

DCUSA Change Proposal (DCP)		At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>DCP 440:</h1> <h2>Consuming “de-energised” sites</h2> <p>Date Raised: 15 April 2024 Proposer Name: Peter Waymont Company Name: Eastern Power Networks Party Category: DNO</p>		<p>01 – Change Proposal</p> <p>02 – Consultation</p> <p>03 – Change Report</p> <p>04 – Change Declaration</p>
<p>Purpose of Change Proposal:</p> <p>To ensure all consuming “de-energised” sites are charged DUoS</p>		
	<p>Governance:</p> <p>The Proposer recommends that this Change Proposal should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Treated as a Part 1 Matter • Treated as a Standard Change • Progressed to the Working Group phase <p>The Panel will consider the proposer’s recommendation and determine the appropriate route.</p>	
	<p>Impacted Parties:</p> <p>Suppliers/DNOs/IDNOs/CVA Registrants</p>	
	<p>Impacted Clauses:</p> <p>Schedule 16, Clause 140</p>	

Contents		 Any questions?
1	Summary	3
2	Governance	3
3	Why Change?	3
4	Solution and Legal Text	4
5	Code Specific Matters	4
6	Relevant Objectives	4
7	Impacts & Other Considerations	5
8	Implementation	6
9	Recommendations	6
Indicative Timeline		Contact: Code Administrator  DCUSA@electralink.co.uk  020 7432 3011 Proposer: Insert name  email address  telephone Other: Insert name  email address.  telephone Other: Insert name  email address.  telephone
The Secretariat recommends the following timetable:		
Initial Assessment Report	15 May 2024	
Consultation Issued to Industry Participants	June 2024	
Change Report Approved by Panel	21 August 2024	
Change Report issued for Voting	22 August 2024	
Party Voting Closes	12 September 2024	
Change Declaration Issued to Parties	16 September 2024	
Change Declaration Issued to Authority]	16 September 2024	
Authority Decision	TBC	

1 Summary

What?

1.1 Where an MPAN is marked as “de-energised” in the registration system but there are actual meter readings, it is clear that the MPAN is not actually de-energised. For NHH/Supercustomer/Aggregated DUoS charges, settlements includes actual consumption recorded against de-energised MPANs and counts the MPAN. However, the incorrect flagging of an MPAN means that site-specific charges are avoided, under the CDCM, unless the supplier corrects the status.

Why?

- 1.2 To reflect the true status of the MPAN and to ensure consistency between Site-specific and NHH/Supercustomer/Aggregated billed MPANs.
- 1.3 To also give use of system charging consistency with settlements. The MHHS Programme have confirmed that actual data is accepted into settlements if it is on a De-energised MPAN. They stated that in this case a IF-014 will be sent, using event code [ConsumptionOnDeEnergisedMPAN] as a warning to the Data Service/ Supplier that they may want to investigate why the MPAN is showing as De-energised.

How?

1.4 By amending Schedule 16. Note it may be preferential to implement for MHHS migrated MPANs only due to potential system changes.

2 Governance

Justification for Part 1 and Part 2 Matter

2.1 If approved, this would result in a change to Schedule 16 and the methodology for charging site specific sites incorrectly marked as “de-energised” in the registration system.

Requested Next Steps

- 2.2 This Change Proposal should:
- Be treated as a Part 1 Matter;
 - Be treated as a Standard Change; and
 - Proceed to the Working Group phase.

3 Why Change?

3.1 To reflect the true status of the MPAN and to ensure consistency between Site-specific and NHH/Supercustomer/Aggregated billed MPANs..

4 Solution and Legal Text

Legal Text

4.1 Amend as follows;

139 There will be no charges applied to correctly de-energised HH MPANs/sites as determined by the de-energisation status in MPAS.

*140 Where a site is incorrectly de-energised, i.e. **for any day** when actual **non-zero** metering advances are received **[and the MPAN has migrated under MHHS]**, **charges will apply** and the DNO Parties should contact suppliers to ensure the status is corrected. **If a site is found to be energised and its status corrected**, charges will be back dated to the date of energisation-*

Text Commentary

4.2 The change to Clause 140 ensures consistency and the correct treatment of incorrectly flagged customers. It should be noted that contacting suppliers does not “ensure” anything is corrected. Further, in MHHS it may be that the supplier’s agent is responsible for this data item so the supplier may have to act through a third party. Note also that no similar wording exists for generation sites, LDNO Charges or EDCM sites but it is expected that these are currently treated in the same way as demand sites i.e. not charged. The outcome of this change should mean these will be charged but it is believed there is nothing in the DCUSA to prevent that at present.

5 Code Specific Matters

Reference Documents

5.1 Note that DCP411 considers “correctly” flagged de-energised sites and so this change can sit alongside the outcome of that as it is focussed on incorrectly flagged MPANs.

6 Relevant Objectives

	DCUSA Charging Objectives <i>(please tick the relevant boxes. [See Guidance Note 10])</i>	Identified impact
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates the discharge by the DNO Party of the obligations imposed on it under the Act and by its Distribution Licence	None
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates competition in the generation and supply of electricity and will not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in the transmission or distribution of electricity or in participation in the operation of an Interconnector (as defined in the Distribution Licences)	None

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies results in charges which, so far as is reasonably practicable after taking account of implementation costs, reflect the costs incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the DNO Party in its Distribution Business	Positive
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. That, so far as is consistent with Clauses 3.2.1 to 3.2.3, the Charging Methodologies, so far as is reasonably practicable, properly take account of developments in each DNO Party's Distribution Business	None
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates compliance with the EU Internal Market Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators; and	None
<input type="checkbox"/>	6. That compliance with the Charging Methodologies promotes efficiency in its own implementation and administration.	None

6.1 Ensures usage is charged for and creates consistency.

7 Impacts & Other Considerations

7.1 N/A.

Does this Change Proposal impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

7.2 N/A.

Does this Change Proposal Impact Other Codes?

BSC..... MRA.....
 CUSC..... SEC.....
 Grid Code..... REC.....
 Distribution Code.. None.....

Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts

7.3 No.

Confidentiality

7.4 N/A.

8 Implementation

Proposed Implementation Date

8.1 1 April 2026. This will require a lead time for any system changes.

9 Recommendations

The Code Administrator will provide a summary of any recommendations/determinations provided by the Panel in considering the initial Change Proposal. This will form part of a Final Change Report.