




DCUSA Change Proposal (DCP)		At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>DCP 478:</h1> <h2>Resolving Housekeeping Items</h2> <p><b>Date Raised:</b> 10/06/2026</p> <p><b>Proposer Name:</b> Peter Waymont</p> <p><b>Company Name:</b> Eastern Power Networks</p> <p><b>Party Category:</b> DNO</p>	<div style="background-color: #008000; color: white; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">01 – Change Proposal</div>	
	<div style="background-color: #e0f0e0; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">02 – Consultation</div>	
	<div style="background-color: #e0e0f0; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">03 – Change Report</div>	
	<div style="background-color: #fff0e0; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">04 – Change Declaration</div>	
<p><b>Purpose of Change Proposal:</b> The intent of this Change Proposal is to resolve housekeeping items 132, 133, 134, 135 and 136 and to correct any errors introduced as part of the implementation of the harmonised prioritisation process directed by Ofgem.</p>		
	<p><b>Governance:</b></p> <p>The Proposer recommends that this Change Proposal should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Treated as a Part 2 Matter</li> <li>• Treated as a Standard Change</li> <li>• Progressed to the Change Report phase</li> </ul> <p>The Panel will consider the proposer’s recommendation and determine the appropriate route.</p>	
	<p><b>Impacted Parties:</b></p> <p>Suppliers/DNOs/IDNOs/CVA Registrants/Gas Suppliers/OTSO Party/SIPs</p>	
	<p><b>Impacted Clauses:</b> Various</p>	

Contents		 Any questions?
<b>1 Summary</b>	<b>3</b>	Contact:
<b>2 Governance</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>Code Administrator</b>
<b>3 Why Change?</b>	<b>4</b>	
<b>4 Solution and Legal Text</b>	<b>7</b>	DCUSA@electralink.co.uk
<b>5 Code Specific Matters</b>	<b>8</b>	
<b>6 Relevant Objectives</b>	<b>8</b>	020 7432 3011
<b>7 Impacts &amp; Other Considerations</b>	<b>8</b>	Proposer:
<b>8 Implementation</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>Peter Waymont</b>
<b>9 Recommendations</b>	<b>9</b>	 email address
<b>Annex 1 – Proposed Legal Text Amendments</b>	<b>10</b>	

## Indicative Timeline

**The Secretariat recommends the following timetable:**

Initial Assessment Report	17 June 2026
Consultation Issued to Industry Participants	N/A
Change Report Approved by Panel	15 July 2026
Change Report issued for Voting	16 July 2026
Party Voting Closes	06 August 2026
Change Declaration Issued to Parties	10 August 2026

## 1 Summary

### What?

- 1.1 Several housekeeping items have been added to the DCUSA Panel's housekeeping log over time that are of a simple textual tidy up nature. This change seeks to amend the DCUSA regarding those.
- 1.2 The items in the housekeeping log have been reviewed, and those that this change seeks to address are captured below (where the acronym HK stands for housekeeping):
  - HK132: "A missing "to" and a clarification in Schedule 32, Paragraph 6.3"
  - HK133: "Correct missing words in Clause 52Z.3 and potentially consider amend the references to Meter Operator Code of Practice to CoMCoP"
  - HK134: "Missing "Schedule 6 – Curtailable Connections" from table of contents of Schedule 13"
  - HK135: "Incorrect Term Used in Schedule 33"
  - HK136: "Determination of a Credit Allowance Factor (CAF) for users that do not have a Credit Rating from an Approved Credit Referencing Agency that is Ba3 / BB- or above"
- 1.3 This change will also be used to correct several errors introduced as part of the implementation of the harmonised prioritisation process directed by Ofgem.

### Why?

- 1.4 This CP has been raised to resolve the issues that are periodically identified within the DCUSA which are recorded in the housekeeping log maintained by the DCUSA Panel. The implementation of this CP will ensure that the legal text throughout the DCUSA document is correct.

### How?

- 1.5 By completing a review of the items recorded in the housekeeping log and subsequently revising the DCUSA document to incorporate the required amendments.

