

## DCUSA Standing Issues Group (SIG) Meeting 178 Minutes

27 March 2026 at 10:00am: Web Conference

Attendee	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Erik Baguzis [EB]	Indigo Networks
Kavya Kavya [KK]	Brook Green Energy
Edda Dirks [ED]	SSE GEN
Fraser Gascoigne [FG]	NPg
Chirstopher [CI]	NESO
Donald Preston [DP]	SSE
Meg Wong [MW]	Stark
Conner Reid [CR]	SPEN
Dario Minissale [DM]	SSE
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
Andrew Green [AG] (Chair and Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink

## 1. Administration

---

- 1.1 SIG members reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance”. All members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.2 The Chair advised the meeting would be recorded and it was explained that the recording would be deleted after the Draft Minutes are approved.

## 2. Review of Previous meetings actions and minutes

---

- 2.1 SIG members reviewed the previous minutes and agreed they were an accurate reflection. Action updates can be found in Appendix 1.

## 3. Review of the Embedded Capacity Register (ECR) Change Request Process

---

- 3.1 The Chair began by explaining that at the March open panel session, an ECR change request was on the agenda to be reviewed for panel approval after being sent to DCUSA contract managers for representations.
- 3.2 The first point the panel raised was whether only DCUSA members could submit presentations for ECR change requests or if anyone was able to provide one, as it was noted that a none DCUSA member had submitted a representation.
- 3.3 The second point the panel raised was that the process for approving an ECR change request was not clearly defined, and as there were mixed views from parties on whether that ECR change request should be approved or rejected within the representations, they were unable to come to a decision on whether to reject or approve the ECR change request.
- 3.4 On the first point, the Chair informed the SIG that whilst the DCUSA is clear that anyone can raise an ECR change request, it didn't clearly define who could provide representations.
- 3.5 It was agreed at the March Panel that only members could provide representations and that it could be helpful if the DCUSA legal text was more explicit on this.
- 3.6 It was noted that an alternative to the current representations process could be that the DCUSA legal text is updated to reflect a similar process on how voting for change reports works, as this gives a clear-cut outcome for approval/rejection.
- 3.7 A number of individuals in the SIG meeting stated that whilst it made sense to update the DCUSA to provide more clarity, this wasn't an area they had expertise on.
- 3.8 The Chair suggested that if people believed that it was worth discussing the potential of making the representations process clearer, then a vote during this SIG meeting could be held to see if the DCUSA should raise a DCP to review the representations process and amend if needed.
- 3.9 The Chair noted that it would likely be a part 2 matter, none urgent change and to progress to a Working Group for discussion and the intent would be written in a way to allow for flexibly in solutions.
- 3.10 The outcome of the vote was that out of 9 eligible members, 4 voted that a DCP should be raised, 0 voted against a DCP being raised and 5 abstained on voting as they weren't close enough to the process or been involved with the ECR before the meeting.

3.11 The Chair advised as there was no real clear majority for the DCUSA secretariat to raise a new DCP, he would discuss this with the DCUSA panel during April's open panel session to clarify what the next steps should be.

## 4. Any Other Business

4.1 There was no AOB.

## 5. Date of Next Meeting:

5.1 The next SIG meeting will take place on 24 April 2026 at 10:00am, via Microsoft Teams.

## APPENDIX 1

### New and open actions

Action Ref.	Topic	Action	Owner	Update
<b>173/01</b>	DCUSA Flows	MB to raise to CP to look at deleting the D0164 flow	Mark Bellman	<b>Completed</b>
<b>173/02</b>	Credit cover	MB to raise a CP to see in interest charges should apply to credit cover defaults	Mark Bellman	<b>Completed</b>
<b>164/02</b>	ECR changes	Secretariat to set up a subgroup to discuss ECR changes with a new set of terms of reference.	Secretariat	<b>Completed</b>
<b>168/03</b>	DIF 82	ACL to take the output of Mays SIG meeting to the next IDNO forum to identify which data items would be required.	ACL	<b>Completed</b>
<b>170/01</b>	SIG 169 Minutes	AG to correct SVs name in the minutes from SIG meeting 169	Andy Green	<b>Completed</b>
<b>170/04</b>	DIF 84	The Secretariat to raise a DCP to be presented to the August Panel in accordance with the direction from the SIG Group	Andy Green	<b>Completed</b>
<b>170/05</b>	DIF 85	The Secretariat to raise a DCP to be presented to the August Panel in accordance with the direction from the SIG Group	Andy Green	<b>Completed</b>
<b>170/07</b>	Housekeeping item 127 RIO ED2	The Secretariat to investigate if the 'RIIO-ED1 to RIIO-ED2 references' housekeeping item is still required and if so, establish next steps.	Andy Green	<b>Ongoing</b>

