

DCUSA MHHS Stakeholder Group - Meeting 17

11 April 2025 at 14:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Peter Waymont (PW)	UKPN
Paul Saker (PS)	EDF
Karl Maryon (KM)	Drax
Monique Pereira [MP]	Indigo Networks
Robert Johnston (RJ)	YGP
Alexander Pentecost (AP)	Eclipse Power
Christopher Butcher (CB)	Smartest Energy
Tony Collings (TC)	Ecotricity
Jonathan Coe (JC)	OVO Energy
Code Administrator	
Andy Green [AG] (Chair)	ElectraLink
John Lawton (JL)	ElectraLink
Apologies	
Donna Jamieson [DJ]	IDCSL

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance” and “Terms of Reference”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting and agreed to the Terms of Reference.

- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the previous meeting minutes and agreed them to be an accurate reflection of the discussions held.
- 1.3 An action log has been created, and all updates are provided in **Appendix A**.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair explained that the purpose of this meeting is to review the updated Final Agenda Pack. It was explained the items for review were the change proposal, the timeline document, the legal text as well as a run through on the urgent/fast track process.
- 2.2 The Final Agenda pack can be found [here](#)

3. Review of the Agenda Pack

- 3.1 The Chair invited JL to run-through the updated Agenda Pack with the Stakeholder Group for further discussion, starting with the draft change proposal.
- 3.2 There was no feedback on this document aside from a couple of Stakeholder Group members saying the CP looked straight forward and there were no issues with it.
- 3.3 It was agreed that this document was now fit for purpose to be presented to the CDWG in their 02 May meeting.
- 3.4 The next document for review was the legal text. JL explained that this had been updated with the latest DCUSA version which was 17.1 and that none of the changes that this version had brought in impacted any text drafted by the DCUSA MHHS stakeholder Group.
- 3.5 It was also advised that the review of the legal text will continue to be an item for the Stakeholder Group to ensure any changes in the June release are reviewed and discussed if necessary.
- 3.6 It was also explained that a caveat to consider what needs to happen to the legal text should DCP 439 get approved or rejected had been included to text as this was raised at the previous Stakeholder Group meeting.
- 3.7 It was agreed that the Stakeholder Group were comfortable for the legal text to be submitted to Gowlings for review. It was noted that this was a similar approach that the Stakeholder Group had taken previously in order to ensure that feedback from legal advisors was sought early on in the process.
- 3.8 The Timeline document was then shared with the Stakeholder Group.
- 3.9 As per the previous MHHS Stakeholder Group meeting, it was explained that there were two timelines. One timeline was dependent on whether the DCUSA changes going to the CDWG meeting in May were fit for purpose and ready and the other timeline contingent on whether further work is required post testing. If there is further work that is required post the CDWG meeting on 28 May 2025, the timeline will move to the end of June.
- 3.10 It was believed that the May timeline was achievable as the changes being currently proposed were not significant at this stage.

- 3.11 JL also provided an overview of the urgent/fast track change process and noted that there were some differences between how some industry codes treated their urgent changes so the process was to ensure that processes were consistent across the codes for MHHS changes.
- 3.12 JL noted that he was intending on sharing this process with the DUCSA panel.
- 3.13 There were no questions raised regarding the urgent/fast track process.

4. AOB

- 4.1 PW raised a query on EMDS stating that the S/S that has been shared with the DCUSA MHHS Stakeholder Group had a list of all the REPs/IFs and that this S/S would be the log to track if any changes were required.
- 4.2 It was noted that on the MHHS's website, there is a version of the EMDS which isn't fully populated and also contained some errors.
- 4.3 It was highlighted that if it is the responsibility of the DCUSA to ensure EMDS is accurate, how would the validation process for these data items work if the public website was not complete or contained errors.
- 4.4 PW requested clarity on what the ask on the DCUSA was in terms of how the data items within EMDS are validated due to the above.
- 4.5 It was agreed for PW to provide examples of where the public facing MHHS website is not fully populated or where there are errors to JL and then for this to be taken to the REC to them to provide some guidance.

5. Date of Next Meeting – 02 May 2025

- 5.1 The next Working Group meeting will be held on 02 May 2025 at 2pm.