## 2 Governance

### Proposers view on items that impact the progression of the CP

- 2.1  Tick this box if you feel that you are raising this change to try to address a current or imminent issue that has significant adverse commercial or safety implications or which would otherwise place Parties in breach of relevant regulations.
- 2.2 Do you believe this Change Proposal will have a significant impact on any of the following? (Select all that apply)
  - Electricity consumers
  - Supply
  - Distribution
  - Generation
  - Commercial activities connected with any of the above
  - None of the Above
- 2.3 Do you believe this Change Proposal will? \*(Select all that apply)

- Have a significant commercial impact on any a Party or Party Category or on customers
- Be likely to discriminate in its effects between at least one Party or another
- Be the subject of controversy between Parties
- Cause at least one Party to be in breach of this Agreement if not urgently addressed
- Change the governance or the change control arrangements applying to the DCUSA
- None of the Above

2.4  Tick this box if you either, haven't developed any proposed legal drafting or if you have, but you feel that further development will be required (i.e., via a Working Group)?

2.5 Proposer's view on prioritisation

Prioritisation Criteria	High Impact
<b>Strategic Direction Statement Alignment:</b> The CP strongly supports key government energy policy actions that require immediate progress and aligns with SDS priorities under the Act Now category.	No
<b>Complexity:</b> The CP is highly complex, with a fast-approaching deadline, significant implementation challenges, and a requirement for extensive stakeholder engagement.	No
<b>Importance:</b> The CP addresses a high-risk or critical issue, making timely action important for stakeholders as it is anticipated to deliver substantial benefits and value.	No
Priority Category <i>(To be considered High priority all 3 Criteria must be High Impact.)</i>	Standard

2.6 I believe that this CP should be treated as Standard Priority given the assessment above.

### Code Admin view on progression route for this CP

(Code Admin to complete)

2.7 Based the answers provided by the Proposer to the above questions the Code Administrator believes that this Change Proposal should:

- Be treated as a Part 2 Matter;
- Be treated as a Standard Change; and
- Proceed to the Change Report phase.

2.8 This is a simple change to resolve several housekeeping items and does not meet the criteria to be considered a Part 1 Matter, nor does it require discussion via a Working Group.

## 3 Why Change?

3.1 A number of housekeeping items have been added to the housekeeping register over time that are of a simple textual tidy up nature. The housekeeping items covered by this change include the following:

### HK132: "A missing "to" and a clarification in Schedule 32, Paragraph 6.3"

3.2 In paragraph 6.3 of Schedule 32, the following housekeeping change and point of clarification to be made:

- There is a missing word 'to' to be added; and
- The word 'threshold' to be made plural and the words 'as applicable' to be added.

6.3 The exceptional circumstances described in Paragraph 6.1(b) will be subject to the following materiality thresholds, as applicable:

## HK133: “Correct missing words in Clause 52Z.3 and potentially consider amend the references to Meter Operator Code of Practice to CoMCoP”

3.3 The REC Code Manager have highlighted an issue with respect to the wording in Clause 52Z.3 which appears to be some missing words that results in the sentence not reading correctly:

### Meter Operator Code of Practice

52Z.3 The Safe Isolation Provider shall only be entitled to exercise rights under this Section 2H while it is an accredited for the purposes of the Meter Operation Code of Practice under the Retail Energy Code. The Safe Isolation Provider shall comply with the Meter Operation Code of Practice in relation to the works undertaken pursuant to this Section 2H.

3.4 With respect to the missing wording, we believe this comes from some form of change to the legal drafting that was developed for DCP 394 which introduced that text. This is because at one point in time, towards the end of its development the following was the text that was circulated but then subsequently updated but without explanation:

### Meter Operator Code of Practice

52W.3 The Safe Isolation Provider shall only be entitled to exercise rights under this Section 2G while it is an accredited Meter Operator Agent under the Retail Energy Code. The Safe Isolation Provider shall comply with the Meter Operation Code of Practice in relation to the works undertaken pursuant to this Section 2G.

3.5 The second component of their request relates to the defined terms used both in the body of the text but also in the sub-heading above it, with the two being different (i.e., as highlighted earlier the subheading is currently Meter Operator Code of Practice but the text below states Meter Operation Code of Practice. It should be noted that Meter Operation Code of Practice is the correct defined term in the DCUSA (see extract below):

**Meter Operation Code of Practice** has the meaning given to that term in the Retail Energy Code

3.6 This is complicated by the fact that it is not actually a defined term in the REC, but Meter Operator Code of Practice is defined:

Meter Operator Code of Practice MOCOP means the electricity metering code of practice that has now been incorporated into the CoMCoP and references to 'Meter Operator Code of Practice' or 'MOCOP' should read as references to the CoMCoP.

