

# DCP 443 Working Group - Meeting 11

09 June 2025 at 10:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Alex Lam [AL]	National Grid
Charles Mott [CM]	SSE
Chris Barker [CB]	ENWL
Chris Ong [CO]	UKPN
Donna Jamieson [DJ]	IDCSL
Ed Grimsey [EG]	BU-UK
Edda Dirks [ED]	SSE Gen
Joe Boyle [JB]	SPEN
Kara Burke [KB]	NPg
Michael Hewitson [MH]	Trident Utilities
Monique Pereira [MP]	Indigo
Nik Wills [NW]	Stark
Peter Waymont [PW]	UKPN
Simon Vicary [SV]	EDF
Victoria Burkett [VB]	SSE
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
Andy Green [AG] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Mel Kendal [MK] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink

## 1. Administration

---

- 1.1 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance” and “Terms of Reference”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting and agreed to the Terms of Reference.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the previous meeting minutes and agreed them to be an accurate reflection of the discussions held.
- 1.3 An action log has been created, and all updates are provided in **Appendix A**.

## 2. Purpose of the Meeting

---

- 2.1 The Chair explained that the purpose of this meeting is to review the test model outcomes, updated RFI data/visual graph feedback, and to also discuss what is required for the draft Change Report within the Working Group and agree next steps.

## 3. Discuss Conclusions to be included within the Change Report

---

- 3.1 In relation to the conclusions to be included within the Change Report, the Chair asked the Working Group whether it was worth considering issuing a new Consultation to wider industry as the approach has progressed differently since the previous RFI and Consultation was issued. The updated conclusions following a new Consultation could then be included within the Change Report.
- 3.2 Working Group members discussed this and agreed that this would provide great transparency on the final solution and issuing an updated Consultation would be the right decision for this change.
- 3.3 It was also noted that the implementation date will need to be changes and this would be beneficial to consult on.
- 3.4 Following the above discussion, the Secretariat agreed to take an action to draft a new Consultation and send to the Working Group for review.

**ACTION 11/01: The Secretariat to draft a new Consultation and send to the Working Group for review.**

## 4. Review Updated RFI Data / Visual Graph Feedback

---

- 4.1 The Chair invited the Working Group to review the updated RFI data and visual graph feedback, which was presented on screen (drafted by ED as per action 10/03).
- 4.2 The key points can be found below:
- 4.3 ED informed the group that the feedback drafted only covers the analysis from the RFI question 3, however, the other RFI questions should also be summarised for inclusion of the draft Change Report.
- 4.4 ED noted the graph does not include data from 2024/25.
- 4.5 ED suggested that it may be beneficial to add some additional wording to explain the reduction in the share of Customers who exceeded their capacity in 2015/16. The below wording was drafted on screen with the Working Group and added to the document:

- *The Working Group also noted a reduction in the share of Customers who exceeded their capacity in 2015/16 in the 3 lower voltage Customer groups. The Working Group believes that this is due to migration of P/C5-8 Customers to Half-Hourly (due to P272 migration which increases the volume of Customers in each group without increasing the number of those who exceeded their capacity).*
- *As to the increase in the same year (2015/16) in the EHV Customer group the Working Group has not yet been able to explain the cause but welcomes parties' views on what the driver for this increase could have been.*

4.6 It was agreed that the second bullet point around the EHV Customer group in 2015/16 will be queried further via a new Consultation question.

## 5. Agreed Questions for New Consultation Document

5.1 The Chair invited members to discuss and agree questions for the new Consultation document. These questions can be found below:

- *Could you provide an explanation of why there was an increase in the proportion of Customers exceeding their capacity in 2015/16 for the EHV Customer group?*
- *Do you have any questions on the modelling for this change?*
- *This is the agreed final proposal by the Working Group. Do you have any comments, and do you believe it is a suitable and cost reflective process to incentivise Customers to not exceed their capacity?*

5.2 The Chair noted that the draft legal text will need to be revisited prior to going out for Consultation as it has not been redrafted to accommodate the updated approach. The Working Group agreed to review the draft legal text live on screen.

5.3 The key points can be found below:

5.4 The Working Group reviewed the current Schedule 16, Paragraph 81, and agreed to use this for the new draft legal text. It was also agreed to keep the current Paragraph 153 in for now.

5.5 Members suggested also reviewing the current Paragraphs 73-84 (in relation to standing charge factors). AL suggested that having a before and after table of the allocation of standing charges (Paragraph 74) would help provide that additional clarity. Members agreed to include this within the new draft legal text.

5.6 The relative paragraphs were copied over to the new document and amended to reflect the updated proposed solution. These edits were made live on screen.

5.7 In regard to the new Paragraph 81, the Working Group agreed to seek legal advice to query what the noted bullet points serve.

**ACTION 11/02: The Secretariat to seek legal advice (specifically around the new Paragraph 81) on the new draft legal text.**

- 5.8 Following the drafting of the updated draft legal text, the Secretariat agreed to circulate this version to the Working Group for review post-meeting.

**ACTION 11/03: The Secretariat to circulate the updated draft legal text document to the Working Group for review post-meeting.**

## 6. Agenda Items for Next Meeting

---

- 6.1 The Working Group discussed the next steps, and the following items were captured:

- Review test model outcomes from the new model received by CEPA/TNEI.
- Review Draft Legal Text.
- Review Draft Consultation.

## 7. Any Other Business

---

- 7.1 The Chair asked the group whether there were any other items of business to discuss.

- 7.2 There were no other items raised.

## 8. Date of Next Meeting – TBC

---

- 8.1 The next Working Group meeting is currently TBC. A doodle poll will be issued to the Working Group once CEPA/TNEI have responded with an updated model (the Working Group will then also be asked to carry out testing on the new model with the aim to review the test outcomes at the next meeting).

## 9. Attachments

---

- Attachment 1\_DCP 443 Work Plan

## APPENDIX A

### New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
<b>10/01</b>	CB and ED to bilaterally discuss a query in relation to the revised model and changes that may affect fixed charges offline, and feedback to the Working Group.	CB/ED	<b>Ongoing.</b> <i>Currently still in progress.</i>
<b>11/01</b>	The Secretariat to draft a new Consultation and send to the Working Group for review.	Secretariat	<b>New Action.</b>
<b>11/02</b>	The Secretariat to seek legal advice (specifically around the new Paragraph 81) on the new draft legal text.	Secretariat	<b>New Action.</b>
<b>11/03</b>	The Secretariat to circulate the updated draft legal text document to the Working Group for review post-meeting.	Secretariat	<b>New Action.</b>

### Closed Actions

Action Ref.			Update
<b>10/02</b>	The Chair and CB to have a discussion offline to develop the supporting document for CEPA/TNEI.	Secretariat/CB	<b>Closed.</b> <i>It was noted that once CEPA/TNEI have responded with the updated model, this will be issued to the WG for review alongside a doodle poll</i>

*to arrange the next meeting to review the testing outcomes.*

<b>10/03</b>	ED to provide further feedback on the RFI data and the visual graph for the Working Group to review.	ED	<b>Closed.</b>
<b>10/04</b>	The Working Group to gather conclusions from the data that could be included within the Change Report.	Working Group	<b>Closed.</b>