

DCP 466 Working Group Meeting 06

31 March 2026 at 10:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Alexander Pentecost [AP]	Eclipse Power
Chris Ong [CO]	UKPN
David Fewings [DF]	SE First
Donna Jamieson [DJ]	IDCSL
Edda Dirks [ED]	SSE Gen
Erik Baguzis [EB]	Indigo
Hakan Feridun [HF]	Energunite
Itunu Akin-Olawale [IAO]	SP ENW
James Jones [JJ]	SSE
Joshua Robinson [JR]	NFU Energy
Kavya Kavya [KK]	Brook Green Supply
Lee Stone [LS]	EON
Louise Robinson [LR]	ESP
Michael Sjollemma [MS]	Nero Energy
Neil Shah [NS]	Switch Business
Nik Wills [NW]	Stark
Peter Waymont [PW]	UKPN
Ryan Farrell [RF]	NPg
Seun Adedapo [SA]	National Grid
Steve Harris [SH]	MAID
Swapam Bahrani [SB]	Brook Green
Tom Holderness [TH]	Ofgem
Victoria Burkett [VB]	EON Next

Code Administrator	
Richard Colwill [RC] (Chair)	ElectraLink

1. Administration

Recording

- 1.1. The Chair informed Working Group members that, as per the terms of reference, the meeting would be recorded for the purpose of aiding the Technical Secretary in producing an accurate record of the meeting. The recording will be deleted after no later than 60 days after the meeting.

Competition Law Guidance and Terms of Reference

- 1.2. The Working Group reviewed the "Competition Law Guidance". All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.

Action Log & Review of Minutes

- 1.3. The minutes of the previous meeting two were reviewed. Minor clarifications had already been incorporated into working group 04 minutes and they were approved, and there were no comments on the accuracy of working group 05 minutes. Both sets of minutes were approved as an accurate record.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1. The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting was to review the RFI responses received to date and determine next steps.

3. Review of RFI responses

- 3.1. An update was provided on the RFI issued to DNOs to support the impact assessment. Responses had been received from eight of the fourteen licence areas, with confirmation that remaining responses were expected shortly. It was noted that some data had been provided on a confidential basis but could be used in aggregated form. The group agreed that the RFI now provides the primary input to the impact assessment.
- 3.2. The Chair presented initial aggregated impact results based on the RFI responses received to date. The analysis showed a mix of small positive and negative impacts across licence areas. While absolute values appear large in isolation, the overall impact is small when expressed as a percentage of total allowed revenue. Differences between urban and rural areas were noted and considered expected. It was agreed that these results are indicative and will be updated once all responses are received.
- 3.3. Transmission (TNUoS) impacts were discussed. It was confirmed that calculations are straightforward, based on published tariffs, and do not require engagement with other code bodies. Although transmission impacts appear large in absolute terms, they represent a very small proportion of total transmission revenue.
- 3.4. The group discussed the presentation of revenue figures and percentages. It was confirmed that the headline percentages relate to total target revenue rather than residual revenue alone, and that the percentage impact varies depending on the revenue base used, while the absolute impact remains unchanged. It was agreed that this distinction should be clearly explained in the change report.
- 3.5. Members suggested that the impact assessment could be enhanced by including aggregated before-and-after customer numbers by tariff band and additional narrative explaining movements between bands. The Chair agreed to consider these enhancements once all RFI responses are received and to share an updated dataset with the working group.

3.6. The Chair confirmed that outstanding RFI responses are expected shortly and that the impact assessment will then be finalised for inclusion in the change report. The working group will review the updated analysis at a future meeting.

4. Discussion of 50% Rule Origin

4.1. The working group agreed that, for the purposes of the change report, it would be appropriate to review and explain how and why the 50% threshold was originally established under TCR, and to set this alongside an explanation of why DCP 466 is now considered a more suitable approach, based on improved alignment with agreed capacity and greater transparency within the current charging framework.

5. Nest Steps

5.1. The working group agreed the following next steps:

- Secretariat to issue draft legal text to legal advisors for review (ACTION 06/01);
- Secretariat to update the impact assessment analysis and circulate to working group members once all responses have been received (ACTION 06/01); and
- Secretariat to produce a draft change report ahead of the next meeting (ACTION 06/01).

6. Any Other Business

6.1. No other business was raised.

7. Next Meeting

7.1. The next meeting will be held on 13th April 2026.

APPENDIX A

8. New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
04/02	The Secretariat / Working Group to include justification for NHH vs HH Customers for the removal of the threshold within the Change Report.	Secretariat / Working Group	Ongoing.
04/03	LS to draft some wording to reflect how the current communication process works (in relation to LLFC) to be included within the Change Report.	Lee Stone	Ongoing.
04/04	The Secretariat / Working Group to add clarification around the implementation date and frequency of changes within the Change Report.	Secretariat / Working Group	Ongoing.
04/05	The Working Group to revisit the Consultation responses to Q10 once the solution has been further refined.	Secretariat / Working Group	Ongoing.
04/06	The Secretariat to seek further information around Ofgem's system Cost Allocation Recovery Review (CARR).	Secretariat	Ongoing.
04/07	The Secretariat / Working Group to include a narrative that sets out the full proposed legal text changes.	Secretariat / Working Group	Ongoing.
04/10	The Secretariat to follow-up with the respondents who requested confidentiality to seek whether their unidentifiable responses can be used to aid discussions within the Change Report.	Secretariat	Ongoing.
05/02	The Secretariat to follow-up with Last Mile to seek further clarification around their expanded response to the Consultation.	Secretariat	New Action.
06/01	Secretariat to issue draft legal text to legal advisors for review	Secretariat	New Action.

06/02	Secretariat to update the impact assessment analysis and circulate to working group members once all responses have been received.	Secretariat	New Action.
06/03	Secretariat to produce a draft change report ahead of the next meeting	Secretariat	New Action.

9. Closed Actions

Action Ref.			Update
04/01	The Secretariat to reach out to Last Mile to seek further clarity around their response to Q2 of the Consultation.	Secretariat	Closed.
04/08	The Secretariat to circulate Ofgem's consideration points around this change to the Working Group post-meeting.	Secretariat	Closed.
04/09	The Secretariat to seek further clarity around including unidentifiable references to confidential Consultation responses within the Change Report to reflect discussions held.	Secretariat	Closed.

02/03	The Secretariat to complete analysis on TNUoS under recovery post-Consultation.	Secretariat	Closed.
05/01	The Secretariat to make the necessary updates to the previous WG minutes (WG 04).	Secretariat	Closed.
05/03	The Secretariat to collate the data provided for Q5 of the Consultation responses and provide graphs and commentary to gain a better overview.	Secretariat	Closed.
05/04	The Secretariat to update the Working Group on the separate discussions with a CUSC rep in regard to the impacts of DCP 420 and DCP 454.	Secretariat	Closed.
05/05	The Secretariat to discuss the challenges (relating to TNUoS impacts) at the Cross-Code Steering Group.	Secretariat	Closed.
05/06	The Chair and RF to have discussions offline to develop the RFI.	Secretariat / Ryan Farrell	Closed.
05/07	The Secretariat to issue the DCP 466 RFI to all DNO Contract Managers with a respond deadline of 26 March 2026.	Secretariat	Closed.