- 3.7 One simple option might be to update the heading above Clause 52Z.3, to Meter Operation Code of Practice and then amend the defined term to state: “has the meaning given to the term Consolidated Metering Code of Practice in the Retail Energy Code” now that the Meter Operator Code of Practice has been incorporated into the Consolidated Metering Code of Practice. Otherwise, you could amend every reference of ‘Meter Operation Code of Practice’ to ‘Consolidated Metering Code of Practice’, however, this might be better dealt with as part of Code Consolidation as of the DCUSA and CUSC and part of Energy Code Reform.

#### HK134: “Missing “Schedule 6 – Curtailable Connections” from table of contents of Schedule 13”

- 3.8 In the table of contents for Schedule 13 ‘Bilateral Connection Agreement’, the text “Schedule 6 – Curtailable Connections” is not listed in the contents, but is in fact included on the last page as schedule that appears in Schedule 13.
- 3.9 It is believed that this was an oversight as part of the development of [DCP-405: Access SCR: Managing Curtailable Connections between Licensed Distribution Networks](#) which made those amendments to Schedule 13

#### HK135: “Incorrect Term Used in Schedule 33”

- 3.10 The erroneous term ‘Distribution Company’ is currently used in Paragraph 7.1 of Schedule 33. This term should be a reference to ‘DNO/IDNO Party’, which would be in line with the rest of Schedule 33.

#### 7. LOGICAL DISCONNECTIONS – BULK UPDATES

7.1 A bulk update will be deemed to be 50 or more requests at any one time per Supplier Party. That Supplier Party will then enter into a bi-lateral agreement with the appropriate **Distribution Company**. The **Distribution Company** will determine the progress of such a request based on the number of similar requests received at any given time. It is expected that the requesting Supplier Party will have carried out all the relevant checks as per an individual request as outlined in Paragraph 6 above prior to submitting a bulk request.

- 3.11 This was introduced by DCP 391 ‘Retail Code Consolidation Significant Code Review’ which introduced Schedule 33 itself.

#### HK136: “Determination of a Credit Allowance Factor (CAF) for users that do not have a Credit Rating from an Approved Credit Referencing Agency that is Ba3 / BB- or above”

- 3.12 An industry party has proposed amending Schedule 1 paragraph 2.5(b) to replace the Paragraph references from being “2.12 to 2.14” to “2.12 to 2.15”. This is because the current referencing is not consistent with the referencing in paragraph 2.12 itself so potentially leaves a question over how Payment Record Factor (PRF) should be treated in the case of unpaid disputed invoices.

- 3.13 The relevant paragraphs and proposed change (in red) currently read as follows:

2.5 *Where the User does not have a Credit Rating from an Approved Credit Referencing Agency that is Ba3 / BB- or above, CAF shall be determined as follows:*

- a. *where there is, at the time of such determination, an Independent Credit Assessment that was carried out within the preceding 12 months and the User has not requested that the Company use the User’s Payment Record Factor, CAF shall be determined by reference to the Independent Credit Assessment provided pursuant to Paragraph 2.6 or procured pursuant to Paragraph 2.7 (and, in either case, in accordance with the table set out at Paragraph 2.10); or*
- b. *where the User has requested that the Company use the User’s Payment Record Factor or there is not, at the time of such determination, an Independent Credit Assessment that was carried out within the preceding 12 months, CAF shall equal the Payment Record Factor (which shall be determined in accordance with the provisions of Paragraphs 2.12 to ~~2.14~~ 2.15).*

- .....
- 2.12 *Where the User's Payment Record Factor is to be used to determine the Credit Allowance Factor in accordance with Paragraph 2.5 (b), the Credit Allowance Factor shall equal the value of the Payment Record Factor determined in accordance with Paragraphs 2.13 to 2.15.*
- 2.14 *The Good Payment Performance Start Date shall:*
- a. *for Users under Section 2A, initially, be the date of the earliest of the first Initial Account, the first Reconciliation Account, or the first account issued pursuant to Clause 21 (each a **relevant account**);*
  - b. *for Users under Section 2B, initially, be the date of the earliest of the first account issued pursuant to Clause 44 or 45 (each a **relevant account**); and*
  - c. *for all Users, where the User fails (or has failed) on any occasion to pay any Charges on or before the applicable payment date required by this Agreement (and does not remedy that failure within 3 Working Days such that Paragraph 2.13(e) applies), be the date on which a relevant account is submitted in a month subsequent to the month in which such payment failure is remedied (unless, having regard to all the circumstances, including in particular the value, duration, and frequency of failure, the Company reasonably determines an earlier date).*
- 2.15 *Where any unpaid disputed invoice is found to have been disputed without merit, a failure to have paid the relevant account in accordance with the terms of this Agreement shall be treated as a failed payment and the provisions of Paragraph 2.14(c) shall apply accordingly.*

