues Group (SIG) was held on 28 July 2023 to discuss the above. The question posed was what Distributors should do about sending the REP-242 where blank data is received in the REP-02 (the equivalent of the existing D0030).
- 2.3 There are a number of scenarios that SIG members considered:
- New supplier in ISD with no customers (may not even be a DCUSA party)
  - Old supplier exiting the market, with no customers but not yet end dated in ISD.
  - Supplier had one energised customer in early runs but a later reconciliation run is blank due to retrospective de-energisation.
  - Supplier had no energised customers in early runs and so blank details in the REP-002 but a later reconciliation run is populated due to retrospective energisation.
- 2.4 This has an implication for subsequent billing and poses a question as to whether zero invoices be sent for all blank suppliers contained in REP-002s if Distributors send blank statements.
- 2.5 SIG members considered potential solutions to the issue above as below:
- Send REP-242 to all suppliers in the REP-002
  - Send REP-242 to non-blank suppliers only
  - Send REP-242 to non-blank suppliers and any blank suppliers that were populated on a previous run
  - Lobby to change REP-002
- 2.6 SIG members are keen to seek industry views on the above, in particular, what solution you believe would best address the concerns raised.

### 3. Feedback Request

---

- 3.1 The SIG is keen to seek DUSA Party views on the following:
1. Considering the issues raised in DIF 67, do you have a preference from the four options stated in paragraph 2.6?
  2. Do you have any other solution/s that the SIG have not considered?
  3. If a blank REP-242 is to be sent, where there was not data in the previous reconciliation, should a zero invoice be raised?
  4. Any other comments?

Please respond to the above questions by completing the consultation response form found in Attachment 1.