

Meeting Session	Interventions Working Group
Paper Reference	IWG 75
Action	For Information

## Actions

This paper outlines the actions for the Interventions Working Group.

### Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
<b>70/01</b>	PS/CS/RH to provide the group with trial updates of reporting B11 codes.	PS/CS/RH	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b></p> <p>01/11/2023 – There are currently no further updates regarding the trial of reporting B11 codes. This action will remain ongoing throughout the trial period.</p> <p>10/01/2024 – PS stated that the feedback thus far has been really positive. Further updates expected after Q1 2024.</p> <p>13/03/2024 – GH thanked PS for sending the data out on this. RB noted that if they attend site and it's a fuse neutral type cut-out with a link in the neutral then they will fit a block and put a</p>

			<p>sticker on it stating that it is a neutral link cut-out. This has been circulated to AMO members.</p> <p>01/05/2024 – trial ongoing. Action will remain open for future updates to be provided as and when needed.</p> <p>03/07/2024 – ENWL provided a proposed process, attached to minutes of the IWG 75 meeting as attachment 1.</p>
<b>70/04</b>	DNO representatives to gather some examples and feedback of how the online form has been of benefit to both DNOs and MOPs.	All Members	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b> 01/11/2023 – Still awaiting examples/feedback from DNO representatives, however it was agreed to be further discussed within the relative agenda item during the meeting.</p> <p>10/01/2024 – PM informed the group that they are continuing with their own version of the online form and sharing this bilaterally.</p> <p>13/03/2024 – RB noted that NGET have started a trial. He provided a further update later in the meeting.</p> <p>01/05/2024 - Action will remain open for future updates to be provided as and when needed.</p> <p>03/07/2024 - RB explained that when a MEM calls in with a category A, they are asked to send an image in via email.</p>
<b>71/03</b>	GH to inform the Working Group once the review of the AMO guidance document has been completed.	GH	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b> 10/01/2024 – This is currently still awaiting committee approval. The next AMO meeting will take place on 30 January 2024.</p> <p>13/03/2024 – This action is still ongoing.</p> <p>01/05/2024 – This action is still ongoing.</p>

			03/07/2024 - GH confirmed the guidance document review is completed and a copy will be sent to the Chair.
<b>72/04</b>	PA to raise the discussion around the guidance for B07 examples at the STIG meeting being held on 30 January 2024 and feedback any comments at the next IWG meeting.	PA	<p><b>Closed Action.</b> 13/03/2024 – PA sent and email out to DNOs and has had a response from most but one needs a bit more time. Most DNOs have stated that if you need to change the meter tail then report as a B07 but if you do not then work can continue.</p> <p>01/05/2024 – A unanimous decision has not been reached. Action ongoing.</p> <p>03/07/2024 - A unanimous decision has not been reached. It was discussed that this would need to be brought up in bilateral discussions with each DNO. WL stated that this is an example that should go into each DNOs local information for meter operators, so that meter operators have a solution. This action was closed.</p>
<b>72/05</b>	The Secretariat/Working Group to find the historical guidance around the issue with using clamp type isolators.	All Members / Secretariat	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b> 13/03/2024 -This is to be raised at the next REC EOMF.</p> <p>01/05/2024 – Chair to reach out to REC to understand what the next steps are in relation to discussions held at the REC EOMF.</p> <p>03/07/2024 - Chair advised he has reached out to REC to understand where discussions have got to.</p>
<b>72/06</b>	The Working Group speak internally as to what fuse-rating is expected to be used when visiting a de-energised site where the fuse-rating is unknown, and also discuss this further at the STIG meeting in January, and feedback to the group.	All Members	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b> 13/03/2024 – The majority view is that if you get to site and there is no fuse, MEMs should default to a 60 amp to restore supply. A further update on any guidance will be circulated soon.</p> <p>01/05/2024 – DNOs consensus is that of above, action remaining open as still need to understand IDNOs position.</p>

			<p>03/07/2024 - PA provided an update that Mel Swift had updated members on the outcomes from discussions with IDNO colleagues at the recent INA meeting. IDNOs are unanimous that the only cut out fuses they have on their networks are 80A or 100A. The default on DNO networks should be 60-amps if the supplier or MEM cannot determine what the previous fuse rating was. PA stated he would provide a written response on this for clarity.</p>
<b>74/01</b>	VB and NJ to discuss feasibility of SSE Energy Supply working with SSEN and UKPN via PM to discuss data quality entering or exiting the DTN.	VB, NJ and PM	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b> 25/06/2024 – Update to be provided at the meeting.</p> <p>03/07/2024 - VB advised this was still awaiting IT resource, but this could not currently be allocated. VB suggested reaching out to Energy UK to see if a supplier could help with this action.</p>
<b>74/02</b>	Chair to reach out to GH in relation to adding the asbestos gallery to the AMO asbestos guidance document.	Chair	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b> 25/06/2024 – Update to be provided at the meeting.</p> <p>03/07/2024 - The Chair confirmed this would be updated offline.</p>
<b>74/03</b>	Chair to reach out to REC in relation to undertaking a piece of work to review progress to date on the introduction of SIPs (i.e benefits, gaps still remaining etc.). Also to discuss whether SIP parties are able to disclose the scope of work they provide and areas of the country they work in.	Chair	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b> 05/06/2024 - Lorna Mallon from REC will attend the meeting on 03 July to discuss this further.</p> <p>03/07/2024 - The Chair explained that the DCUSA Panel had approved a guidance video be created to explain what a SIP is and what the requirements of becoming a SIP are (e.g., to become a MEM) and that, whilst this may not appease the electricians, it will at least make it clear what the requirements to become a SIP are. LM stated that the REC will be discussing this in a separate meeting and would bring back the output of that discussion. LM explained that this was triggered by the volume of queries being received via the help desk and the</p>

			number of companies that are now not progressing through the process
<b>74/04</b>	Chair to reach out to REC in relation to the existing Safety and Quality Issue Contacts spreadsheet and determine the most appropriate way of the continued maintenance of this.	Chair	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b> 05/06/2024 - REC is considering whether this can be maintained. It may require a change.</p> <p>03/07/2024 - LM explained this would be discussed in a meeting the same afternoon, so no update was available.</p>
<b>74/05</b>	Chair to reach out to REC regarding the R0072 and the associated REC RFI to articulate discussion at IWG and discuss next steps.	Chair	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b> 05/06/2024 - REC intend to speak to Ofgem regarding this and a further update will be provided once available.</p> <p>03/07/2024 - LM explained that this had gone back to the consumer to suggest they withdraw it and Ofgem had been made aware of the situation.</p>