## **Ofgem Directed Amendments to the DCUSA relating to the introduction of a harmonised code modification prioritisation process**

- 3.14 The Secretariat noted that when reviewing the DCUSA code text ([Annex E](#)) provided by Ofgem as part of their "[Energy code reform – decision on statutory consultation on modifications to industry codes to implement the harmonised code modification prioritisation process](#)", a number of inconsistencies and minor drafting errors had been identified within the annexed legal text. These arose primarily due to formatting and the absence of fully track-changed documentation in the annex supplied by Ofgem. The Secretariat discussed these errors with Ofgem and that Ofgem had acknowledged and agreed that the errors were present in the Annex E drafting.
- 3.15 The Secretariat proposed that these inconsistencies and error be addressed through a forthcoming Housekeeping Change Proposal and noted that Ofgem agreed with this approach and stated a preference to correct these as quickly as practicable following implementations of the text.

## **4 Solution and Legal Text**

### **Legal Text**

- 4.1 A set of proposed amendments has been set out in Annex 1 of this Change Proposal and which are designed to resolve the relevant housekeeping log items noted in sections 1 and 3 above. The proposed amendments will be reviewed by DCUSA Ltd.'s legal advisors.

### **Text Commentary**

- 4.2 The proposed amendments are designed to resolve each of the relevant housekeeping log items as well as the errors introduced as part of the implementation of the harmonised prioritisation process directed by Ofgem.

## 5 Code Specific Matters

### Reference Documents

5.1 None.

## 6 Relevant Objectives

	DCUSA General Objectives	Identified impact
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks	None
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity	None
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences	None
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4. The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the DCUSA	Positive
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. Compliance with the EU Internal Market Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

6.1 Correcting the housekeeping items that have been periodically identified within the DCUSA document ensures that the legal text throughout the DCUSA document is fit for purpose and remains accurate. Doing so will aid comprehension, thereby improving efficiency which better facilitates DCUSA General Objective four.

## 7 Impacts & Other Considerations

### Does this Change Proposal impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

7.1 It is not believed that this change will impact any SCR currently in progress and nor do any of the current SCRs impact upon this change.

### Consumer Impacts

7.2 It is not believed that that this change will impact consumers.

### Environmental Impacts

7.3 In accordance with DCUSA Clause 10.4.5A, it is not believed that there would be a material impact on greenhouse gas emissions if this change were implemented.

### Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts

7.4 It is not believed that there are any wider industry impacts as a result of this change and that there are no known impacts associated with the wider industry that will impact upon this change.

## Confidentiality

7.5 This Change Proposal can be treated as non-confidential.

## Does this Change Proposal Impact Other Codes?

7.6 It is not believed that there are any impacts to any other 'Industry Codes' as a result of the implementation of this CP.

Grid Code.....  SEC.....  CUSC.....

Distribution Code...  REC.....  BSC.....

None.....

## 8 Implementation

### Proposed Implementation Date

8.1 It is proposed that this change be implemented 10 Working Days following Party approval.

## 9 Recommendations

## Annex 1 – Proposed Legal Text Amendments

**HK 132 - Amend Paragraph 6.3 in Schedule 32 'Residual Charging Bands' of the DCUSA as follows:**

6.3 The exceptional circumstances described in Paragraph 6.1(b) will be subject to the following materiality thresholds, as applicable:

**HK 133 - Amend the defined term below, as set out under Clause 1 of Section 1A 'Preliminary' as follows:**

**Meter Operation** has the meaning given to ~~that the~~ term "Consolidated Metering Code of Practice" in the Retail Energy Code.  
**Code of Practice**

**HK 133 - Amend the subheading above and the contents of Clause 52Z.3 in Section 2H 'Distributor/Supplier To SIP Party Relationships' as follows:**

**Meter Operation ~~and~~ Code of Practice**

52Z.3 The Safe Isolation Provider shall only be entitled to exercise rights under this Section 2H while it is an accredited Meter Operator Agent for the purposes of the Meter Operation Code of Practice under the Retail Energy Code. The Safe Isolation Provider shall comply with the Meter Operation Code of Practice in relation to the works undertaken pursuant to this Section 2H.