<b>171/01</b>	DIF 88	EC to report back to SIG next month with update on progress in relation to DIF 88.	Emma Clarke	<b>Ongoing</b>
---------------	--------	--	-------------	----------------

## APPENDIX 2

### Closed actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
<b>162/01</b>	RC to request a housekeeping change is raised to change party to a lower-case p within the DCUSA legal text were the text lays out the process for who can raise an ECR change request.	Secretariat	<b>Closed.</b>
<b>162/02</b>	RT to liaise with the technical lead on the LTDS project to understand how a change could be implemented outside the project if that meant it could be delivered quicker than if it was done via the LTDS project.	Ryan Taylor	<b>Closed.</b>
<b>162/03</b>	RC to continue to liaise with RT offline post RT speaking with their technical LTDS project lead to discuss if and how the ECR changes could be dealt with and then bring these ideas to the SIG early in 2025.	Secretariat	<b>Closed.</b>
<b>164/01</b>	AG to update the November meeting minutes with what the LTDS acronym meant, insert a link to the LTDS guidance page and mark the actions captured within paragraphs 3.3 and 3.18-3.23 in a clearer fashion and add them to an action log and reissue.	Secretariat	<b>Closed.</b>
<b>164/03</b>	Secretariate to ensure that the panel receives regular updates on the ECR change requests	Secretariat	<b>Closed</b>
<b>165/01</b>	AG to update the January meeting minutes so that the actions correctly show as 164/2 and 164/3	Secretariat	<b>Closed</b>
<b>165/02</b>	AG to create a subgroup to continue to investigate the issue.	Secretariat	<b>Closed</b>
<b>165/03</b>	AG to create a subgroup to continue to investigate the issue.	Secretariat	<b>Closed</b>
<b>165/04</b>	SIG members who have experienced this issue to see who had installed the generators	Secretariat	<b>Closed</b>
<b>165/05</b>	AG to create a subgroup to continue to investigate the issue.	Secretariat	<b>Closed</b>

<b>165/06</b>	AG to support KB with the REC to draw a high-level process map for the installation of generators.	Secretariat	<b>Closed</b>
<b>165/07</b>	MB to raise a DCUSA change proposal to resolve the issues raised in DIF 79.	Mark Bellman	<b>Closed</b>
<b>165/08</b>	MB to raise an issue for March's SIG meeting to discuss the use of RPI and CPIH	Mark Bellman	<b>Closed</b>
<b>166/01</b>	RC to update the minutes and post new version on website.	Secretariat	<b>Closed</b>
<b>166/02</b>	RC to reach out to REC to explore reviewing the de-energisation processes.	Secretariat	<b>Closed</b>
<b>166/03</b>	RC to create raise DIF 80 at DCMDG.	Secretariat	<b>Closed</b>
<b>167/01</b>	The Secretariat to contact VB to see if any gaps in the process were highlighted.	Secretariat	<b>Closed</b>
<b>167/02</b>	MB And PW to set up a Bi-Lateral call to discuss the issue and bring the output of this call to the SIG in May	Mark Bellman and Peter Waymont	<b>Closed</b>
<b>167/03</b>	MB to update a DCUSA CP with the aspiration to have this reviewed at the May Panel meeting.	Mark Bellman	<b>Closed</b>
<b>167/04</b>	The MHHS Stakeholder Group to review the data within EMDS and the Swagger and feedback on any inconsistencies.	MHHS Stakeholder Group	<b>Closed</b>
<b>170/06</b>	DIFs 86 and 87	AG to create a Doodle Poll to invite interested parties to a SIG Subgroup to discuss the DIFs in more detail.	<b>Closed</b>