DCP 424 Working Group Meeting 14

23 October 2026 at 13:00

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Mary Gillie [MG]	Energy Local
Andrew Malley (AM)	Ofgem
Peter Waymont (PW)	UKPN
Mark Jones [MG]	SSE
Chris Day (CD)	Elexon
Jenny Sarrsfield [JS]	Elexon
Ed Grimes [EG]	BU-UK
Code Administrator	
Andy Green [AG]	Chair
Apologies	
Chris Ong (CO)	UKPN
John Grrene (GE)	SSE Retail
Edda Dirks (ED)	SSEG
Lee Greenwood (LG)	BG
Kara Burke [KB]	NPg
Felix Leong (FL)	Ofgem

1. Administration

Recording

1.1 The Chair confirmed that the meeting was recorded to support the production of accurate minutes. The recording will be deleted once the minutes are approved.

Competition Law Guidance and Terms of Reference

1.2 The Working Group reviewed the "Competition Law Guidance" and "Terms of Reference". All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.

Purpose of the Meeting

1.3 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting was to review the review the below issues and agree on next steps,

- Ensuring pseudo MPANs can be clearly identified.
- Avoiding double counting and duplicate charging for mixed sites.
- Ensuring MHHS and REC data flows support the intended solution.

2. Pseudo MPAN identification solution

2.1 CD explained that the legacy solution allows gross consumption values to be provided to the DNO so that DUoS is charged on gross rather than netted volumes. Under MHHS, this process needed to be replicated through the DIP arrangements.

2.2 He proposed introducing a new IF-021 scenario variant and event code, allowing the Data Service to send the relevant gross Complex Site data to the LDSO.

2.3 As the data item is BSC-owned, this would be incorporated into the P441 solution rather than DCUSA raising a separate BSC change. The Working Group considered this the most efficient approach given the close relationship between P441 and DCP 424.

Operation of pseudo MPANs

2.4 PW asked how the arrangement would work across different Complex Site configurations.

2.5 C D explained that the primary MPAN would retain the netted position for settlement, while pseudo-secondary MPANs would carry the gross values required for DUoS charging.

2.6 The Working Group discussed that whole-current meters could be aggregated where aggregated DUoS treatment applies, while CT-metered arrangements requiring site-specific charging may require individual pseudo MPANs. The number of pseudo MPANs would therefore depend upon whether DUoS was being applied on an aggregated or site-specific basis.

2.7 The Working Group also identified that CT-metered arrangements would require both active and reactive data. CD agreed that the proposed scenario variant could be amended accordingly.

Billing system considerations

2.8 PW questioned how billing systems would recognise the relationship between primary and pseudo-secondary MPANs.

2.9 CD explained that BSCP550 already requires LDSOs to maintain the relationship between primary and pseudo-secondary MPANs. However, the Working Group agreed that the practical treatment needed to be explained more clearly within the legal text.

2.10 PW and EG suggested that worked examples and diagrams would help demonstrate how the solution operates for CT and whole-current metering.

2.11 The Chair agreed that clearer supporting material should be developed to support industry parties in understanding the change.

2.12 CD advised that guidance already exists for P441 and suggested expanding this to cover DCP 424.

2.13 The Working Group supported developing joint BSC/DCUSA guidance, recognising that the two changes are intrinsically linked. This could include diagrams and examples showing the relationship between the primary MPAN, pseudo MPANs, settlement data and DUoS data.

2.14 CD agreed to provide the existing P441 guidance to the Chair for circulation and further development and noted that the guidance document would be made available upon implementation.

2.15 The Chair advised that within the change report it would be highlighted that a guidance document would be made available upon implementation and highlight at a high level what the guidance would cover.

2.16 The Working Group agreed with this approach as long as it was still clear within the change report how the change operated.

Draft legal text

2.17 The Working Group reviewed the current legal text covering Complex Sites, gross consumption, pseudo-secondary MPANs and DUoS charging.

2.18 The drafting confirms that where settlement arrangements result in import and export being netted, DUoS would continue to be applied using the appropriate gross consumption volumes.

2.19 CD advised that the IF-021 appeared to be the relevant flow for the new arrangements and that references to IF-013 and IF-014 could be removed.

2.20 The Working Group also considered whether the drafting should make clearer reference to data being provided against the relevant pseudo MPAN. It was agreed that the legal text would be refined alongside the supporting guidance.

Double-counting risk

2.21 PW raised the potential risk of billing systems receiving information against both the primary and pseudo MPAN and inadvertently double counting consumption.

2.22 CD explained that settlement double counting is prevented through the registration arrangements and the use of zero multipliers against the pseudo MPAN. The new IF-021 event code would also distinguish the gross values being provided for DUoS purposes.

2.23 The Chair agreed that these protections will be clearly explained within the Change Report and legal text, particularly to make clear that pseudo MPANs are not additional physical Connection Points.

Progression to Change Report

2.24 MG asked whether another DCUSA consultation would be required.

2.25 The Chair explained that the underlying solution had already been consulted upon and the more recent work had concentrated on adapting the solution for MHHS and resolving the identification issue. On that basis, the Chair did not currently consider a further consultation necessary.

2.26 PW stressed that the Change Report nevertheless needed to provide enough information for Parties to understand how the solution operates and assess the implications for their systems.

2.27 The Working Group agreed that the Change Report should clearly explain the decisions made, treatment of different Complex Site configurations and the interaction between DCP 424 and P441.

2.28 The intention remained to progress DCP 424 towards Change Report during November, subject to the remaining legal and operational work being concluded.

3. Next Steps and Next Meeting Date

3.1 The next meeting will be held 29 October 2026 at 10am

4. Any Other Business

4.1 No other business was raised.

New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
14/01	Share the BSCP guidance document with the DCUSA WG	AG	**New Action**
14/02	Update the legal text to clearly reference the data being provided against the relevant pseudo MPAN	AG/CD	**New action**

Closed Actions

Action Ref.			Update
13/01	The Chair to set up a cross-code call to discuss the outstanding issues	Chair	**Closed.**
13/02	The Chair to issue a poll to report back to the WG on the outcome of the cross code meeting.	Chair	**Closed.**
01/08	Working Group members to review paragraph 1.5	All	**Closed**
05/01	The Chair to update the Legal text and share with the Working Group	Chair	**Closed**

05/02	The Chair to create a second consultation document and legal text and share with the Working Group.	Chair	**Closed**
02/08	The Chair update the consultation document with the new REC change ID as well as contacting the REC to find out their timelines for the consultation to be issued.	Chair	**Closed**
03/08	Working Group to check the table in paragraph 4.11 to ensure all the pros and cons have been captured accurately.	All	**Closed**
04/08	Chair to update the consultation and issue to the Working Group for review.	Chair	**Closed**
01/01	Chair to draft a consultation and issue to the Working Group for review.	Chair	**Closed**
02/01	Chair to issue the consultation to DCMDG and SIG members, in addition to the usual recipients.	Chair	**Closed**
03/01	Secretariat to raise awareness of the DCP 458 consultation at the next DCMDG meeting.	Secretariat	**Closed**