**HK 134 - Amend "Contents" of Schedule 13 'Bilateral Connection Agreement' as follows:**

### **CONTENTS**

1. **DEFINITIONS, INTERPRETATION AND CONSTRUCTION**
2. **COMMENCEMENT, DURATION AND CONNECTION**
3. **THE USER'S RIGHT TO BE AND TO REMAIN CONNECTED TO THE COMPANY'S DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM**
4. **THE CONNECTION POINTS, CONNECTION EQUIPMENT AND CONNECTION ASSETS**
5. **MAXIMUM CAPACITY**
6. **COMPLIANCE WITH SITE SPECIFIC CONDITIONS AND OPERATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS**
7. **TERM**
8. **VARIATIONS**
9. **GENERAL**

**SCHEDULE 1 - CONNECTION CHARACTERISTICS**

**SCHEDULE 2 - USE OF SYSTEM, METERING AND DATA PROVISION**

**SCHEDULE 3 - SITE SPECIFIC CONDITIONS**

**SCHEDULE 4 – GENERATION**

**SCHEDULE 5 - APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION**

**SCHEDULE 6 – CURTAILABLE CONNECTIONS**

**HK 135 - Amend Paragraph 7.1 of Schedule 33 'Disconnections' as follows:**

**7. LOGICAL DISCONNECTIONS – BULK UPDATES**

7.1 A bulk update will be deemed to be 50 or more requests at any one time per Supplier Party. That Supplier Party will then enter into a bi-lateral agreement with the appropriate DNO/IDNO PartyDistribution Company. The DNO/IDNO PartyDistribution Company will determine the progress of such a request based on the number of similar requests received at any given time. It is expected that the requesting Supplier Party will have carried out all the relevant checks as per an individual request as outlined in Paragraph 6 above prior to submitting a bulk request.

**HK 136 - Amend Paragraph 2.5 in Schedule 1 'Cover' as follows:**

2.5 Where the User does not have a Credit Rating from an Approved Credit Referencing Agency that is Ba3 / BB– or above, CAF shall be determined as follows:

- (a) where there is, at the time of such determination, an Independent Credit Assessment that was carried out within the preceding 12 months and the User has not requested that the Company use the User's Payment Record Factor, CAF shall be determined by reference to the Independent Credit Assessment provided pursuant to Paragraph 2.6 or procured pursuant to Paragraph 2.7 (and, in either case, in accordance with the table set out at Paragraph 2.10);
- (b) where the User has requested that the Company use the User's Payment Record Factor or there is not, at the time of such determination, an Independent Credit Assessment that was carried out within the preceding 12 months, CAF shall (unless the Company

opts to apply sub-paragraph (c) below) equal the Payment Record Factor (which shall be determined in accordance with the provisions of Paragraphs 2.12 to ~~2.14~~2.15); or

- (c) where the Company has used the User's Payment Record Factor for a period of 60 months or more (and the User does not, when notified by the Company, opt to provide an Independent Credit Assessment pursuant to Paragraph 2.6 or request the Company to procure an Independent Credit Assessment pursuant to Paragraph 2.7), then the Company may (at its discretion) opt to use an Independent Credit Assessment and notify the User it has done so (in which case Paragraphs 2.8 to 2.10 shall apply).

**Harmonised Code Modification Prioritisation Process - Amend the following Clauses in Section 1C of the DCUSA as follows:**

10.12 The Panel shall consider the Change Proposal and the accompanying documents referred to in Clause 10.11.2:

10.12.1 in respect of Change Proposals specified as urgent in accordance with Clause 10.4.8, within five Working Days of the proposal's submission;

10.12.2 in respect of all other Change Proposals, within 25 Working Days of the proposal's submission,

and, where necessary, the Panel Secretary shall convene a Panel meeting for such purpose. The Panel shall consider whether to accept or refuse the Change Proposal, and whether or not the Change Proposal should, in light of the criteria set out in Clause 10.7, properly be treated as urgent. Only Change Proposals that the Panel considers should be treated as urgent shall be treated as **Urgent Change Proposals**. For the avoidance of doubt, the Panel shall not determine a Prioritisation Category for Urgent Change Proposals and accordingly no Urgent Change Proposal shall have a Prioritisation Category. For every Change Proposal that is not treated as an Urgent Change Proposal, the Panel shall determine the Prioritisation Category of that Change Proposal by assessing it against the Prioritisation Criteria (as compared with other Change Proposals) and taking into consideration the assessment presented by the Proposer in accordance with ~~paragraph~~Clause 10.4.11.

