DCP 440- Consuming “de-energised” sites

To: Andy Green

Email: [DCUSA@electralink.co.uk](mailto:DCUSA@electralink.co.uk)

Due Date: 03 July 2024

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name: | Click here to enter text. |
| Organisation: | Click here to enter text. |
| Role: | Choose an item. |
| Email address: | Click here to enter text. |
| Phone number: | Click here to enter text. |
| Response[[1]](#footnote-1): | Choose an item. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you understand the intent of the Change Proposal? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are you supportive of the principles that support this Change Proposal? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. For measurement class C and E MPANs only-What current reporting exist between DNOs/Suppliers that identify if an MPANs Energisation status is incorrectly De-energised? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. What existing process across the industry are in place to identify incorrect energisation statuses within other industry codes? Can you please be specific to the processes and codes that are already in place? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. For measurement class C and E MPANs only-In what instances would a De-energised site be consuming energy i.e. theft, COT/COS? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. What causes the energisation status to not get updated? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. In instances where the energisation status is not updated, what are challenges to getting the relevant information to confirm if the status is incorrect and resolve the status? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. If this change was to be approved, what would the impact to your organisation be? I.e. additional resource, training, changes to billing systems, additional bad debt etc? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. If this change was to be approved, what are the potential impact to customers? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you consider that the proposal better facilitates the DCUSA General Objectives?  * If so, please detail which of the General Objectives you believe are better facilitated and provide supporting reasons. * If not, please provide supporting reasons. |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are you aware of any wider industry developments that may impact upon or be impacted by this CP? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. What are the system impacts for this change and should it be limited to MHHS MPANs only? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you agree with the proposed implementation date? If not, please provide rationale. |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you have any comments on the proposed legal text? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you have any other comments? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

1. All responses will be treated as non-confidential unless indicated otherwise.

   Anonymous responses will omit the detail of the submitting party but the content of the response will be provided to the Working Group and published on the DCUSA website.

   Confidential responses will not be published on the DCUSA website but submitted solely to the Working Group for the analysis of the CP. For all other confidentiality requirements please contact the secretariat at DCUSA @electralink.co.uk or 0207 7432 3017 [↑](#footnote-ref-1)