11.14 The Panel may establish, in respect of a Change Proposal, a Working Group for the purpose of undertaking the activities (the **Definition Procedure**) of:

- 11.14.1 consulting with the Parties, and (where appropriate) with any interested third parties, on the proposal, which third parties shall include, in respect of proposals to vary one or more of the Charging Methodologies, any person whose interests the Working Group identifies as being materially affected by a Charging Methodology (which consultation shall, where appropriate, be by way of consultation with bodies who represent the interests of such third parties);
- 11.14.2 considering and clarifying the likely effects of the proposed variation to the Agreement and/or DCUSA Message, and indicating which Party Categories it considers will be affected by the proposed variation;
- 11.14.3 evaluating, developing and refining the proposed variation to the Agreement and/or DCUSA Message (and, in undertaking such evaluation in respect of a Change Proposal to vary one or more of the Charging Methodologies (but not the CCCM), the Working Group shall have regard to the ability of the Authority to veto any Change Proposal that appears to the Authority to have as its purpose or effect the full or substantial substitution of one Charging Methodology for another);
- 11.14.3A determining a plan to meet the timetable established for the Change Proposal under Clause 11.9A or Clauses 11.10 to 11.12 (as applicable), and notifying the Panel in the event that the Working Group requires more time to complete the activities set out in this Clause 11.14 (in which case the Working Group shall recommend an alternative timetable, and provide supporting information to justify the extension);
- 11.14.4 evaluating the likely impact of the proposed date for implementation of the variation, and where it considers appropriate amending this date, subject to the following:
  - (A) an implementation date specified by the Authority in accordance with Clause 11.9A.2 may not be amended;
  - (B) (subject to (A) above) the implementation date should be the date that enables the proposed variation to take effect as soon as practicable after the decision to implement has been reached, taking into account the Prioritisation Criteria, and whether the variation's associated Change Proposal is an Urgent Change Proposal, or, if the variation's associated Change Proposal is not an Urgent Change Proposal, its Prioritisation Category; and,

(C) in undertaking such evaluation in respect of a Change Proposal to vary one or more of the Charging Methodologies, the Working Group shall have regard to the obligations of DNO Parties under this Agreement, and under their Distribution Licences, regarding the frequency of changes to Use of System Charges, and regarding the notice to be given in advance of such changes

11.14.5 considering whether, if the proposed variation were made, the Agreement and/or DCUSA Message would better facilitate the achievement of the DCUSA Objectives than if that variation were not made: and

11.14.6 considering whether it is likely that there would be a material impact on greenhouse gas emissions as a result of the proposed variation being made, and (if so) assessing such impact (which assessment shall be conducted in accordance with any guidance on the treatment of carbon costs and evaluation of greenhouse gas emissions issued by the Authority from time to time).

11.26 The Change Register shall contain, in respect of each Change Proposal that is in the Assessment Process:

11.26.1 details of the proposal (including the name of the Proposer, the date of the proposal and a short summary of its intended purpose and effect);

11.26.2 whether the proposal relates to a Part 1 Matter or a Part 2 Matter;

11.26.3 whether the proposal is an Urgent Change Proposal or the Prioritisation Category of the Change Proposal, and details of the assessment undertaken in ~~paragraph~~Clause 10.12.2~~;~~

~~(a)~~ and where the Prioritisation Category of a Change Proposal is changed, confirmation of the change should be reflected within the Change Register alongside the reasons for the change~~;~~

11.26.4 the timetable for the completion of each stage of the Assessment Process;

11.26.5 the current level of progress of the proposal within the Assessment Process; and

11.26.6 such other matters relating to the proposal as the Panel may from time to time direct the Secretariat to include in the Change Register.

**Review of Prioritisation Category of Change Proposals**

11.33 The Panel shall review the Prioritisation Category of Change Proposals on a bi-annual basis and adjust the relevant ~~modification~~ timetable for each Change Proposal accordingly.